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Multimodal Dialogue Systems

• adding more modalities to voice/text
  • input:
    • touch
    • drawing
    • gaze, gestures, facial expressions
    • voice pitch/tone
    • image
  • output:
    • graphics
    • gaze, gestures, facial expressions, body movement
• either traditional/modular and mostly rule-based systems, or very experimental (not much use in practice)
Standard Multimodal DS Schema

• basically the same as voice/text DSs
• adding multiple input modules
  • for multiple modalities
  • each with its own NLU-like interpretation
  • interpretations are merged
• multiple output modules
  • each with its own generation
  • dialogue manager output is split
• typically ready-made off-the-shelf modules
  • it’s too complex/costly to build these custom

https://www.lsv.uni-saarland.de/multimodal-dialogue-systems-summer-2019/
Smart Devices

- Phones, wearables, smart speakers with a display
  - incl. Google Assistant, Alexa & Siri
  - admittedly not so much dialogue, more of commands
  - cloud-based operation for most

- Input
  - touch: active & passive gestures (touch/accelerometer)
    - “raise to speak”
    - rarely visually sensing gestures
    - doesn’t support gaze

- Output
  - graphics: card interface
  - generation functions rule-based/low-level

https://www.wearable.com/android-wear/how-to-use-voice-commands-on-android-wear
https://www.cnet.com/reviews/amazon-echo-spot-review/
“Classical” Multimodal Systems

- closed-domain task-oriented dialogue systems
- map-based: town information with map input & output
  - touch / pen – drawing, map display
  - reacting to zooming, area selection
  - handwriting recognition (as alternative input)
- similar to Google Assistant, but more interactive
- in-car: voice & button control
- custom architectures
  - off-the-shelf modules
  - rule-based touch input processing

(Johnston et al., 2002)  https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P02-1048/
(Johnston et al., 2014)  https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/W14-4335
(Becker et al., 2006)  https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/P06-4015

S: I found 3 albums by The Beatles in your collection
<shows listing on screen>
U: Play the third one.
U: Which songs are on this one?
<selects an album from listing on screen>
Virtual Agents

- character face/full body
  - on screen or 3D projected (FurHat)

- a lot more outputs
  - full motion video – facial expressions, gaze, gestures, body movement
    - a lot of it “automatic”, designed to look natural/match what’s said

- additional inputs – gaze & facial expression
  - checking user engagement/sentiment

- dialogue management mostly rule-based
  - retrieval with non-linguistic inputs (Virtual Humans/SimSensei)
  - limited-domain custom rules (FurHat)

- tutoring/training, healthcare

https://vhtoolkit.ict.usc.edu/
(Al Moubayed et al., 2012)
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-34584-5_9
(Rushforth et al., 2009)
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04380-2_82
(DeVault et al., 2014)
https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.5555/2615731.2617415
Robots

• similar to virtual agents, but with actual hardware
  • different user’s perception
    • body gestures more prominent
    • touching the robot is possible
• situated deployment – need to track user engagement
  • is the user still talking to the robot?
• hardware limitations
  • mostly no facial expr./gaze output, some sensors missing etc.

• off-the-shelf robots (Nao, Pepper)
  • built-in & additional sensors (e.g. Kinect)
  • custom rule-based gesture generation
  • controlled via a computer (not autonomous)

• “receptionist” – directions, information

(Bohus et al., 2014)  https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.5555/2615731.2615835
Multi-party Dialogue

- Relevant for both virtual agents & robots
  - supported by most previously mentioned projects
- How to handle multiple counterparts?
  - users or other robots/virtual agents
- gaze/engagement/speech detection
  - who’s speaking/looking etc.
- rules for multiple counterparts
  - switching gaze to address them
    - here, 3D is better than 2D (otherwise gaze ambiguous)
  - telling one to wait for another
- customer service, information

https://youtu.be/oOp4XP_ziMw
http://www.danbohus.com/

(Foster et al., 2012) http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=2388676.2388680
(Bohus et al., 2014) https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.5555/2615731.2615835
(Skantze & Al Moubayed, 2012) https://doi.org/10.1145/2388676.2388698

