#### NPFL129, Lecture 2



# Linear Regression II, SGD

Jindřich Libovický (reusing materials by Milan Straka)

**■ October 7, 2024** 



Charles University in Prague Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics



unless otherwise stated

After this lecture you should be able to

- Reason about overfitting in terms of model capacity.
- Use  $L^2$ -regularization to control model capacity.
- Explain what the difference between parameters and hyperparameters is.
- Tell what the **basic probability concepts** are (joint, marginal, conditional probability; expected value, mean, variance).
- Mathematically describe and implement the **stochastic gradient descent** algorithm.
- Use both numerical and categorical features in linear regression.  $\bullet$

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 2/41



#### Refresh from last week

**NPFL129, Lecture 2** Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features **1999** Retrong 3/41

#### Linear Regression



Given an input value  $\bm{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ , linear regression computes predictions as:

$$
y(\boldsymbol{x};\boldsymbol{w},b)=x_1w_1+x_2w_2+\ldots+x_Dw_D+b=\sum_{i=1}^D x_iw_i+b=\boldsymbol{x}^T\boldsymbol{w}+b.
$$

The *bias*  $b$  can be considered one of the weights  $\boldsymbol{w}$  if convenient.

We learn the weights by minimizing an error function between the real target values and their predictions, notably sum of squares:

$$
\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \big(y(\bm{x}_i;\bm{w})-t_i\big)^2
$$

Various minimization approaches exist, but for linear regression an explicit solution exists:

$$
\boldsymbol{w} = (\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{t}.
$$

#### Linear Regression Example

Assume we want to predict a  $t\in\mathbb{R}$  for a given  $x\in\mathbb{R}$ . If we train the linear regression with  $x$  issume we want to preater a  $v \in \mathbb{R}$  for a given  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . If we train "raw" input vectors  $\boldsymbol{x} = (x)$ , only straight lines could be modeled.

However, if we consider input vectors  $\boldsymbol{x} = (x^0, x^1, \dots, x^M)$  for a given  $M\geq0$ , the linear regression can model polynomials of degree  $M$ , because the prediction is then computed as

$$
w_0x^0+w_1x^1+\ldots+w_Mx^M.
$$

The weights are coefficients of a polynomial of degree  $M$ .



#### Linear Regression Example



To plot the error, the *root mean squared error*  $\mathrm{RMSE} = \sqrt{\mathrm{MSE}}$  is frequently used.

The displayed error illustrates two main challenges in machine learning:

underfitting

overfitting





## Regularization

**NPFL129, Lecture 2** Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 7/41

#### Model Capacity



We can control whether a model underfits or overfits by modifying its **capacity**.

- representational capacity
- effective capacity



#### Linear Regression Overfitting

Employing more data usually alleviates overfitting (the relative capacity of the model is decreased).



NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 19/41

#### Regularization



**Regularization**  $=$  any change that is designed to *reduce generalization error* (but not necessarily its training error) in a machine learning algorithm.

We already saw that limiting model capacity can work as regularization.



#### L2 Regularization

 $L^2$ -regularization: one of the oldest regularization techniques; tries to prefer "simpler" models by endorsing models with smaller weights.

 $\bm{L^2}$ -regularization (also called weight decay) penalizes models with large weights by utilizing the following error function:

$$
\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N \big(y(\boldsymbol{x}_i;\boldsymbol{w})-t_i\big)^2+\frac{\lambda}{2}\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2.
$$

The  $L^2$ -regularization is usually not applied to the *bias*, only to the "proper" weights, because we cannot really overfit via the bias. Without penalizing the bias, linear regression with  $L^2\text{-}$ regularization is invariant to shifts (i.e., adding a constant to all the targets results in the same solution, only with the bias increased by that constant; if the bias were penalized, this would not hold).

We will not explicitly exclude the bias from the  $L^2$ -regularization penalty in the slides.

#### L2 Regularization

 $\rightarrow$ 

One way to look at  $L^2$ -regularization: it promotes smaller changes of the model (the gradient of linear regression with respect to the inputs are exactly the weights, i.e.,  $\nabla_{\bm{x}} y(\bm{x};\bm{w}) = \bm{w}$ ).

