NPFL122, Lecture 13



Explicit Memory, MERLIN, FTW

Milan Straka

🖬 January 5, 2021





EUROPEAN UNION European Structural and Investment Fund Operational Programme Research, Development and Education Charles University in Prague Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics



unless otherwise stated

MDPs and Partially Observable MDPs



Recall that a Markov decision process (MDP) is a quadruple (S, A, p, γ) , where:

- ${\mathcal S}$ is a set of states,
- \mathcal{A} is a set of actions,
- $p(S_{t+1} = s', R_{t+1} = r | S_t = s, A_t = a)$ is a probability that action $a \in \mathcal{A}$ will lead from state $s \in \mathcal{S}$ to $s' \in \mathcal{S}$, producing a **reward** $r \in \mathbb{R}$,
- $\gamma \in [0,1]$ is a discount factor.

Partially observable Markov decision process extends the Markov decision process to a sextuple (S, A, p, γ, O, o) , where in addition to an MDP

- \mathcal{O} is a set of observations,
- $o(O_t|S_t, A_{t-1})$ is an observation model, which is used as agent input instead of S_t .

Partially Observable MDPs

Ú_F≩L

In Deep RL, partially observable MDPs are usually handled using recurrent networks. After suitable encoding of input observation O_t and previous action A_{t-1} , a RNN (usually LSTM) unit is used to model the current S_t (or its suitable latent representation), which is in turn utilized to produce A_t .



a. RL-LSTM

However, keeping all information in the RNN state is substantially limiting. Therefore, *memory*augmented networks can be used to store suitable information in external memory (in the lines of NTM, DNC or MANN models).

We now describe an approach used by Merlin architecture (Unsupervised Predictive Memory in a Goal-Directed Agent DeepMind Mar 2018 paper).



b. RL-MEM

MERLIN – Memory Module

Let $oldsymbol{M}$ be a memory matrix of size $N_{mem} imes 2|oldsymbol{e}|$.

Assume we have already encoded observations as e_t and previous action a_{t-1} . We concatenate them with K previously read vectors and process then by a deep LSTM (two layers are used in the paper) to compute h_t .

Then, we apply a linear layer to $m{h}_t$, computing K key vectors $m{k}_1,\ldots,m{k}_K$ of length $2|m{e}|$ and K positive scalars $m{eta}_1,\ldots,m{eta}_K$.



Reading: For each *i*, we compute cosine similarity of k_i and all memory rows M_j , multiply the similarities by β_i and pass them through a softmax to obtain weights ω_i . The read vector is then computed as Mw_i .

Writing: We find one-hot write index \boldsymbol{v}_{wr} to be the least used memory row (we keep usage indicators and add read weights to them). We then compute $\boldsymbol{v}_{ret} \leftarrow \gamma \boldsymbol{v}_{ret} + (1 - \gamma) \boldsymbol{v}_{wr}$, and update the memory matrix using $\boldsymbol{M} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{M} + \boldsymbol{v}_{wr}[\boldsymbol{e}_t, 0] + \boldsymbol{v}_{ret}[0, \boldsymbol{e}_t]$.

MERLIN — Prior and Posterior



However, updating the encoder and memory content purely using RL is inefficient. Therefore, MERLIN includes a *memory-based predictor (MBP)* in addition to policy. The goal of MBP is to compress observations into low-dimensional state representations z and storing them in memory.

According to the paper, the idea of unsupervised and predictive modeling has been entertained for decades, and recent discussions have proposed such modeling to be connected to hippocampal memory.

We want the state variables not only to faithfully represent the data, but also emphasise rewarding elements of the environment above irrelevant ones. To accomplish this, the authors follow the hippocampal representation theory of Gluck and Myers, who proposed that hippocampal representations pass through a compressive bottleneck and then reconstruct input stimuli together with task reward.

In MERLIN, a *prior* distribution over z_t predicts next state variable conditioned on history of state variables and actions $p(z_t | z_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, \dots, z_1, a_1)$, and *posterior* corrects the prior using the new observation o_t , forming a better estimate $q(z_t | o_t, z_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, \dots, z_1, a_1)$.

MERLIN — Prior and Posterior



To achieve the mentioned goals, we add two terms to the loss.