Interaction 1
(Socially inappropriate)

| One person, A, approaches the bar and turns towards the bartender | Robot (to A): How can I help you? |
| Robot (to A): A pint of cider, please. | A: A pint of cider, please. |
| A second person, B, approaches the bar and turns towards the bartender | Robot (to B): How can I help you? |
| B: I’d like a pint of beer. | Robot (Serves A) |
| Robot: (Serves A) |

Interaction 2
(Socially appropriate)

| Robot (to A): How can I help you? | Robot (to A): A pint of cider, please. |
| Robot (to B): One moment, please. | Robot: (Serves A) |
| Robot: (Serves A) | Robot (to B): Thanks for waiting. |
| A: A pint of cider, please. | B: I’d like a pint of beer. |
| Robot: (Serves B) | Robot: (Serves B) |
Specific uses

• Air traffic controller training – radar as a modality
  • multiple agents/systems representing pilots
  • radar charting each agent’s behavior
• single ASR, many TTSs
  • varied accents
• all rule-based
  • very limited domain
  • bearings, flight levels

End-to-end Multimodal

• recent, experimental
• enhancing end-to-end DS architectures with image input  
  • no video input  
  • no avatars, facial expressions, gestures etc.  
  • not much graphics output either
• also using off-the-shelf components  
  • especially for image recognition – ready-made convolutional architectures  
  • textual parts based on known architectures (HRED, MemNN etc.)
• mostly just end-to-end prediction  
  • pretrained image recognition parts are kept fixed, no end-to-end training
Pretrained convolutional nets

• Data: ImageNet Challenge
  • >1M images, 1000 classes
  • just classify the object in the image
  • CNNs are way better than anything that came before them

• AlexNet – 1st deep CNN
  • 5 conv layers, ReLU activations, max pooling & 3 dense layers

• VGGNet – improvement
  • more layers, smaller CNN kernels (3x3, 2x2 pooling with stride 2)
    • reduces # of parameters, same function

https://towardsdatascience.com/the-w3h-of-alexnet-vggnet-resnet-and-inception-7baaaecccc96
(Krizhevsky et al., 2012)
(Simonyan & Zisserman, 2015)
http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.1556
Pretrained CNNs

- **ResNet** – residual networks
  - trying to simplify the mappings found by CNNs
    - with regular CNNs, deeper might not be better (vanishing gradient problem)
  - “shortcuts”: adding identity / linear projection to convolutions
    - learning a residual CNN mapping (“what projection can’t handle”)
  - allows much deeper networks – alleviates vanishing gradients

- **Inception** – more CNN kernels in parallel
  - for detecting different-sized object features
  - 1x1 depth reductions, depth-wise concatenations
  - better results with shallower networks

https://towardsdatascience.com/the-w3h-of-alexnet-vggnet-resnet-and-inception-7baaaecccc96
(He et al., 2016)  https://arxiv.org/abs/1512.03385
(Szegedy et al., 2015)  http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.4842
Pretrained CNNs

- **Faster R-CNN**
  - object detection – harder task
  - detecting boxes (regions) for multiple objects in image

- **Pipeline:**
  - Region prediction network (detect salient boxes)
  - Region-of-interest pooling (consolidate features)
  - Region-based CNN (classify)

(https://tryolabs.com/blog/2018/01/18/faster-r-cnn-down-the-rabbit-hole-of-modern-object-detection/)

Region prediction

- pretrained VGG as feature extraction
  - features for each of the anchor points (regularly spaced in the image)
- for each anchor point, predict:
  - anchor base size & h/w ratio (e.g. 64-128-256px, 0.5/1/1.5)
  - $p$(this is object) & $p$(this is background)
  - anchor $\Delta x$, $\Delta y$, $\Delta h$, $\Delta w$
  - all of this via convolutions 😊
- trained using object/non-object anchors
- overlapping predictions unified

R-CNN classification

• basically the same as image classification (given region)
  • with one more box coordinates fix
• sharing VGG features from RPN
  • this makes it much faster (only the pooling & prediction layers are new)

Using Transformers

- **Object detection: CNN + Transformer**
  - trained end-to-end
  - predicts all objects at once
    - max. \( k \) objects: class + box (or “null”)
  - set-based loss (any order allowed)

