## NPFL114, Lecture 10



# Seq2seq, NMT, Transformer

Milan Straka

**iii** May 03, 2021





EUROPEAN UNION European Structural and Investment Fund Operational Programme Research, Development and Education Charles University in Prague Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics



unless otherwise stated



NPFL114, Lecture 10

Seq2seq Attention

SubWords

GNMT

Transformer

SelfAttention



Sequence-to-Sequence is a name for an architecture allowing to produce an arbitrary output sequence  $y_1, \ldots, y_M$  from an input sequence  $x_1, \ldots, x_N$ .

Unlike CRF/CTC, no assumptions are necessary and we condition each output sequence element on all input sequence elements and all already generated output sequence elements:

$$P(y_i|oldsymbol{x}_1,\ldots,oldsymbol{x}_N,y_1,\ldots,y_{i-1}).$$



SubWords GNMT

Transformer





NPFL114, Lecture 10

Seq2seq Attention

SubWords GNMT

Transformer SelfAttention



Decoder



NPFL114, Lecture 10

SubWords GNMT

Transformer SelfAttention



## Training

The so-called *teacher forcing* is used during training – the gold outputs are used as inputs during training.

## Inference

During inference, the network processes its own predictions – such an approach is called **autoregressive decoding**.

Usually, the generated logits are processed by an  $\arg \max$ , the chosen word embedded and used as next input.



## **Tying Word Embeddings**

In the decoder, we both:

- embed the previous prediction, using a matrix of size  $\mathbb{R}^{V \times D}$ , where V is the vocabulary size and D is the embedding size;
- classify the hidden state into current prediction, using a matrix of size  $\mathbb{R}^{D \times V}$ .

Both these matrices have the same meaning – they represent the target-side words in the embedding space (the first explicitly represents the words by these embeddings, the second chooses the embedding in a sense "closest" to the produced hidden state).

Therefore, it makes sense to **tie** these matrices, i.e., to represent one of them as a transposition of the other.





#### **Attention**





NPFL114, Lecture 10

Seq2seq Attention

SubWords GNMT

## Attention



As another input during decoding, we add *context vector*  $c_i$ :

$$oldsymbol{s}_i = f(oldsymbol{s}_{i-1},oldsymbol{y}_{i-1},oldsymbol{c}_i).$$

We compute the context vector as a weighted combination of source sentence encoded outputs:

$$oldsymbol{c}_i = \sum_j lpha_{ij}oldsymbol{h}_j$$

The weights  $lpha_{ij}$  are softmax of  $e_{ij}$  over j,

 $oldsymbol{lpha}_i = ext{softmax}(oldsymbol{e}_i),$ 

with  $e_{ij}$  being

$$e_{ij} = oldsymbol{v}^ op anh(oldsymbol{V}oldsymbol{h}_j + oldsymbol{W}oldsymbol{s}_{i-1} + oldsymbol{b}).$$



NPFL114, Lecture 10

SubWords GNMT

Transformer

### **Attention Implementation**

Seq2seq





NPFL114, Lecture 10

SelfAttention

#### **Trained Attention Visualization**



(c) (d) Figure 3 of paper "Neural Machine Translation by Jointly Learning to Align and Translate", https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.0473.

NPFL114, Lecture 10

Seq2seq Attention

SubWords GNMT

Transformer

SelfAttention

## **Subword Units**



Translate *subword units* instead of words. The subword units can be generated in several ways, the most commonly used are:

• **BPE**: Using the *byte pair encoding* algorithm. Start with individual characters plus a special end-of-word symbol  $\cdot$ . Then, merge the most occurring symbol pair A, B by a new symbol AB, with the symbol pair never crossing word boundary (so that the end-of-word symbol cannot be inside a subword).

Considering a dictionary with words *low, lowest, newer, wider*, a possible sequence of merges:

 $egin{array}{ccc} r \cdot 
ightarrow r \cdot \ l & o 
ightarrow lo \ lo & w 
ightarrow lo w \ e & r \cdot 
ightarrow er \cdot \end{array}$ 

NPFL114, Lecture 10

Seq2seq Attention

SubWords GNMT

## **Subword Units**

• Wordpieces: Given a text divided into subwords, we can compute unigram probability of every subword, and then get the likelihood of the text under a unigram language model by multiplying the probabilities of the subwords in the text.

When we have only a text and a subword dictionary, we divide the text in a greedy fashion, iteratively choosing the longest existing subword.

When constructing the subwords, we again start with individual characters, and then repeatedly join such a pair of subwords, which increases the unigram language model likelihood the most.

Both approaches give very similar results; a biggest difference is that during the inference:

- for BPE, the sequence of merges must be performed in the same order as during the construction of the BPE;
- for Wordpieces, it is enough to find longest matches from the subword dictionary.

Usually quite little subword units are used (32k-64k), often generated on the union of the two vocabularies (the so-called *joint BPE* or *shared wordpieces*).

