# Chapman & Hall/CRC Machine Learning & Pattern Recognition Series

# NATURAL LANGUAGE PROCESSING

SECOND EDITION

Edited by NITIN INDURKHYA FRED J. DAMERAU



CRC Press is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group, an informa business A CHAPMAN & HALL BOOK

Chapman & Hall/CRC Taylor & Francis Group 6000 Broken Sound Parkway NW, Suite 300 Boca Raton, FL 33487-2742

© 2010 by Taylor and Francis Group, LLC Chapman & Hall/CRC is an imprint of Taylor & Francis Group, an Informa business

No claim to original U.S. Government works

Printed in the United States of America on acid-free paper 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

International Standard Book Number: 978-1-4200-8592-1 (Hardback)

This book contains information obtained from authentic and highly regarded sources. Reasonable efforts have been made to publish reliable data and information, but the author and publisher cannot assume responsibility for the validity of all materials or the consequences of their use. The authors and publishers have attempted to trace the copyright holders of all material reproduced in this publication and apologize to copyright holders if permission to publish in this form has not been obtained. If any copyright material has not been acknowledged please write and let us know so we may rectify in any future reprint.

Except as permitted under U.S. Copyright Law, no part of this book may be reprinted, reproduced, transmitted, or utilized in any form by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying, microfilming, and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without written permission from the publishers.

For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from this work, please access www.copyright.com (http://www.copyright.com/) or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. CCC is a not-for-profit organization that provides licenses and registration for a variety of users. For organizations that have been granted a photocopy license by the CCC, a separate system of payment has been arranged.

**Trademark Notice:** Product or corporate names may be trademarks or registered trademarks, and are used only for identification and explanation without intent to infringe.

# Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Handbook of natural language processing / [edited by] Nitin Indurkhya and Fred J. Damerau. p. cm. -- (Chapman & Hall/CRC machine learning & pattern recognition) Includes bibliographical references and index. ISBN 978-1-4200-8592-1 (alk. paper)

1. Natural language processing (Computer science)--Handbooks, manuals, etc. I. Indurkhya, Nitin. II. Damerau, Frederick J. (Frederick Jacob), 1931-

QA76.9.N38H363 2010 006.3'5--dc22

2009049507

Visit the Taylor & Francis Web site at http://www.taylorandfrancis.com

and the CRC Press Web site at http://www.crcpress.com

# Treebank Annotation

	8.1	Introduction	167
Eva Hajičová  Charles University	8.2	Corpus Annotation Types	168
	8.3	Morphosyntactic Annotation	169
Anne Abeillé Université Paris 7 and CNRS	8.4	Treebanks: Syntactic, Semantic, and Discourse Annotat Motivation and Definition • An Example: The Penn Treebank • Annotation and Linguistic Theory • Going Beyond the Surface Sh	
Jan Hajič Charles University	8.5	the Sentence The Process of Building Treebanks	
T'Y/ 3/T/ 1/	8.6	Applications of Treebanks	
Jiří Mírovský	8.7	Searching Treebanks	180
Charles University	8.8	Conclusions	181
Zdeňka Urešová	Ack	nowledgments	182
Charles University	Refe	rences	182

### 8.1 Introduction

Corpus annotation, whether lexical, morphological, syntactic, semantic, or any other, brings additional linguistic information as an added value to a corpus. The annotation scenario might differ considerably among corpora, but it is always based on some formalism that represents the desired level and area of linguistic interpretation of the corpus. From the simple annotation of part-of-speech categories to the shallow syntactic annotation to semantic role labeling to the "deep," complex annotation of semantic and discourse relations, there is usually some more or less sound linguistic theory behind the design of the representation used, or at least certain principles common to several such theories.

Corpora have become popular resources for computationally minded linguists and computer science experts developing applications in Natural Language Processing (NLP). Linguists typically look for various occurrences of specific words or patterns to find examples or counterexamples within the theories they build or work with, lexicographers use corpora for creating dictionary entries by looking for evidence of use of words in various senses and contexts, computational linguists together with computer scientists and statisticians construct language models and build part-of-speech taggers, syntactic parsers and various semantic labelers to be used in applications, such as machine translation, information retrieval, information extraction, question answering and summarization systems, dialogue systems and many more. Often, annotated corpora were built by linguists who wanted to confront their theory with real-world texts.

