# Morphological Analysis Unification Grammars

Daniel Zeman

🖬 December 12, 2024



Charles University Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics



unless otherwise stated

## **Unification Grammars**

- Based on
  - context-free grammars
  - feature structures
  - their unifiability
- Feature structure
  - Sort of database record, or a variable of a structured type: record in Pascal, struct in C. Description of an object, list of features
  - features (attributes) ... names of fields
  - values
  - Examples of attribute-value pairs: [number: plural], [case: nominative]

#### **Feature Structure**

entity NAME FF UK PHONE 258562

entity NAME Dan PHONE 221914225

faculty NAME MFF UK DEAN Rokyta PHONE 221911111

#### **Feature Structure**

entity	]
NAME	FF UK
PHONE	258562

#### **entity** NAME Dan PHONE 221914225

#### faculty

NAME	MFF UK
DEAN	Rokyta
PHONE	221911111

[POS	noun
GEN	masculine
NUM	singular
CASE	dative

POS	adjective
GEN	masculine
NUM	plural
CASE	accusative
DEG	comparative
NEG	affirmative

• Partial function mapping the set of features to the set of values

<b>[type</b>	]
FEATURE <sub>1</sub>	VALUE <sub>1</sub>
FEATURE <sub>2</sub>	$VALUE_2$
FEATURE <sub>3</sub>	VALUE <sub>3</sub>

## Unifiability

- Two feature structures are **unifiable** if their values of the features they share are identical
- Example: structures 1 and 2 are unifiable, so are 2 and 3, while 1 and 3 are not

## Unifiability

- Two feature structures are **unifiable** if their values of the features they share are identical
- Example: structures 1 and 2 are unifiable, so are 2 and 3, while 1 and 3 are not

## Unifiability

- Two feature structures are **unifiable** if their values of the features they share are identical
- Example: structures 1 and 2 are unifiable, so are 2 and 3, while 1 and 3 are not

#### Unification

• **Unification** is an operation over two unifiable feature structures. It results in a new feature structure

1	GENDER	m	asculine	+ :	2	PERSON		third ]
	NUMBER	sir	ngular			NUMBER	2	singular
	CASE	da	itive			TENSE		present
	=	3	GENDE	R	m	asculine		_
			NUMB	ER	si	ngular		
			CASE d		d	ative		
			PERSON t		third			
	LTEN		TENSE		р	resent		

## Unification as a Tool for Morphological Generation?

- Input: feature structures "lemma" and "tag"
- Search lexicon for all structures "entry" that are unifiable with "lemma"
- For each "entry" found, look up a "paradigm" structure that is unifiable with both the "entry" and the "tag" structures
- Unify the corresponding structures "entry", "paradigm", and "tag". The resulting structure is "form"
- Output: for each "form", concatenate its values of "paradigm" and "suffix"

## **With an analysis of the second secon**

- Input: feature structures "lemma" and "tag"
   [lemma
   LEMMA háček
   [LEMMA háček]
   [CASE nominative]
- Czech noun *háček* has two meanings and belongs to two inflection classes:
  - "small hook" ... masculine inanimate class hrad "castle"
  - "bowman" ... masculine animate class pán "gentleman"

## **With an analysis of the second secon**

- Input: feature structures "lemma" and "tag"
   [lemma
   LEMMA háček
   [Kag
   NUMBER plural
   CASE nominative
- Czech noun háček has two meanings and belongs to two inflection classes:
  - "small hook" ... masculine inanimate class hrad "castle"
  - "bowman" ... masculine animate class pán "gentleman"
- Search lexicon for "entry" structures unifiable with "lemma"
   [entry LEMMA háček PARADIGM hrad
   [PARADIGM pán]

## Unification as a Tool for Morphological Generation?

• For each "entry", find a "paradigm" structure unifiable with both "entry" and "tag" entry entry LEMMA háček LEMMA háček PARADIGM hrad PARADIGM pán paradigm paradigm paradigm pán PARADIGM hrad PARADIGM PARADIGM pán NUMBER plural NUMBER plural NUMBER ر . ar al nominative ر i plural CASE CASE SUFFIX nominative CASE nominative v SUFFIX SUFFIX ové

## Unification as a Tool for Morphological Generation?

• Unify the corresponding structures "entry", "paradigm", and "tag". Call the resulting structure "form"

form LEMMA háček PARADIGM hrad | pán NUMBER plural CASE nominative SUFFIX y | i | ové

## **With an analysis of the second secon**

- Unification resembles database operations
- It does not tell how the "form" structure is to be interpreted
- Rule: output = form.lemma + form.suffix
- The rule does not solve phonological changes (and unification cannot help us with this):
  - We get: *\*háčeky, \*háčeki, \*háčekové*
  - We want: háčky, háčci, háčkové
- Possible workaround: shorter stem, longer suffix
  - háč+ky, háč+ci, háč+kové

- Non-unification part: find all possible affixes recognizable in the word ⇒ set of "form" structures
- The "paradigm" structures tell us what is the set of known suffixes

- Non-unification part: find all possible affixes recognizable in the word ⇒ set of "form" structures
- The "paradigm" structures tell us what is the set of known suffixes
- Somehow solve phonological changes (stem-final palatalization, stem-internal ablaut etc.)