Considering the data points on the right, we present mean squared errors and  $L^2$  norms of the weights for three linear regression models:



Figure a:  $\hat{y} = 0.04 + 0.04x + 0.9x^2$ Figure b:  $\hat{y} = -0.01 + 0.01x + 0.8x^2 + 0.5x^3 - 0.1x^4 - 0.1x^5 + 0.3x^6 - 0.3x^7 + 0.2x^8$ Figure c:  $\hat{y} = -0.01 + 0.57x + 2.67x^2 - 4.08x^3 - 12.25x^4 + 7.41x^5 + 24.87x^6 - 3.79x^7 - 14.38x^8$ https://miro.medium.com/max/2880/1\*UolRlKXikCz7SFsPfSZrYQ.png



 $1.0$ 

1.5

 $0.5$ 

2.0

1.5

 $1.0 \cdot$ 

 $0.5$ 

 $0.0 \cdot$ 

#### L2 Regularization



The effect of  $L^2$ -regularization can be seen as limiting the *effective capacity* of the model.



#### Regularizing Linear Regression



In a matrix form, the regularized *sum of squares error* for linear regression amounts to

$$
\tfrac{1}{2}\|\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{w}-\boldsymbol{t}\|^2+\tfrac{\lambda}{2}\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2.
$$

When repeating the same calculation as in the unregularized case, we arrive at

$$
(\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{X}+\lambda\boldsymbol{I})\boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{X}^T\boldsymbol{t},
$$

where  $\boldsymbol{I}$  is an identity matrix.

 ${\sf Input}\colon {\sf Dataset}\ ({\bm{X}} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$  ,  ${\bm{t}} \in \mathbb{R}^N$  ), constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+.$  $\bm{\mathsf{Output}}$ : Weights  $\bm{w} \in \mathbb{R}^D$  minimizing MSE of regularized linear regression.

$$
\bullet \hspace{0.2cm} \bm{w} \leftarrow (\bm{X}^T\bm{X} + \lambda \bm{I})^{-1}\bm{X}^T\bm{t}.
$$

The matrix  $\bm{X}^T\bm{X}+\lambda\bm{I}$  is always invertible for  $\lambda>0$  (you can show that the matrix is positive definite).



#### Hyperparameters

**NPFL129, Lecture 2** Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 15/41

#### Choosing Hyperparameters

Hyperparameters are not adapted by the learning algorithm itself.

A **validation set** or **development set** is used to estimate the generalization error, allowing us to update hyperparameters accordingly. If there is not enough data (well, there is always not enough data), more sophisticated approaches can be used.

So far: two hyperparameters,  $M$  and  $\lambda$ .



#### What went wrong? (1/5)





Too small model capacity.



#### What went wrong? (2/5)





This is overfitting like crazy. (We increased the capacity by adding polynomial features.)

**NPFL129, Lecture 2** Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 18/41

#### What went wrong? (3/5)





#### Nothing, this looks pretty good. (We added L2 regularization to the previous model.)

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 19/41

#### What went wrong? (4/5)





The outlier steers the line away.



#### What went wrong? (5/5)





After adding L2 regularization, it is a little better.





#### Random Variables

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 22/41

#### Random Variables



A random variable x is a result of a random process, and it can be either discrete or continuous.

#### Probability Distribution

A probability distribution describes how likely are the individual values that a random variable can take.

The notation  $\mathrm{x} \sim P$  stands for a random variable  $\mathrm{x}$  having a distribution  $P$ .

For discrete variables, the probability that  ${\bf x}$  takes a value  $x$  is denoted as  $P(x)$  or explicitly as  $P(\mathrm{x}=x)$ . All probabilities are nonnegative, and the sum of the probabilities of all possible values of  $x$  is  $\sum_{x} P(x = x) = 1$ .

For continuous variables, the probability that the value of  ${\rm x}$  lies in the interval  $[a, b]$  is given by  $\int_a^b p(x) \,\mathrm{d}x$ , where  $p(x)$  is the *probability density function*, which is always nonnegative and integrates to  $1$  over the range of all values of  $x$ .  $\frac{b}{a}$   $p(x)\,\mathrm{d}x$ , where  $p(x)$ 

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 23/41

#### Joint, Conditional, Marginal Probability

For two random variables, a joint probability distribution is a distribution of all possible pairs of outputs (and analogously for more than two):

$$
P(\mathrm{x}=x_2,\mathrm{y}=y_1).
$$

Marginal distribution is a distribution of one (or a subset) of the random variables and can be obtained by summing over the other variable(s):

$$
P(\mathrm x=x_2)=\sum\nolimits_y\!P(\mathrm x=x_2,\mathrm y=y).
$$

Conditional distribution is a distribution of one (or a subset) of the random variables, given that another event has already occurred:

$$
P(\mathrm{x}=x_2|\mathrm{y}=y_1)=P(\mathrm{x}=x_2,\mathrm{y}=y_1)/P(\mathrm{y}=y_1).
$$

If  $P(x,y) = P(x) \cdot P(y)$  or  $P(x|y) = P(x)$ , random variables x and y are independent.



