NPFL139, Lecture 4



Function Approximation, Deep Q Network, Rainbow

Milan Straka

🖬 March 11, 2024





EUROPEAN UNION European Structural and Investment Fund Operational Programme Research, Development and Education Charles University in Prague Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics



unless otherwise stated

Function Approximation

We will approximate value function v and/or action-value function q, selecting it from a family of functions parametrized by a weight vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We denote the approximations as

 $\hat{v}(s;oldsymbol{w}),\ \hat{q}(s,a;oldsymbol{w}).$

We utilize the Mean Squared Value Error objective, denoted \overline{VE} :

$$\overline{VE}(oldsymbol{w}) \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \mu(s) \left[v_{\pi}(s) - \hat{v}(s,oldsymbol{w})
ight]^2,$$

where the state distribution $\mu(s)$ is usually on-policy distribution.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient Off-polic

Off-policy Divergence DQN



Gradient and Semi-Gradient Methods



The functional approximation (i.e., the weight vector \boldsymbol{w}) is usually optimized using gradient methods, for example as

$$oldsymbol{w}_{t+1} \leftarrow oldsymbol{w}_t - rac{1}{2} lpha
abla_{oldsymbol{w}_t}ig(v_\pi(S_t) - \hat{v}(S_t;oldsymbol{w}_t)ig)^2 \ \leftarrow oldsymbol{w}_t + lphaig(v_\pi(S_t) - \hat{v}(S_t;oldsymbol{w}_t)ig)
abla_{oldsymbol{w}_t}\hat{v}(S_t;oldsymbol{w}_t).$$

As usual, the $v_{\pi}(S_t)$ is estimated by a suitable sample of a return:

- in Monte Carlo methods, we use episodic return G_t ,
- in temporal difference methods, we employ bootstrapping and use one-step return

$$R_{t+1} + [\neg ext{done}] \cdot \gamma \hat{v}(S_{t+1}; oldsymbol{w})$$

or an *n*-step return.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Temporal Difference Semi-Gradient Policy Evaluation



In TD methods, we again bootstrap the estimate $v_{\pi}(S_t)$ as $R_{t+1} + [\neg ext{done}] \cdot \gamma \hat{v}(S_{t+1}; m{w})$.

Semi-gradient TD(0) for estimating $\hat{v} \approx v_{\pi}$

```
Input: the policy \pi to be evaluated

Input: a differentiable function \hat{v}: S^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} such that \hat{v}(\text{terminal}, \cdot) = 0

Algorithm parameter: step size \alpha > 0

Initialize value-function weights \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d arbitrarily (e.g., \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0})

Loop for each episode:

Initialize S

Loop for each step of episode:

Choose A \sim \pi(\cdot|S)

Take action A, observe R, S'

\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha [R + \gamma \hat{v}(S', \mathbf{w}) - \hat{v}(S, \mathbf{w})] \nabla \hat{v}(S, \mathbf{w})

S \leftarrow S'

until S is terminal
```

Algorithm 9.3 of "Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction, Second Edition".

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Off-policy Divergence DQN

Why Semi-Gradient TD

NPFL139.

ÚF

Note that the above algorithm is called **semi-gradient**, because it does not backpropagate through $\hat{v}(S_{t+1}; \boldsymbol{w})$:

$$oldsymbol{w} \leftarrow oldsymbol{w} + lphaig(R_{t+1} + [
egdondegree] \cdot \gamma \hat{v}(S_{t+1};oldsymbol{w}) - \hat{v}(S_t;oldsymbol{w})ig)
abla_{oldsymbol{w}} \hat{v}(S_t;oldsymbol{w}).$$

In other words, the above rule is in fact not an SGD update, because there does not exist a function J(w), for which we would get the above update.