- **Classification: Transformer-only**
  - split image into 16x16 patches
    - flatten (256xRGB=768-dim)
  - pass through a single “embedding” matrix
  - feed into standard transformer
  - adding positional embeddings
  - special “start” token
    - attention here used in a feed-forward classifier

---

Dosovitskiy et al., 2020


Carion et al., 2020


ResNet

https://youtu.be/TrdevFK_am4

(extra images, diagrams, and references)
• **Task:** have a meaningful dialogue about an image
  • close to visual QA: **human asks, system responds**
  • but VD is multi-turn & human doesn’t see the image (just a caption)
    • follow-up questions possible – coreference
    • people are not primed by the image when asking questions

• not much realistic purpose other than to test the models
  • dataset of 10-turn dialogues on 120k images
    • collected via crowdsourcing
    • connecting 2 people
      live to talk about an image
    • not very deep dialogue:
      history only needed in ~11% cases

(Agarwal et al., 2020)
Base Visual Dialogue Models

(Das et al., 2017) http://arxiv.org/abs/1611.08669
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Visual Dialogue Evaluation

• BLEU etc. possible but not used here
• IR setup used instead
  • system given ground-truth dialogue history + user input & 100 candidate answers to score/rank

• IR metrics:
  • ground-truth response rank (average)
  • recall@k (% cases where ground-truth is included in top k)
  • mean reciprocal rank: \( \frac{1}{\text{ground truth rank}} \) (1 if ground truth is first, 0.5 if second etc.)
  • normalized discounted cumulative gain
    • for multiple acceptable answers out of the 100 candidates
    • DCG: \( \sum_{i=1}^{100} \frac{c_i \text{ relevant?}}{\log_2(i+1)} \), normalize by highest possible DCG (all good answers on top)

• problem: images only give modest gain over text-only models

https://visualdialog.org/challenge/2019#evaluation
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Discounted_cumulative_gain
Guess What

- guessing one of the objects in an image
  - GuessWhat data (150k guessing dialogues)

- 3 models:
  - question generation – LSTM
    - running through all previous questions
    - conditioned on VGG image features & previous replies
  - “oracle” – reply generation (Y/N/NA)
    - feed-forward from LSTM question encoding
      + object category + object size & position in image
  - guesser – select object from list of candidates
    - dot product & softmax over last LSTM generator state
      + candidate objects categories & sizes/positions
    - triggered at the end of the dialogue

- trained jointly with RL

(Strub et al., 2017)
https://www.ijcai.org/proceedings/2017/385

better model: (Suglia et al., 2020) https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/2020.coling-main.95
Image Chat

(Shuster et al, 2018)
http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.00945

• Open chat about an image
  • no particular task
  • specific personality traits of both participants
• Crowdsourced data
  • ~200k dialogues, 3 turns per dialogue (A-B-A)
  • A & B have predefined personalities
• Evaluation: recall@1 (out of 100 candidates)
• Model – pretrained CNNs & transformers
  • ResNet & Faster R-CNN
  • Transformer encoders for context & response candidate
    • ranking only
  • self-attention-based “combiner” on top

(Ju et al., 2019)
http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.12394

A: Fearful    B: Miserable
A: I just heard something out there and I have no idea what it was.
B: It was probably a Wolf coming to eat us because you talk too much.
A: I would never go camping in the woods for this very reason.

A: Stylish    B: Fatalistic
A: Riding a mechanical bull in a skirt is just my style.
B: You’d probably fall off and get hurt.
A: And everyone would be copying me for it! It’ll be trendy!

A: Money-Minded    B: Glamorous
A: You know money doesn’t grow on trees.
B: I could see some high society ladies having their brunch over looking this canal.
A: I could see them spending way too much on avocado toast here.
Shopping Dialogues (Saha et al., 2018) http://arxiv.org/abs/1704.00200