#### Random Variables

#### Expectation

The expectation of a function  $f(x)$  with respect to a discrete probability distribution  $P(\mathrm{x})$  is defined as:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{x} \sim P} [f(x)] \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \sum_x P(x) f(x).
$$

For continuous variables, the expectation is computed as:

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{x} \sim p} [f(x)] \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \int_x p(x) f(x) \, \mathrm{d} x.
$$

If the random variable is obvious from context, we can write only  $\mathbb{E}_P[x]$ ,  $\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{x}}[x]$ , or even  $\mathbb{E}[x]$ . Expectation is linear, i.e., for constants  $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{x}}[\alpha f(x)+\beta g(x)]=\alpha \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{x}}[f(x)]+\beta \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{x}}[g(x)].
$$

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 25/41



#### Random Variables



#### Variance

Variance measures how much the values of a random variable differ from its mean  $\mathbb{E}[x]$ .

$$
\mathrm{Var}(x) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(x - \mathbb{E}[x]\right)^2\right], \, \text{or more generally},\\ \mathrm{Var}_{\mathrm{x} \sim P}(f(x)) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(f(x) - \mathbb{E}[f(x)]\right)^2\right].
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\text{Var}(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[x^2 - 2x \cdot \mathbb{E}[x] + \left(\mathbb{E}[x]\right)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[x^2\right] - \left(\mathbb{E}[x]\right)^2,
$$

 $\text{\sf because } \mathbb{E}\big[2x\cdot \mathbb{E}[x]\big] = 2(\mathbb{E}[x])^2.$ 

Variance is connected to  $\mathbb{E}[x^2]$ , the **second moment** of a random variable – it is in fact a centered second moment.

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 26/41



#### Stochastic Gradient Descent

**NPFL129, Lecture 2** Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 27/41

#### Gradient Descent

Sometimes it is more practical to search for the best model weights in an iterative/incremental/sequential fashion. Either because there is too much data, or the direct optimization is not feasible.

Assuming we are minimizing an error function

$$
\argmin_{\bm{w}} E(\bm{w}),
$$

we may use *gradient descent*:

 $\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w} - \alpha \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} E(\boldsymbol{w})$ 

The constant  $\alpha$  is called a learning rate and specifies the "length" of <sup>a</sup> step we perform in every iteration of the gradient descent.



Figure 4.1 of "Deep Learning" book, https://www.deeplearningbook.org

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 28/41

#### Gradient Descent Variants

Let  $\bm{X}\in\mathbb{R}^{N\times D}$  ,  $\bm{t}\in\mathbb{R}^{N}$  be the training data, and denote  $\hat{p}_{\text{data}}(\bm{x},t)\stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=}\frac{|\{i:(\bm{x},t)=(\bm{x}_i,t_i)\}|}{N}$  . Assume that the error function can be computed as an expectation over the dataset: *N*  $\left|\left\{i\mathpunct{:}(\boldsymbol{x},t) {=} (\boldsymbol{x}_i,t_i)\right\}\right|$ 

$$
E(\boldsymbol{w}) = \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) \sim \hat{p}_{\mathrm{data}}} L \big(y(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{w}), t \big), \ \text{ so that } \ \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} E(\boldsymbol{w}) = \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{t}) \sim \hat{p}_{\mathrm{data}}} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} L \big(y(\boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{w}), t \big).
$$

- **(Standard/Batch) Gradient Descent**: We use all training data to compute  $\nabla_{\bm{w}}E(\bm{w})$ .
- **Stochastic (or Online) Gradient Descent**: We estimate  $\nabla_{\bm{w}} E(\bm{w})$  using a single random example from the training data. Such an estimate is unbiased, but very noisy.

 $\nabla_{\bm{w}} E(\bm{w}) \approx \nabla_{\bm{w}} L(y(\bm{x}; \bm{w}), t)$  for a randomly chosen  $(\bm{x}, t)$  from  $\hat{p}_{data}$ .