To sketch a proof, consider a linear $\hat{v}(S_t; \boldsymbol{w}) = \sum_i x(S_t)_i w_i$ and assume such a $J(\boldsymbol{w})$ exists. Then

$$rac{\partial}{\partial w_i}J(oldsymbol{w}) = ig(R_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{v}(S_{t+1};oldsymbol{w}) - \hat{v}(S_t;oldsymbol{w})ig)x(S_t)_i.$$

Now considering second derivatives, we see they are not equal, which is a contradiction:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} J(\boldsymbol{w}) = \left(\gamma x(S_{t+1})_i - x(S_t)_i \right) x(S_t)_j = \gamma x(S_{t+1})_i x(S_t)_j - x(S_t)_i x(S_t)_j$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial w_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} J(\boldsymbol{w}) = \left(\gamma x(S_{t+1})_j - x(S_t)_j \right) x(S_t)_i = \gamma x(S_{t+1})_j x(S_t)_i - x(S_t)_i x(S_t)_j$$
Lecture 4 Semi-Gradient Off-policy Divergence DQN Rainbow DDQN Prioritized Replay Dueling Networks

Temporal Difference Semi-Gradient Convergence

Ú_F≩L

It can be proven (by using separate theory than for SGD) that the linear semi-gradient TD methods do converge.

However, they do not converge to the optimum of \overline{VE} . Instead, they converge to a different **TD fixed point** w_{TD} .

It can be proven that

$$\overline{VE}(oldsymbol{w}_{ ext{TD}}) \leq rac{1}{1-\gamma} \min_{oldsymbol{w}} \overline{VE}(oldsymbol{w}).$$

However, when γ is close to one, the multiplication factor in the above bound is quite large.

Temporal Difference Semi-Gradient Policy Evaluation



As before, we can utilize n-step TD methods.

n-step semi-gradient TD for estimating $\hat{v} \approx v_{\pi}$

```
Input: the policy \pi to be evaluated
Input: a differentiable function \hat{v}: \mathbb{S}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} such that \hat{v}(\text{terminal}, \cdot) = 0
Algorithm parameters: step size \alpha > 0, a positive integer n
Initialize value-function weights \mathbf{w} arbitrarily (e.g., \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0})
All store and access operations (S_t \text{ and } R_t) can take their index mod n+1
Loop for each episode:
    Initialize and store S_0 \neq terminal
    T \leftarrow \infty
    Loop for t = 0, 1, 2, ...:
        If t < T, then:
            Take an action according to \pi(\cdot|S_t)
            Observe and store the next reward as R_{t+1} and the next state as S_{t+1}
            If S_{t+1} is terminal, then T \leftarrow t+1
        \tau \leftarrow t - n + 1 (\tau is the time whose state's estimate is being updated)
        If \tau > 0:
            G \leftarrow \sum_{i=\tau+1}^{\min(\tau+n,T)} \gamma^{i-\tau-1} R_i
            If \tau + n < T, then: G \leftarrow G + \gamma^n \hat{v}(S_{\tau+n}, \mathbf{w})
                                                                                                (G_{\tau:\tau+n})
            \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha \left[ G - \hat{v}(S_{\tau}, \mathbf{w}) \right] \nabla \hat{v}(S_{\tau}, \mathbf{w})
    Until \tau = T - 1
```

Algorithm 9.5 of "Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction, Second Edition".

Prioritized Replay

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Temporal Difference Semi-Gradient Policy Evaluation

On the left, the results of one-step TD(0) algorithm are presented. The effect of increasing n in an n-step variant is displayed on the right.



Semi-Gradient Off-policy

Off-policy Divergence DQN



Sarsa with Function Approximation

Ú F_AL

Until now, we talked only about policy evaluation. Naturally, we can extend it to a full Sarsa algorithm:

Episodic Semi-gradient Sarsa for Estimating $\hat{q} \approx q_*$

```
Input: a differentiable action-value function parameterization \hat{q}: \mathbb{S} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}
Algorithm parameters: step size \alpha > 0, small \varepsilon > 0
Initialize action-value function weights \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d arbitrarily (e.g., \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0})
Loop for each episode:
    S, A \leftarrow \text{initial state and action of episode (e.g., <math>\varepsilon-greedy)
    Loop for each step of episode:
         Take action A, observe R, S'
         If S' is terminal:
              \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha [R - \hat{q}(S, A, \mathbf{w})] \nabla \hat{q}(S, A, \mathbf{w})
              Go to next episode
         Choose A' as a function of \hat{q}(S', \cdot, \mathbf{w}) (e.g., \varepsilon-greedy)
         \mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha [R + \gamma \hat{q}(S', A', \mathbf{w}) - \hat{q}(S, A, \mathbf{w})] \nabla \hat{q}(S, A, \mathbf{w})
         S \leftarrow S'
         A \leftarrow A'
```

Modified from Algorithm 10.1 of "Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction, Second Edition".