- We try reconstructing input stimuli, action, reward and return using a sample from the state variable posterior, and add the difference of the reconstruction and ground truth to the loss.
- We also add KL divergence of the prior and posterior to the loss, to ensure consistency between the prior and posterior.



MERLIN — Algorithm



Algorithm 1 MERLIN Worker Pseudocode // Assume global shared parameter vectors θ for the policy network and χ for the memorybased predictor; global shared counter T := 0// Assume thread-specific parameter vectors θ', χ' // Assume discount factor $\gamma \in (0, 1]$ and bootstrapping parameter $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ Initialize thread step counter t := 1repeat Synchronize thread-specific parameters $\theta' := \theta$; $\chi' := \chi$ Zero model's memory & recurrent state if new episode begins $t_{\text{start}} := t$ repeat Prior $\mathcal{N}(\mu_t^p, \log \Sigma_t^p) = p(h_{t-1}, m_{t-1})$ $e_t = \operatorname{enc}(o_t)$ Posterior $\mathcal{N}(\mu_t^q, \log \Sigma_t^q) = q(e_t, h_{t-1}, m_{t-1}, \mu_t^p, \log \Sigma_t^p)$ Sample $z_t \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_t^q, \log \Sigma_t^q)$ Policy network update $\tilde{h}_t = \operatorname{rec}(\tilde{h}_{t-1}, \tilde{m}_t, \operatorname{StopGradient}(z_t))$ Policy distribution $\pi_t = \pi(\tilde{h}_t, \text{StopGradient}(z_t))$ Sample $a_t \sim \pi_t$ $h_t = \operatorname{rec}(h_{t-1}, m_t, z_t)$ Update memory with z_t by Methods Eq. 2 $R_t, o_t^r = \operatorname{dec}(z_t, \pi_t, a_t)$ Apply a_t to environment and receive reward r_t and observation o_{t+1} t := t + 1; T := T + 1**until** environment termination or $t - t_{\text{start}} = \tau_{\text{window}}$ If not terminated, run additional step to compute $V_{\mu}^{\pi}(z_{t+1}, \log \pi_{t+1})$ and set $R_{t+1} := V^{\pi}(z_{t+1}, \log \pi_{t+1}) //$ (but don't increment counters) Reset performance accumulators $\mathcal{A} := 0$; $\mathcal{L} := 0$; $\mathcal{H} := 0$ for k from t down to t_{start} do 0, if k is environment termination $\gamma_t :=$ γ , otherwise $R_k := r_k + \gamma_t R_{k+1}$ $\delta_k := r_k + \gamma_t V^{\pi}(z_{k+1}, \log \pi_{k+1}) - V^{\pi}(z_k, \log \pi_k)$ $A_k := \delta_k + (\gamma \lambda) A_{k+1}$ $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_k \text{ (Eq. 7)}$ $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{A} + A_k \log \pi_k[a_k]$ $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H} - \alpha_{\text{entropy}} \sum_{i} \pi_{k}[i] \log \pi_{k}[i]$ (Entropy loss) end for $d\chi' := \nabla_{\chi'} \mathcal{L}$ $d\theta' := \nabla_{\theta'}(\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{H})$ Asynchronously update via gradient ascent θ using $d\theta'$ and χ using $d\chi'$ until $T > T_{max}$

Algorithm 1 of paper "Unsupervised Predictive Memory in a Goal-Directed Agent" by Greg Wayne et al.

MERLIN





MERLIN



NPFL122, Lecture 13 POMDP

MERLIN CTF-FTW

10/15

MERLIN





Extended Figure 3 of paper "Unsupervised Predictive Memory in a Goal-Directed Agent" by Greg Wayne et al.





Figure 2 of paper "Human-level performance in first-person multiplayer games with population-based deep reinforcement learning" by Max Jaderber et al.

- Extension of the MERLIN architecture.
- Hierarchical RNN with two timescales.
- Population based training controlling KL divergence penalty weights, slow ticking RNN speed and gradient flow factor from fast to slow RNN.





Figure S10 of paper "Human-level performance in first-person multiplayer games with population-based deep reinforcement learning" by Max Jaderber et al.

NPFL122, Lecture 13 POMDP MERLIN CTF-FTW





Figure 4 of paper "Human-level performance in first-person multiplayer games with population-based deep reinforcement learning" by Max Jaderber et al.