**Minibatch SGD**: Trade-off between gradient descent and SGD – the expectation in  $\nabla_{\bm{w}} E(\bm{w})$  is estimated using  $B$  random independent examples from the training data.

$$
\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} E(\boldsymbol{w}) \approx \frac{1}{B} \sum\nolimits_{i=1}^B \nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} L\big(y(\boldsymbol{x}_i; \boldsymbol{w}), t_i\big) \ \ \text{for a randomly chosen} \ \ (\boldsymbol{x}_i, t_i) \ \ \text{from} \ \ \hat{p}_\text{data}.
$$

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 29/41

#### Gradient Descent Convergence



Assume that we perform a stochastic gradient descent, using a sequence of learning rates  $\alpha_i$ , and using a noisy estimate  $J(\boldsymbol{w})$  of the real gradient  $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}}E(\boldsymbol{w})$ :

$$
\boldsymbol{w}_{i+1} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w}_i - \alpha_i J(\boldsymbol{w}_i).
$$

It can be proven (under some reasonable conditions; see Robbins and Monro algorithm, 1951) that if the loss function  $L$  is convex and continuous, then SGD converges to the unique  $\alpha_i$  fulfills the following conditions: optimal rates  $\alpha_i$  fulfills the following conditions:

$$
\forall i: \alpha_i > 0, \hspace{0.2cm} \sum_i \alpha_i = \infty, \hspace{0.2cm} \sum_i \alpha_i^2 < \infty.
$$

Note that the third condition implies that  $\alpha_i \to 0$ .

For nonconvex loss functions, we can get guarantees of converging to a *local* optimum only.

#### Gradient Descent Convergence

Convex functions mentioned on the previous slide are such that for  $\bm u, \bm v$  and real  $0 \leq t \leq 1,$ 



 $f(tu + (1-t)v) \leq tf(u) + (1-t)f(v).$ 

A twice-differentiable function of a single variable is convex iff its second derivative is always nonnegative. (For functions of multiple variables, the Hessian must be positive semi-definite.) A local minimum of a convex function is always the unique global minimum. Well-known examples of convex functions are  $x^2$ ,  $e^x$ ,  $-\log x$ , and also the *sum of squares*. NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 31/41

#### Solving Linear Regression using SGD

To apply SGD on linear regression, we usually minimize one half of the mean squared error:

$$
E(\boldsymbol{w}) = \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{x},t) \sim \hat{p}_{\mathrm{data}}} \big[ \tfrac{1}{2} (y(\boldsymbol{x};\boldsymbol{w}) - t)^2 \big] = \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{x},t) \sim \hat{p}_{\mathrm{data}}} \big[ \tfrac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{w} - t)^2 \big].
$$

If we also include  $L^2$  regularization, we get

$$
E(\boldsymbol{w}) = \mathbb{E}_{(\mathbf{x},t) \sim \hat{p}_{\mathrm{data}}} \big[ \tfrac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{w} - t)^2 \big] + \tfrac{\lambda}{2} \| \boldsymbol{w} \|^2.
$$

We then estimate the expectation by a minibatch of examples with indices  ${\mathbb B}$  as

$$
\frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}|}\sum_{i\in\mathbb{B}}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x}_i^T\boldsymbol{w}-t_i)^2\right)+\tfrac{\lambda}{2}\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2,
$$

which gives us an estimate of a gradient

$$
\nabla_{\boldsymbol{w}} E(\boldsymbol{w}) \approx \frac{1}{|\mathbb{B}|}\sum_{i\in\mathbb{B}} \left((\boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{w}-t_i)\boldsymbol{x}_i\right) + \lambda \boldsymbol{w}.
$$

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 32/41

#### Solving Linear Regression using SGD

The computed gradient allows us to formulate the following algorithm for solving linear regression with minibatch SGD.

 ${\sf Input}\colon {\sf Data set}\ ({\bm X}\in \mathbb{R}^{N\times D},\ {\bm t}\in \mathbb{R}^N)$ , learning rate  $\alpha\in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $L^2$  strength  $\lambda\in \mathbb{R}_+$  $\bm{\mathsf{Output}}$ : Weights  $\bm{w} \in \mathbb{R}^D$  hopefully minimizing the regularized MSE of a linear regression model.