Sarsa with Function Approximation

Additionally, we can incorporate n-step returns:

Semi-Gradient

```
Episodic semi-gradient n-step Sarsa for estimating \hat{q} \approx q_* or q_{\pi}
Input: a differentiable action-value function parameterization \hat{q}: \mathbb{S} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}
Input: a policy \pi (if estimating q_{\pi})
Algorithm parameters: step size \alpha > 0, small \varepsilon > 0, a positive integer n
Initialize action-value function weights \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d arbitrarily (e.g., \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0})
All store and access operations (S_t, A_t, \text{ and } R_t) can take their index mod n+1
Loop for each episode:
   Initialize and store S_0 \neq terminal
    Select and store an action A_0 \sim \pi(\cdot|S_0) or \varepsilon-greedy wrt \hat{q}(S_0, \cdot, \mathbf{w})
    T \leftarrow \infty
    Loop for t = 0, 1, 2, ...:
        If t < T, then:
             Take action A_t
             Observe and store the next reward as R_{t+1} and the next state as S_{t+1}
             If S_{t+1} is terminal, then:
                  T \leftarrow t + 1
             else:
                  Select and store A_{t+1} \sim \pi(\cdot | S_{t+1}) or \varepsilon-greedy wrt \hat{q}(S_{t+1}, \cdot, \mathbf{w})
        \tau \leftarrow t - n + 1 (\tau is the time whose estimate is being updated)
        If \tau > 0:
             G \leftarrow \sum_{i=\tau+1}^{\min(\tau+n,T)} \gamma^{i-\tau-1} R_i
             If \tau + n < T, then G \leftarrow G + \gamma^n \hat{q}(S_{\tau+n}, A_{\tau+n}, \mathbf{w})
\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} + \alpha [G - \hat{q}(S_{\tau}, A_{\tau}, \mathbf{w})] \nabla \hat{q}(S_{\tau}, A_{\tau}, \mathbf{w})
                                                                                                                   (G_{\tau:\tau+n})
    Until \tau = T - 1
```

Modified from Algorithm 10.2 of "Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction, Second Edition".

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Rainbow DDQN

Dueling Networks

Mountain Car Example





The performances are for semi-gradient Sarsa(λ) algorithm (which we did not talked about yet) with tile coding of 8 overlapping tiles covering position and velocity, with offsets of (1, 3).

NPFL139, Lecture 4

ence DQN

Rainbow DDQN

Mountain Car Example





NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient Off-policy

Off-policy Divergence DQN

Rainbow E

DDQN Prioritized Replay

Dueling Networks

Consider a deterministic transition between two states whose values are computed using the same weight:



- If initially w=10, the TD error will be also 10 (or nearly 10 if $\gamma < 1$).
- If for example $\alpha = 0.1$, w will be increased to 11 (by 10%).
- This process can continue indefinitely.

However, the problem arises only in off-policy setting, where we do not decrease value of the second state from further observation.

The previous idea can be implemented for instance by the following **Baird's counterexample**:



The rewards are zero everywhere, so the value function is also zero everywhere. We assume the initial values of weights are 1, except for $w_7 = 10$, and that the learning rate $\alpha = 0.01$.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient Off-policy Divergence

gence DQN

Rainbow DDQN

For off-policy semi-gradient Sarsa, or even for off-policy dynamic-programming update (where we compute expectation over all following states and actions), the weights diverge to $+\infty$. Using on-policy distribution converges fine.

$$oldsymbol{w} \leftarrow oldsymbol{w} + rac{lpha}{|\mathcal{S}|} \sum_s \Big(\mathbb{E}_{\pi}ig[R_{t+1} + \gamma \hat{v}(S_{t+1};oldsymbol{w}) | S_t = sig] - \hat{v}(s;oldsymbol{w}) \Big)
abla \hat{v}(s;oldsymbol{w})$$



Ú FAL

The divergence can happen when all following elements are combined:

- functional approximation;
- bootstrapping;
- off-policy training.