• a more practical task: fashion e-shop
  • both user & system can show images
  • user can reference/talk about images
• semi-automatic data (150k dialogues)
  • 84 predefined intents
  • generated dialogue flows
    • based on predefined state automata over intents
  • utterances for each intent written by annotators
• backed by a large manually curated DB of fashion items with images (1M items)
  • taxonomy (man > apparel > lower body > trousers)
  • (generated) celebrity profiles/endorsements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Id</th>
<th>Automata State Type</th>
<th>State Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>greeting</td>
<td>Shopper greets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>self-info</td>
<td>Shopper gives information about him/herself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>give-criteria</td>
<td>describes his requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>show-image</td>
<td>Agent shows relevant responses for the Shopper’s query</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>give-image-description</td>
<td>Agent generates short description of the product, using visual and catalog information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>like/dislike-specific items over-all items, show-more</td>
<td>Shopper expresses negative or positive preference specifically towards one or more items previously or currently shown, or a overall general preference towards all the items and optionally shows a new image to possibly modify his requirements and wants to see more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>show-orientation</td>
<td>Shopper wants to see an item from different orientations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>show-similar</td>
<td>Shopper wants to see similar to a particular item</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Shopping Dialogues

- Models similar to visual dialogue
  - variants of multimodal HRED
  - VGG image input
- image input
  - turn-level
  - concatenated with utterance
    - seems to work better (fewer turns)
- text/image responses
  - shared encoder
  - text generation (word-by-word)
  - image ranking (needs rough retrieval)
    - so far just “select 1 out of 5”

(Saha et al., 2018) http://arxiv.org/abs/1704.00200
(Agarwal et al., 2018) http://aclweb.org/anthology/W18-6514
Using Images to boost NLU

• Grounding all words to images – “vokenization”
  • images + captions, nearest neighbor search → assigning an image to each word – voken
  • train a vokenizer (LM assigning images to tokens) on this
  • apply it to vokenize large training data for BERT

• Finetuning BERT with:
  • masked language modelling (as usual)
  • predicting a voken for all words (masked or not)
    • classification – mimicking the vokenizer

• Further finetuning for a NLU task
  • better performance when vokens were used

(Tan and Bansal, 2020)
Domain Adaptation

• pretraining
  • BERT, but also any other model
  • weight sharing: copy weights for similar slots in target domain

• delexicalization
  • assuming your domains are similar (e.g. TVs → PCs)

• pseudo in-domain data selection
  • find data similar to your domain in the source domain

• forcing shared latent space (see few-shot end-to-end models)

• multi-task training
  • your task in source domain & different task in target domain

• partial handcrafting (see Hybrid Code Networks)
Summary

• “traditional” multimodal systems, with components
  • combination of off-the-shelf components
    • parallels for ASR/NLU & NLG/TTS in I/O modalities
  • dialogue typically quite simple
  • modalities: static graphics / touch / gaze / facial expr. / avatars / robots
  • often support multi-party dialogue

• end-to-end multimodal systems
  • mostly experimental, based on HRED with pretrained CNNs
    • VGG, ResNet, Inception (just image classification), Faster R-CNN (+object detection)
  • visual dialogue: questions & answers about an image
  • guessing: finding an object in image
  • image chat: open-domain, based on image
  • task-oriented: shopping dialogue with product images
Contact us:  
https://ufaldsg.slack.com/  
{odusek,hudecek,nekvinda}@ufal.mff.cuni.cz  
Skype/Meet/Zoom (by agreement)

Get the slides here:  
http://ufal.cz/npfl099

References/Inspiration/Further:  
• Volha Pethukova’s course (Uni Saarland):  
https://www.lsv.uni-saarland.de/multimodal-dialogue-systems-summer-2019/  
• McTear et al. (2016): The Conversational Interface – Talking to Smart Devices  
• papers referenced on slides

Labs in 10 mins  
Exam info follows
Exam

- In-person written test, 10 questions covering lectures, 10 points each
  - 50% on homework assignments needed to do the test
  - counts for 75% of the grade, 25% comes from homework assignments
  - grades: 1 = 87%+, 2 = 74%+, 3 = 60%+ (for the weighted combo)
  - expected 1 hr, but you’ll be given at least 2hrs (no pressure on time)

- Question type: 2-3 sentences to answer
  - explanation of terms/concepts
    - no exact formulas needed (if needed, they might be provided)
    - but you should know the principles of how stuff works
  - relationships between concepts ("what’s the difference between X & Y")
  - “how would you build X”
  - focused on “important” stuff – see summaries at the end of each lecture
  - list of possible questions to be published soon (by Dec 31)