Most of the work on annotated corpora concerns the domain of written texts, on which this chapter is focused. However, it should be acknowledged that the growing interest in the speech community to develop advanced models of spoken language has led to an increasing effort to process corpora that represent the spoken form of language. This is well documented among other things by the contributions in the special issue of the journal Speech Communication published in 2001 (Bird and Harrington 2001), in

the agreement between annotators should be carefully watched and measured, in order to make the annotation guidelines more explicit and unambiguous.

Thanks to treebanks, NLP technologies such as automatic tagging, parsing, and other annotation of (mostly) written texts has made tremendous progress during the past 10–20 years. Part-of-speech tagging seems to be close to its current limits, reaching the level of human performance (as defined by the interannotator agreement). Parsing, "deep" parsing, semantic role labeling, machine translation, and other NLP technologies are still areas of vivid research and experimentation. It is expected that the findings accumulated during these experiments will influence future treebank annotation projects to serve better NLP technology needs. Similar influence might come from the theoretical side: new annotation schemes will then support, in the areas of syntax and semantics, (hopefully) more consistent, more adequate, and more explanatory linguistic theories than they do today.

# Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the support of the Czech Ministry of Education (grants MSM-0021620838 and ME838), the Czech Grant Agency (project under the grant 405/09/0729), and the Grant Agency of Charles University in Prague (project GAUK 52408/2008). We are grateful to Barbora Vidová Hladká and Zdeněk Žabokrtský for reading and commenting upon the first draft of the chapter and for providing us with useful information and recommendations we used in the relevant places of the text, as well as to Pavel Straňák for his additions in the paragraphs on word sense disambiguation and named entities. Thanks are due to the two reviewers of the chapter Steve Bird and Adam Meyers for most helpful comments.

## References

- Abeillé, A., Clément, L., and F. Toussenel. 2003. Building a treebank for French. In *Treebanks: Building and Using Parsed Corpora*, ed. A. Abeillé, pp. 165–188. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer.
- Adda, G., Mariani, J., Paroubek, P., Rajman, M., and J. Lecomte. 1999. L'action GRACE d'évaluation de l'assignation de parties du discours pour le français. *Langues* 2(2): 119–129.
- Aduriz, I., Aranzabe, M., Arriola, J. et al. 2003. Methodology and steps towards the construction of EPEC, a corpus of written Basque tagged at morphological and syntactic levels for the automatic processing. In *Proceedings of the Corpus Linguistics 2003 Conference*, Lancaster, U.K., eds. D. Archer, P. Rayson, A. Wilson, and T. McEnery, pp. 10–11. UCREL technical paper (16). UCREL, Lancaster University.
- Arnold, J. E., Wasow, T., Losongco, A., and R. Ginstrom. 2000. Heaviness vs. newness: The effects of structural complexity and discourse status on constituent ordering, *Language* 76: 28–55.
- Arstein R. and M. Poesio. 2008. Inter-coder agreement for computational LinguisticsInter-Coder agreement for computational linguistics. *Computational Linguistics* 34(4): 555–596.
- Balabanova, E. and K. Ivanova. 2002. Creating a machine-readable version of Bulgarian valence dictionary (A case study of CLaRK system application). In *Proceedings of TLT 2002*, Sozopol, Bulgaria, eds. E. Hinrichs and K. Simov, pp. 1–12.
- Bennett, E. M., Alpert, R., and A. C. Goldstein. 1954. Communications through limited questioning. *Public Opinion Quarterly* 18(3): 303–308.
- Biber, D. 1988. Variation Across Speech and Writing. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge University Press.
- Bick, E. 2003. Arboretum, a Hybrid Treebank for Danish. In *Proceedings of TLT 2003*, Växjö, Sweden, eds. J. Nivre and E. Hinrich, pp. 9–20.
- Bird, S., Chen, Y., Davidson, S., Lee, H., and Y. Zheng. 2006. Designing and evaluating an XPath dialect for linguistic queries. In *Proceedings of the 22nd International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE)*, Atlanta, GA, pp. 52–61.