In the Sutton's and Barto's book, these are called the deadly triad.



Volodymyr Mnih et al.: *Playing Atari with Deep Reinforcement Learning* (Dec 2013 on arXiv), in Feb 2015 accepted in Nature as *Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning*. Off-policy Q-learning algorithm with a convolutional neural network function approximation of action-value function.

Training can be extremely brittle (and can even diverge as shown earlier).

Rainbow

DDQN



Figure 1 of "Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning" by Volodymyr Mnih et al.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient Off-policy Divergence

ergence DQN

Rainbow

Dueling Networks

- Preprocessing: 210×160 128-color images are converted to grayscale and then resized to 84×84 .
- Frame skipping technique is used, i.e., only every 4th frame (out of 60 per second) is considered, and the selected action is repeated on the other frames.
- Frame stacking is utilized the input to the network are the last 4 frames (considering only the frames kept by frame skipping), i.e., the network inpus is an image with 4 channels.
- The network is fairly standard, performing
 - $^{\circ}~$ 32 filters of size 8×8 with stride 4 and ReLU,
 - $^{\circ}~$ 64 filters of size 4×4 with stride 2 and ReLU,
 - $^{\circ}~$ 64 filters of size 3×3 with stride 1 and ReLU,
 - $^{\circ}\,$ fully connected layer with 512 units and ReLU,
 - $^{\circ}$ output layer with 18 output units (one for each action)

Ú FAL

• Network is trained with RMSProp to minimize the following loss:

 $\mathcal{L} \stackrel{ ext{\tiny def}}{=} \mathbb{E}_{(s,a,r,s') \sim ext{data}} \left[(r + [
egtadom done] \cdot \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s',a';ar{m{ heta}}) - Q(s,a;m{ heta}))^2
ight].$

• An arepsilon-greedy behavior policy is utilized (starts at arepsilon=1 and gradually decreases to 0.1).

Important improvements:

- experience replay: the generated episodes are stored in a buffer as (s, a, r, s') quadruples, and for training a transition is sampled uniformly (off-policy training);
- separate target network θ: to prevent instabilities, a separate target network is used to estimate one-step returns. The weights are not trained, but copied from the trained network after a fixed number of gradient updates;
- reward clipping: because rewards have wildly different scale in different games, all positive rewards are replaced by +1 and negative by -1; life loss is used as an end of episode.
 - \circ furthermore, $(r + [\neg done] \cdot \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a'; \overline{\theta}) Q(s, a; \theta))$ is also clipped to [-1, 1] (i.e., a smooth_{L1} loss or Huber loss).

DQN

NPFL139, Lecture 4

DDQN



Algorithm 1: deep Q-learning with experience replay. Initialize replay memory D to capacity NInitialize action-value function Q with random weights θ Initialize target action-value function Q with weights $\theta^- = \theta$ For episode = 1, M do Initialize sequence $s_1 = \{x_1\}$ and preprocessed sequence $\phi_1 = \phi(s_1)$ For t = 1,T do With probability ε select a random action a_t otherwise select $a_t = \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(\phi(s_t), a; \theta)$ Execute action a_t in emulator and observe reward r_t and image x_{t+1} Set $s_{t+1} = s_t, a_t, x_{t+1}$ and preprocess $\phi_{t+1} = \phi(s_{t+1})$ Store transition $(\phi_t, a_t, r_t, \phi_{t+1})$ in *D* Sample random minibatch of transitions $(\phi_j, a_j, r_j, \phi_{j+1})$ from D Set $y_j = \begin{cases} r_j & \text{if episode terminates at step } j+1 \\ r_j + \gamma \max_{a'} \hat{Q}(\phi_{j+1}, a'; \theta^-) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ Perform a gradient descent step on $(y_j - Q(\phi_j, a_j; \theta))^2$ with respect to the network parameters θ Every C steps reset Q = Q**End For End For** Algorithm 1 of "Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning" by Volodymyr Mnih et al.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

gence DQN

Rainbow [

DDQN Prioritized Replay

ay Dueling Networks

Networks





NPFL139, Lecture 4

Off-policy Divergence

Semi-Gradient

Rainbow

DDQN Prioritized Replay **Dueling Networks**



Extended Data Figure 2a of "Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning" by Volodymyr Mnih et al.