- $\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{0}$  or we initialize  $\boldsymbol{w}$  randomly
- repeat until convergence (or until our patience runs out):
	- sample a minibatch of examples with indices  $\mathbb B$ 
		- $\blacksquare$  either uniformly randomly,
		- or we may want to process all training instances before repeating them, which can be implemented by generating a random permutation and then splitting it into minibatch-sized chunks
			- $\blacksquare$  the most common option; one pass through the data is called an epoch

$$
\quad \circ \ \ \boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w} - \alpha \tfrac{1}{|\mathbb{B}|} \sum\nolimits_{i \in \mathbb{B}} \left( (\boldsymbol{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{w} - t_i) \boldsymbol{x}_i \right) - \alpha \lambda \boldsymbol{w}
$$

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 33/41

#### Training course





https://www.kaggle.com/code/ryanholbrook/stochastic-gradient-descent/tutorial

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 34/41

### What went wrong?  $(1/2)$





NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 35/41

What went wrong? (2/2)





The model is overfitting (zero training loss, increasing validation loss). We should either regularize or take the best validation checkpoint (i.e., early stopping).

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 36/41



#### Features

**NPFL129, Lecture 2** Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD **Features** 37/41

#### Features



Recall that the *input* instance values are usually the raw observations and are given. However, we might extend them suitably before running a ML algorithm, especially if the algorithm cannot represent an arbitrary function (e.g., is linear). Such instance representations are called features.

Example from the previous lecture: even if our training examples were  $x$  and  $t$ , we performed the linear regression using features  $(x^0, x^1, \ldots, x^M)$ :



#### Feature Types



Generally, it would be best if the ML algorithms would process only the raw inputs. However, many algorithms can represent only a limited set of functions (e.g., linear), and in that case, feature engineering plays a major part in the final model performance. Feature engineering is a process of constructing features from raw inputs.

Commonly used features are:

- ${\sf polynomial\ features}$  of degree  $p$ : Given features  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_D)$ , we might consider *all* products of  $p$  input values. Therefore, polynomial features of degree 2 would consist of  $x_i^2 \ \forall i$  and of  $x_ix_j \ \forall i \neq j$  .
- categorical one-hot features: Assume that a day in a week is represented in the input as an integer value of 1 to 7, or a breed of a dog is expressed as an integer value of 0 to 366. Using these integral values as an input to linear regression makes little sense – instead, it might be better to learn weights for individual days in a week or for individual dog breeds. We might therefore represent input classes by binary indicators for every class, giving rise to a  $\bm{\mathsf{one}}\text{-}\bm{\mathsf{hot}}$  representation, where an input integral value  $0 \leq v < L$  is represented as  $L$ binary values, which are all zero except for the  $v^{\rm th}$  one, which is one.

#### Feature Normalization

- Features in different scales would need different learning rates
- Common solution: normalize the features  $\overline{x_{i,j}} - \min_k \overline{x_{k,j}}$ Normalization:  $x^{\text{norm}}_{i,j} = \frac{x_{i,j}-\min_k x_{k,j}}{\max_k x_{k,i}-\min_k x_{k,j}}$  (MinMaxScaler in Scikit-learn) o  $\text{r}\text{m} \, = \, \frac{x_{i,j} - \min_k x_{k,j}}{\max_k x_{k,j} - \min_k x_{k,j}}$  $\circ$ , *j*
	- Standardization:  $x_{i,j}^{\text{standard}} = \frac{x_{i,j} \hat{\mu}_j}{\hat{\sigma}i}$  (StandardScaler in Scikit-learn) , t  $j^{\rm{andard}}=1$  $\hat{\sigma j}$  $x_{i,j}\!-\!\hat{\mu}_j$





#### Today's Lecture Objectives

After this lecture you should be able to

- Reason about overfitting in terms of model capacity.
- Use  $L^2$ -regularization to control model capacity.  $\bullet$
- Explain what the difference between parameters and hyperparameters is.  $\bullet$
- Tell what the **basic probability concepts** are (joint, marginal, conditional  $\bullet$ probability; expected value, mean, variance).
- $\bullet$ Mathematically describe and implement the stochastic gradient descent algorithm.
- Use both numerical and categorical features in linear regression.  $\bullet$

NPFL129, Lecture 2 Refresh Regularization Hyperparameters Random Variables SGD Features 41/41