- Non-unification part: find all possible affixes recognizable in the word ⇒ set of "form" structures
- The "paradigm" structures tell us what is the set of known suffixes
- Somehow solve phonological changes (stem-final palatalization, stem-internal ablaut etc.)
- Then take the dual procedure to the generation:
  - Unify form with paradigm
  - Unify the result with lexicon
  - Entries found in lexicon are the possible analyses

- Non-unification part: find all possible affixes recognizable in the word ⇒ set of "form" structures
- The "paradigm" structures tell us what is the set of known suffixes
- Somehow solve phonological changes (stem-final palatalization, stem-internal ablaut etc.)
- Then use unification...
  - In fact, this is what PC Kimmo v.2 does:
  - It combines two-level morphology with a unification grammar

## Unification Morphology Grammar (UMG)

- Jan Hajič: Unification Morphology Grammar (PhD thesis). Univerzita Karlova, Praha, 1994
- **Stuart Shieber:** An Introduction to Unification-based Approaches to Grammar. CSLI Lecture Notes No. 4, Stanford, California, USA, 1986
- Based on a context-free grammar
- A feature structure is attached to each constituent (label + span)
- Rule: left-hand side (LHS)  $\rightarrow$  right-hand side (RHS) := operation over feature structures
- Operations can block a rule by requiring unifiability
- Unification-based chart parser, PATR-II (Shieber)
- Similarly to CFGs, unification grammars were originally designed for sentence syntax analysis and subsequently applied to word analysis as well

#### **UMG Syntax**

- LHS  $\rightarrow$  RHS := operation over feature structures
  - grammar rule
- <X>
  - non-terminal symbol X. Terminals are written without angle brackets
- #
- unification operator (it also places requirement on unifiability)
- ^
- reference operator (it delimits non-terminals / parts of paths to the feature structure we are referencing)
- +
- concatenation operator
- •
- disjunction operator. A disjunction of feature structures contains all structures that fulfill the constraints (are unifiable). A disjunction can represent alternate analyses of the same thing

#### Example of UMG Rule

<N> --> <L> := [1 = <L>^1, umlaut = <L>^umlaut # no]

- Interpretation:
  - If:
    - we recognized constituent <L> and
    - value of the umlaut attribute in the feature structure attached to this constituent is "no"

#### Example of UMG Rule

<N> --> <L> := [1 = <L>^1, umlaut = <L>^umlaut # no]

- Interpretation:
  - If:
    - we recognized constituent <L> and
    - value of the umlaut attribute in the feature structure attached to this constituent is "no"
  - Then:
    - we also recognized constituent  $\langle N \rangle$  with the same span
    - we must copy the attributes 1 and umlaut from the feature structure of <L> to the feature structure of <N>

## Theoretical View of the Lexicon

• A rule that generates the empty string but it provides a gigantic feature structure with the entire lexicon in it

```
<LEX> --> "" :=

[stem=mat, hw=matka, pos=N, x=zn6e] |

[stem=atom, hw=atom, pos=N, x=hd1] |

[stem=nov, hw=nový, pos=A, x=reg] |

[stem=prac, hw=pracovat, pos=V, x=ovatn] |

...;
```

#### Theoretical View of the Lexicon

• How the lexicon is bound to the rest of the grammar:

```
<R> --> <S>u <LEX> := <LEX> #
    [x=hd1, stem=<S>, case=gen|dat|loc, num=sg]
```

- The rule describes formation of singular noun genitive, dative and locative according to the Czech masculine paradigm hd1 (*hrad* "castle")
- <R> represents a word unified with the lexicon
- <S> is the part of the input that corresponds to the stem of the word. The suffix is shown literally, the <LEX> that follows corresponds to empty string
- Operation after := says we are interested in those structures from <LEX> whose stem corresponds to <S> and which inflect according to paradigm hd1
- Lexicon entries that pass this filter will form the set of feature structures bound to the non-terminal <R>. Additionally, they will bear information on number and case

•••

• Copy input letters to the feature structure

```
<L> --> a := [l=a];
<L> --> b := [l=b];
...
<N> --> <L> := [l=<L>^1];
<N> --> <L> <N> := [l=<L>^1+<N>^1];
```