DDQN

DQN

Rainbow

Prioritized Replay

Dueling Networks





Extended Data Figure 2b of "Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning" by Volodymyr Mnih et al.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient Off-policy Divergence

DQN

Rainbow

DDQN

Prioritized Replay

Dueling Networks

Deep Q Networks Hyperparameters



Hyperparameter	Value	
minibatch size	32	
replay buffer size	1M	
target network update frequency	10k	
discount factor	0.99	
training frames	50M	
RMSProp learning rate and both momentums	0.00025, 0.95	
initial $arepsilon$, final $arepsilon$ (linear decay) and frame of final $arepsilon$	1.0, 0.1, 1M	
replay start size	50k	
no-op max	30	

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Rainbow

There have been many suggested improvements to the DQN architecture. In the end of 2017, the *Rainbow: Combining Improvements in Deep Reinforcement Learning* paper combines 6 of them into a single architecture they call **Rainbow**.



Figure 1 of "Rainbow: Combining Improvements in Deep Reinforcement Learning" by Matteo Hessel et al.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient

Off-policy Divergence DQN

Rainbow

DDQN Prioritized Replay

Dueling Networks

Q-learning and Maximization Bias

ÚFAL

Because behaviour policy in Q-learning is ε -greedy variant of the target policy, the same samples (up to ε -greedy) determine both the maximizing action and estimate its value.



Double Q-learning



Double Q-learning, for estimating $Q_1 \approx Q_2 \approx q_*$

Algorithm parameters: step size $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, small $\varepsilon > 0$ Initialize $Q_1(s, a)$ and $Q_2(s, a)$, for all $s \in S$, $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$, such that $Q(terminal, \cdot) = 0$ Loop for each episode: Initialize SLoop for each step of episode: Choose A from S using the policy ε -greedy in $Q_1 + Q_2$ Take action A, observe R, S'With 0.5 probabilility: $Q_1(S,A) \leftarrow Q_1(S,A) + \alpha \Big(R + \gamma Q_2 \big(S', \operatorname{arg\,max}_a Q_1(S',a) \big) - Q_1(S,A) \Big)$ else: $Q_2(S,A) \leftarrow Q_2(S,A) + \alpha \Big(R + \gamma Q_1 \big(S', \operatorname{arg\,max}_a Q_2(S',a) \big) - Q_2(S,A) \Big)$ $S \leftarrow S'$ until S is terminal

Modification of Algorithm 6.7 of "Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction, Second Edition" (replacing S+ by S).

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Off-policy Divergence DQN



Double Q-learning

Similarly to double Q-learning, instead of

Semi-Gradient

$$r+\gamma \max_{a'} Q(s',a';oldsymbol{eta}) - Q(s,a;oldsymbol{ heta}),$$

we minimize





Figure 1: The orange bars show the bias in a single Qlearning update when the action values are $Q(s, a) = V_*(s) + \epsilon_a$ and the errors $\{\epsilon_a\}_{a=1}^m$ are independent standard normal random variables. The second set of action values Q', used for the blue bars, was generated identically and independently. All bars are the average of 100 repetitions. Figure 1 of "Deep Reinforcement Learning with Double Q-learning" by Hado van Hasselt et al.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Off-policy Divergence