## **Google NMT**





NPFL114, Lecture 10

Seq2seq Attention

SubWords GNMT

## **Google NMT**



Figure 5 of paper "Google's Neural Machine Translation System: Bridging the Gap between Human and Machine Translation", https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.08144.

NPFL114, Lecture 10

Seq2seq Attention

SubWords GNMT

## **Google NMT**





### **Beyond one Language Pair**

A person riding a motorcycle on a dirt road.



A group of young people playing a game of frisbee.



A herd of elephants walking across a dry grass field.







Two hockey players are fighting over the puck.



A close up of a cat laying on a couch.



A skateboarder does a trick



A little girl in a pink hat is



A red motorcycle parked on the







A refrigerator filled with lots of food and drinks.



A yellow school bus parked





**Describes with minor errors** 

Somewhat related to the image

Unrelated to the image

Fig. 5. A selection of evaluation results, grouped by human rating.

Figure 5 of "Show and Tell: Lessons learned from the 2015 MSCOCO...", https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.06647.



SubWords GNMT

#### **Beyond one Language Pair**







What vegetable is the dog chewing on? MCB: carrot GT: carrot



What kind of dog is this? MCB: husky GT: husky



What kind of flooring does the room have? MCB: carpet GT: carpet









Is this an urban area? MCB: yes GT: yes



Figure 6 of "Multimodal Compact Bilinear Pooling for VQA and Visual Grounding", https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.01847.

NPFL114, Lecture 10

SubWords GNMT

Transformer

SelfAttention

## **Multilingual and Unsupervised Translation**

Ú F<sub>A</sub>L

Many attempts at multilingual translation.

- Individual encoders and decoders, shared attention.
- Shared encoders and decoders.

Surprisingly, even unsupervised translation is attempted lately. By unsupervised we understand settings where we have access to large monolingual corpora, but no parallel data.

In 2019, the best unsupervised systems were on par with the best 2014 supervised systems.

		WMT-14			
		fr-en	en-fr	de-en	en-de
Unsupervised	Proposed system <i>detok. SacreBLEU</i> *	33.5 33.2	36.2 33.6	27.0 26.4	22.5 21.2
Supervised	WMT best <sup>*</sup> Vaswani et al. (2017) Edunov et al. (2018)	35.0	35.8 41.0 45.6	29.0 - -	$20.6^{\dagger}$ 28.4 35.0

Table 3: Results of the proposed method in comparison to different supervised systems (BLEU). Table 3 of paper "An Effective Approach to Unsupervised Machine Translation", https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.01313.

NPFL114, Lecture 10

SubWords GNMT

## **Attention is All You Need**

Ú F<sub>A</sub>L

For some sequence processing tasks, *sequential* processing (as performed by recurrent neural networks) of its elements might be too restrictive.

Instead, we may want to be able to combine sequence elements independently on their distance.

Such processing is allowed in the *Transformer* architecture, originally proposed for neural machine translation in 2017 in *Attention is All You Need* paper.







NPFL114, Lecture 10

Seq2seq Attention

SubWords GNMT

Transformer SelfAttention









http://jalammar.github.io/images/t/Transformer\_decoder.png

NPFL114, Lecture 10

Seq2seq Attention

SubWords GNMT





<sup>Ú</sup>F<sub>A</sub>L

Assume that we have a sequence of n words represented using a matrix  $oldsymbol{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes d}$ .

The attention module for a queries  $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d_k}$ , keys  $K \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d_k}$  and values  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d_v}$  is defined as:

$$ext{Attention}(oldsymbol{Q},oldsymbol{K},oldsymbol{V}) = ext{softmax}\left(rac{oldsymbol{Q}oldsymbol{K}^ op}{\sqrt{d_k}}
ight)oldsymbol{V}.$$

The queries, keys and values are computed from the input word representations  $oldsymbol{X}$  using a linear transformation as

$$oldsymbol{Q} = oldsymbol{W}^Q \cdot oldsymbol{X}$$
  
 $oldsymbol{K} = oldsymbol{W}^K \cdot oldsymbol{X}$   
 $oldsymbol{V} = oldsymbol{W}^V \cdot oldsymbol{X}$ 

NPFL114, Lecture 10





NPFL114, Lecture 10









https://miro.medium.com/max/2000/1\*jBsfVNOOcJ-I3tsLVgni\_w.png

NPFL114, Lecture 10

Seq2seq Attention SubWords GNMT Transformer





//internet with the indiverses // /alt attention matrix antertains and

 $softmax\left(\begin{array}{ccc} Q & K^{\mathsf{T}} & \mathsf{V} \\ \hline & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \end{array}$ 

http://jalammar.github.io/images/t/self-attention-matrix-calculation-2.png

http://jalammar.github.io/images/t/self-attention-matrix-calculation.png

NPFL114, Lecture 10

Seq2seq Attention

SubWords GNMT

Transformer SelfAttention