- Copy input letters to the feature structure
- Define string <N> as a sequence of letters <L>

```
<L> --> a := [l=a];
<L> --> b := [l=b];
...
<N> --> <L> := [l=<L>^1];
<N> --> <L> <N> := [l=<L>^1+<N>^1];
<S> --> <N> := <N>;
```

- Copy input letters to the feature structure
- Define string <N> as a sequence of letters <L>
- <S> is a potential word stem

<L> --> a := [l=a]; <L> --> b := [l=b]; ... <N> --> <L> := [l=<L>^1]; <N> --> <L> <N> := [l=<L>^1+<N>^1]; <S> --> <N> := <N>; <R> --> <S> <LEX> := <LEX> # [stem=<S>^1, x=hd1, num=sg, case=nom|acc, ...]; <R> --> <S>u <LEX> := <LEX> # [stem=<S>^1, x=hd1, num=sg, case=gen|dat|loc, <LEX> --> "" := ... | [stem=hrad, x=hd1, ...] | ...

- Copy input letters to the feature structure
- Define string <N> as a sequence of letters <L>
- <S> is a potential word stem
- <R> is a recognized word form checked against lexicon

#### The Lexicon in Practice

- It is not efficient to treat the lexicon as part of grammar
- Real implementation looks different:
  - Store the lexicon in a separate data structure with fast search access
  - Cover rules containing <LEX> by the algorithm accessing the data structure
  - Use the unifying chart parser to process the rest of the grammar



- Lexicon mat zn6e =matka
  - mat ... stem
  - zn6e ... paradigm
  - =matka ... lemma
- Typical system with many paradigms
  - School paradigm žena "woman" corresponds to 44 distinct paradigms in the system
  - Even so, the paradigms do not solve shortening of stem-internal vowel

• Paradigm = *stavení* "building", neuter gender; omitting LHS, always the same

 Paradigm = stavení "building", neuter gender; omitting LHS, always the same
 <\_><i>\$ := [key=<\_>i, x=(st|rž), cat=[pos=n], morf=[infl=[pf=([gnd=n, num=sg, case=(nom|gen|dat|acc|voc|loc)] | [gnd=n, num=pl, case=(nom|gen|acc|voc)])]]];



• Paradigm = *stavení* "building", neuter gender; omitting LHS, always the same

<\_><i>\$ := [key=<\_>i, x=(st|rž), cat=[pos=n], morf=[infl=[pf=([gnd=n, num=sg, case=(nom|gen|dat|acc|voc|loc)] | [gnd=n, num=pl, case=(nom|gen|acc|voc)])]]];



• ☺ The feature structure contains the required output (tag) ⇒ no need for supplementary non-terminal naming convention

## Comparison of UMG and CFG

- ☺ The feature structure contains the required output (tag) ⇒ no need for supplementary non-terminal naming convention
- O The features and their unifiability constrain rule application  $\Rightarrow$  no need to split non-terminals
- ☺ The feature structure contains the required output (tag) ⇒ no need for supplementary non-terminal naming convention
- O The features and their unifiability constrain rule application  $\Rightarrow$  no need to split non-terminals
- © Disjunction of structures represents homonymous analyses

- ☺ The feature structure contains the required output (tag) ⇒ no need for supplementary non-terminal naming convention
- ☺ The features and their unifiability constrain rule application ⇒ no need to split non-terminals
- ③ Disjunction of structures represents homonymous analyses
- ② Phonology is still an issue. Either combinatorial explosion of paradigms (UMG) or use in tandem with two-level rules (see below)

- Unification grammar by Stuart Shieber
- Rule syntax somewhat different from UMG, application is similar
- Lexicon
  - Recognize possible morphemes in the word
- Rules
  - Phonological changes, especially on morpheme boundary
- Grammar
  - Analysis of inter-morpheme relations
  - Derivation of word features from morpheme features
  - Constraints on morphotactics (what morphemes can combine and in what order)

#### Analyze *enlargements*:

en +'large +ment +s VR1a +'large +NR25 +PL

#### Analyze enlargements:



#### Analyze enlargements:

en +'large +ment +s VR1a + 'large + NR25 + PL Word INFL Stem SUFFIX Stem +sPREFIX Stem +mentROOT en+ 'large



- First the old part of PC-Kimmo segments the word into morphemes
- Then the new part parses the sequence of morphemes using the grammar
  - Grammar can reject some morpheme sequences
  - Grammar assigns interpretation (feature structure) to accepted sequences
    - The old PC-Kimmo could gloss morphemes
    - But it could not tell how to combine morpheme glosses into interpretation of the whole word (e.g. that the suffix *-able* changes a verb to an adjective)
- A grammar rule looks like this:

```
Word -> Stem INFL

<Stem head pos> = <INFL from_pos>

<Word head> = <INFL head>
```