Rainbow

DQN

DDQN Prioritized Replay

Dueling Networks

Double Q-learning True value and an estimate All estimates and max Bias as function of state Average error $\mathbf{2}$ $\mathbf{2}$ $\max_a Q_t(s,a) - \max_a Q_*(s,a)$ $\max_a Q_t(s,a)$ +0.61 $Q_*(s,a)$ 0 0 \cap -0.02Double-Q estimate S. -1-2-2 $\max_{a} Q_t(s,a) - \max_{a} Q_*(s,a)$ $\max_a Q_t(s,a)$ +0.472 $Q_*(s,a)$ 2 $Q_t(s,a)$ +0.02Double-Q estimate 0 0 -14 $\max_a Q$ $Q_t(s,a)$ $\max_a Q_t(s, a)$ $\max_a c$ 222+3.350 0 -0.02Double-Q estimate $_*(s, a)$ 2 $\mathbf{2}$ 6 6 $\mathbf{2}$ 6 4 state state state Figure 2 of "Deep Reinforcement Learning with Double Q-learning" by Hado van Hasselt et al.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient Off-policy Divergence

ivergence DQN

Rainbow

DDQN Prioritized Replay

play Dueling

Dueling Networks

Double Q-learning Space Invaders Time Pilot Alien Zaxxon 2.5Value estimates -20 8 DQN estimate 2.0151.5Double DQN estimate 2 1.0Double DQN true value DQN true value 0 50 100 150 200 50 100 150 200 50 100 150 200 0 50 100 150 200 0 0 0 Training steps (in millions) Wizard of Wor Asterix Value estimates 100 80 (log scale) DQN 40 1020DQN 10Double DQN Double DQN 5 50100 1502000 50100 150200 0 Wizard of Wor Asterix 4000 Double DQN 6000 Double DQN 3000 Score 4000 2000 2000 1000 DON 0 0 100 150200 100 150200 500 500 Training steps (in millions) Training steps (in millions)

Figure 3 of "Deep Reinforcement Learning with Double Q-learning" by Hado van Hasselt et al.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient Off-polic

Off-policy Divergence DQN

Rainbow DDQN

Prioritized Replay

Dueling Networks

Ú F_ÁL

Double Q-learning

Performance on episodes taking at most 5 minutes and no-op starts on 49 games:

	DQN	Double DQN
Median	93.5%	114.7%
Mean	241.1%	330.3%

Table 1 of "Deep Reinforcement Learning with Double Q-learning" by Hado van Hasselt et al.

Performance on episodes taking at most 30 minutes and using human starts on 49 games:

	DQN	Double DQN	Double DQN (tuned)
Median	47.5%	88.4%	116.7%
Mean	122.0%	273.1%	475.2%

Table 2 of "Deep Reinforcement Learning with Double Q-learning" by Hado van Hasselt et al.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Prioritized Replay

Instead of sampling the transitions uniformly from the replay buffer, we instead prefer those with a large TD error. Therefore, we sample transitions according to their probability

$$p_t \propto \Big| r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s',a';oldsymbol{eta}) - Q(s,a;oldsymbol{ heta}) \Big|^{\omega},$$

where ω controls the shape of the distribution (which is uniform for $\omega = 0$ and corresponds to TD error for $\omega = 1$).

New transitions are inserted into the replay buffer with maximum probability to support exploration of all encountered transitions.

When combined with DDQN, the probabilities are naturally computed as

$$p_t \propto \left| r + \gamma Q(s', rgmax_{a'} Q(s', a'; oldsymbol{ heta}); oldsymbol{eta}) - Q(s, a; oldsymbol{ heta})
ight|^{\omega},$$

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Ú F_AL

Prioritized Replay

Because we now sample transitions according to p_t instead of uniformly, on-policy distribution and sampling distribution differ. To compensate, we therefore utilize importance sampling with ratio

$$ho_t = \left(rac{1/N}{p_t}
ight)^eta$$
 .

The authors utilize in fact "for stability reasons"

$$ho_t/\max_i
ho_i.$$

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient Off

Off-policy Divergence DQN

Prioritized Replay

Algorithm 1 Double DQN with proportional prioritization

- 1: Input: minibatch k, step-size η , replay period K and size N, exponents α and β , budget T.
- 2: Initialize replay memory $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$, $\Delta = 0$, $p_1 = 1$
- 3: Observe S_0 and choose $A_0 \sim \pi_{\theta}(S_0)$
- 4: for t = 1 to T do
- 5: Observe S_t, R_t, γ_t
- 6: Store transition $(S_{t-1}, A_{t-1}, R_t, \gamma_t, S_t)$ in \mathcal{H} with maximal priority $p_t = \max_{i < t} p_i$
- 7: **if** $t \equiv 0 \mod K$ **then**
- 8: for j = 1 to k do

