Syntax – Valency

Jirka Hana

Grammatical Roles

- subject (podmět)
- object (předmět)
- complement various meanings
- adjunct (příslovečné určení)

Grammatical Roles

- subject (podmět)
- object (předmět)
- complement various meanings
- adjunct (příslovečné určení)

In some theories, these notions are primitive (undefined), in some theories they are defined in terms of other notions. For example, subject can be defined structurally as the NP in S \rightarrow NP VP.

Subject (podmět)

- Roughly: The active participant in the active sentence.
 - (1) a. **John** writes a letter.
 - b. However: **John** *underwent torture* (at the hands of the terrorists).

Note: We are defining a syntactic notion using semantics. It works in most of the cases, however not always.

complement

- Various meanings:
 - object the meaning used here
 - object or subject, i.e., non-adjunct
 - (doplněk) modifier of both the verb and an object/subject.
 - (2) The task seems hard. hard is subject complement
 - (3) I consider the task hard. hard is object complement

• subcategorization, (surface-)valency (frame) – list of complements of a word (usually a verb)

- adjunct (příslovečné určení)
 - (4) a. John eats [often].
 - b. John eats [loudly].
 - c. John eats [in the morning].
 - d. John eats [when he gets hungry].
 - e. John eats [in a restaurant] [on Sunday].

Adjunct versus Complement

complements	adjuncts
combine with particular verbs	can combine with any verb (mostly)
cannot be repeated	can be repeated
their meaning is determined by the verb	have meaning on their own
usually NPs, PPs	usually AdvPs, PPs

Transitive and intransitive verbs

- Intransitive verb a verb with a subject and no objects: sleep, snore
 - (5) a. John snores.
 - b. *John snores <u>a book</u>.
- **Transitive** verb a verb with a subject and an object: *buy, brush, write, catch*
 - (6) a. John buys a candy.
 - b. *John buys.

Some verbs are both intransitive and transitive: dance – John dances × John dances samba.

- **Ditransitive** verbs a subclass of transitive verbs, take two objects (direct & indirect).
 - (7) a. John give a book to his friend.
 - b. **John** gives <u>his friend</u> a nice book.
 - c. A nice book is given to Mary by John.
 - d. Mary is given a nice book by John.

Deep Valency

The concepts of this section are on the interface of syntax and semantics. Some theories are grounded more in syntax, some more in semantics.

Syntax vs. Semantics

Compare:

- (8) a. I ate a cake.
 - b. I entered a room.

The sentences have the same syntactic structure – both *a cake* and *a room* are (direct) objects, but the cake disappeared after I ate it, while the room did not after I entered it.

Compare:

- (9) a. I took my friend to Brno.
 - b. I took D1 to Brno.

Both my friend and D1 (the freeway) are direct objects, but ...

Note: Below, we focus on verbs only.

The Mainstream U.S. view of Deep Valency

Sources: Charles Fillmore (1968, The Case for Case), David Dowty (1979, Word meaning and Montague grammar), Ray Jackendoff (1983, Semantics and cognition), etc.

(10) a. The janitor opens the door with a key.

b. The key opens the door.

(key - instrument)

(key - instrument)

Thematic role

Thematic role – semantic counterpart of grammatical function:

agent one who deliberately does the action

cause mindlessly performs the action experiencer has sensory or mental experience

patient thing that the action happens to

theme thing or being that is in a state/location

source origin of a change in location/possesion goal/recipient endpoint of a change in location/possesion

instrument the means of accomplishing the action

etc

The exact set is theory dependent. For example, some theories do not distinguish between patient and theme. Some theories allow a single constituent to have multiple roles, some don't.

ate the Cheerios John with a spoon. (11)AGENT PATIENT INSTRUMENT

> fell. John b.

THEME

John is polite. C.

THEME

John heard the explosion. d.

EXPERIENCER THEME

John Mary. gave a a book e.

AGENT/SOURCE THEME GOAL

John cought the flu.

GOAL THEME Important: The roles reflect how the speaker structures the reality, not necessarrily the reality itself.

- (12) a. John broke the window.
 - (John AGENT)
 - b. The hammer broke the window.
 - (the hammer Instrument)
 - c. The storm broke the window.
 - (the storm CAUSER)

Going too far? Is it still linguistics? What if John was coerced/hypnotised?

Going too far? Is it still linguistics? What if John was coerced/hypnotised?

However, Agents and Causers sometimes behave grammatically differently:

- (14) a. The pressure/explosion/Wills banging broke the window.
 - b. John broke the window.
 - c. The window broke from the pressure/explosion/Wills banging.
 - d. *The window broke from John.