Word -> Stem INFL <Stem head pos> = <INFL from\_pos> <Word head> = <INFL head>

• The morpheme symbols Stem, INFL are pre-terminals and they correspond to the names of sublexicons where the morphemes were found

```
Word -> Stem INFL

<Stem head pos> = <INFL from_pos>

<Word head> = <INFL head>
```

- The morpheme symbols Stem, INFL are pre-terminals and they correspond to the names of sublexicons where the morphemes were found
- The rule cannot be used if the feature pos of the substructure head of the morpheme Stem is not equal to the feature from\_pos of the morpheme INFL

```
Word -> Stem INFL

<Stem head pos> = <INFL from_pos>

<Word head> = <INFL head>
```

- The morpheme symbols Stem, INFL are pre-terminals and they correspond to the names of sublexicons where the morphemes were found
- The rule cannot be used if the feature pos of the substructure head of the morpheme Stem is not equal to the feature from\_pos of the morpheme INFL
- If the rule is used it shall copy the value of the head feature from the INFL constituent to the head feature of the Word constituent

RULE <rule> <rule constraints>

• Left-hand side is separated from right-hand side by -> or =

```
RULE Stem_1 = Stem_2 SUFFIX
```

- X represents any terminal or non-terminal
- Characters () [] {}<>=:/ are special
  - Underscore is used only to append an index to a symbol
- Left-hand side of the first rule is the start symbol of the grammar
- N = Nstem {Sing / Plur}

# Advantages of the Grammar

- Czech examples:
  - Grammar blocks combination of incompatible stem and suffix
    - E.g., stem belongs to the *žena* "woman" paradigm, suffix belongs to the *růže* "rose" paradigm

# Advantages of the Grammar

- Czech examples:
  - · Grammar blocks combination of incompatible stem and suffix
    - E.g., stem belongs to the *žena* "woman" paradigm, suffix belongs to the *růže* "rose" paradigm
  - It can check long-distance dependencies such as
    - *nejchytřejší* "smartest"

# Advantages of the Grammar

- Czech examples:
  - · Grammar blocks combination of incompatible stem and suffix
    - E.g., stem belongs to the *žena* "woman" paradigm, suffix belongs to the *růže* "rose" paradigm
  - It can check long-distance dependencies such as
    - *nejchytřejší* "smartest"
  - Take feminine noun žena "woman". Derive possessive adjective ženin "woman's"
    - Change gender from feminine to masculine (the suffix says that the possessed object is masculine)
    - Store the original gender as lexical possessor's gender

# Czech Nouns without Grammar



# Czech Nouns with Grammar



# Czech Adjectives without Grammar



# **Czech Adjectives with Grammar**



# **Grammar Cannot Interact with Phonology**

- Phonological rule of consonant softening in **D** Czech imperative:
  - *meteš* "you sweep" → *met(-me, -te)* "sweep!"
  - t:ť  $\Leftrightarrow$  \_ +:0  $\lambda:0 \text{ or m:m}$  e:e or t:t e:e
- The rule must not apply in genitive plural form of feminine nouns:
  - $k \delta t a$  "spot elevation"  $\rightarrow * k \delta t$
- Phonological rules cannot read the feature structures to constrain their application
- There have been extensions other than PC-Kimmo that combined phonological rules with feature structures, e.g., Trost (1990)

### **Automatic Features**

- Every lexicon entry automatically receives the following features:
  - cat = name of sublexicon ( $\lx$ )
  - lex = morpheme, lexical string (\lf)
  - gloss = gloss from the lexicon entry (\gl)

# **Assigning Values to Features**

- Abbreviations of feature assignments
  - If we are going to assign a value to thousands of lexicon entries we want it to be as short as possible
  - LET <shortcut | category> be <definition>
  - e.g.
  - Let pl be [number: PL]
  - Let pl be <number> = PL
  - Let 3sg be [tense: PRES agr: 3SG]
- Disjunction:
  - Let sg/pl be {[number:SG][number:PL]}
  - Let sg/pl be <number> = {SG PL}
- Default values:
  - Let N be <number> = !SG
  - Unless someone explicitly assigns the value of number to a noun, the noun is assumed to be in singular

### **Lexical Rules**

- Not shortcuts but systematic transformation of features for groups of lexicon entries. They transform a feature structure to another one
- DEFINE <lexical rule name> as <mapping>
- The example in the on-line documentation is invalid
- When the analysis is done and the feature structure for the whole word is ready, we can apply a lexical rule that will modify the structure

# **Parameter Setting**

- **PARAMETER** <name> is <value>
  - Parameter Start symbol is Word
  - Parameter Attribute order is cat head root
    - In which order shall PC-Kimmo display the features?
  - Category feature (default: cat)
  - Lexical feature (default: lex)
  - Gloss feature (default: gloss)
    - What are the names of important features with special meaning?