9: Sample transition
$$j \sim P(j) = p_j^{\alpha} / \sum_i p_i^{\alpha}$$

- 10: Compute importance-sampling weight $w_j = (N \cdot P(j))^{-\beta} / \max_i w_i$
- 11: Compute TD-error $\delta_j = R_j + \gamma_j Q_{\text{target}} (S_j, \arg \max_a Q(S_j, a)) Q(S_{j-1}, A_{j-1})$
- 12: Update transition priority $p_j \leftarrow |\delta_j|$
- 13: Accumulate weight-change $\Delta \leftarrow \Delta + w_j \cdot \delta_j \cdot \nabla_{\theta} Q(S_{j-1}, A_{j-1})$
- 14: **end for**
- 15: Update weights $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \eta \cdot \Delta$, reset $\Delta = 0$
- 16: From time to time copy weights into target network $\theta_{\text{target}} \leftarrow \theta$
- 17: **end if**
- 18: Choose action $A_t \sim \pi_{\theta}(S_t)$
- 19: **end for**

Algorithm 1 of "Prioritized Experience Replay" by Tom Schaul et al.

Ú F_AL

Dueling Networks

Instead of computing directly $Q(s, a; \boldsymbol{\theta})$, we compose it from the following quantities:

- average return in a given state s, $V(s; m{ heta}) = rac{1}{|\mathcal{A}|} \sum_a Q(s, a; m{ heta})$,
- advantage function computing an **advantage** $Q(s, a; \theta) V(s; \theta)$ of action a in state s.



Figure 1 of "Dueling Network Architectures for Deep Reinforcement Learning" by Ziyu Wang et al.

$$Q(s,a) \stackrel{ ext{def}}{=} Vig(f(s;\zeta);\etaig) + Aig(f(s;\zeta),a;\psiig) - rac{\sum_{a'\in\mathcal{A}} A(f(s;\zeta),a';\psi)}{|\mathcal{A}|}$$

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient Off-policy Divergence

e DQN

Rainbow DDQN

Prioritized Replay

Dueling Networks

Dueling Networks



Figure 3. (a) The corridor environment. The star marks the starting state. The redness of a state signifies the reward the agent receives upon arrival. The game terminates upon reaching either reward state. The agent's actions are going up, down, left, right and no action. Plots (b), (c) and (d) shows squared error for policy evaluation with 5, 10, and 20 actions on a log-log scale. The dueling network (Duel) consistently outperforms a conventional single-stream network (Single), with the performance gap increasing with the number of actions.

Figure 3 of "Dueling Network Architectures for Deep Reinforcement Learning" by Ziyu Wang et al.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient Off-po

Off-policy Divergence DQN

Rainbow

DDQN



Dueling Networks



Figure 2 of "Dueling Network Architectures for Deep Reinforcement Learning" by Ziyu Wang et al.

DQN

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient Off-polic

Off-policy Divergence

Rainbow I

DDQN Prioritized Replay

Dueling Networks

Dueling Networks

Results on all 57 games (retraining the original DQN on the 8 missing games). Single refers to DDQN with a direct computation of $Q(s, a; \theta)$, Clip corresponds to additional gradient clipping to norm at most 10 and larger first hidden layer (so that duelling and single have roughly the same number of parameters).

	30 no-ops		Human Starts	
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median
Prior. Duel Clip	591.9%	172.1%	567.0%	115.3%
Prior. Single	434.6%	123.7%	386.7%	112.9%
Duel Clip	373.1%	151.5%	343.8%	117.1%
Single Clip	341.2%	132.6%	302.8%	114.1%
Single	307.3%	117.8%	332.9%	110.9%
Nature DQN	227.9%	79.1%	219.6%	68.5%

Table 1 of "Dueling Network Architectures for Deep Reinforcement Learning" by Ziyu Wang et al.

NPFL139, Lecture 4

Semi-Gradient Off-policy Divergence

ergence DQN