## Intro to Linguistics – Morphology Jirka Hana – October 31, 2011

## **Overview of topics**

- 1. Basic terminology
- 2. Classification of morphemes
- 3. Structure of words
- 4. Morphological processes
- 5. Word formation
- 6. Language Typology
- 7. Processing morphology

## 1 Basic terminology

- Morphology study of internal structure of words
- Morpheme the smallest linguistic unit which has a meaning or grammatical function. Words are composed of morphemes (one or more). There are some complications with this simple definition.

 $sing \cdot er \cdot s, \ home \cdot work, \ moon \cdot light, \ un \cdot kind \cdot ly, \ talk \cdot s, \ ten \cdot th, \ flipp \cdot ed, \ de \cdot nation \cdot al \cdot iz \cdot ation$ 

The order of morphemes matters:

 $talk \cdot ed \neq *ed \cdot talk, re \cdot write \neq *write \cdot re$ 

- Morph. The term morpheme is used both to refer to an abstract entity and its concrete realization(s) in speech or writing. When it is needed to maintain the signified and signifier distinction, the term morph is used to refer to the concrete entity, while the term morpheme is reserved for the abstract entity only.
- Allomorphs morphemes having the same function but different form. Unlike the synonyms they usually cannot be replaced one by the other.
  - (1) a. indefinite article: an orange a building
    b. plural morpheme: cat·s [s] dog·s [z] judg·es [əs]
  - (2) a.  $matk \cdot a$  'mother nom'- matek 'mothers qen' mate  $\cdot e$  'mother dat' mate  $\dot{c} \cdot in$  'mother's'

## 2 Classification Of Morphemes

#### **2.1** Bound $\times$ Free

• **Bound** – cannot appear as a word by itself.

 $-s (dog \cdot s), -ly (quick \cdot ly), -ed (walk \cdot ed);$ 

- -te (dělá·te 'do<sub>2pl</sub>'), -y (žen·y 'women'), vy- (vy·jít 'walk out')
- Free can appear as a word by itself; often can combine with other morphemes too. house (house ·s), walk (walk ·ed), of, the, or

hrad 'castle',  $\check{z}en$  'woman<sub>root</sub> = gen.pl.',  $p\check{r}es$  'over', nebo 'or'

Past tense morpheme is a bound morpheme in English (-ed) but a free morpheme in Mandarine Chinese (le)

- (3) a. Ta chi le fan. He eat past meal.
  'He ate the meal.'
  - b. Ta chi fan le. He eat meal past.'He ate the meal.'

#### **2.2** Root $\times$ Affix

• **root** – nucleus of the word that affixes attach too.

In English, most of the roots are free. In some languages that is less common (Lithuanian: *Billas Clintonas*).

Compounds contain more than one root: home-work; železo-beton 'reinforced concrete'

- affix a morpheme that is not a root; it is always bound
  - suffix:  $talk \cdot ing$ ,  $quick \cdot ly$ ;  $mal \cdot \acute{y}$  'small<sub>masc.sg.nom</sub>',  $kup \cdot ova \cdot t$  'buy<sub>imperf</sub>'
  - prefix: un·happy, pre·existing; do·psat 'finish writing', nej·méně 'least'
  - infix: common in Austronesian and Austroasiatic lgs (Tagalog, Khmer) Tagalog: *basa* 'read'  $b \cdot um \cdot asa$  'read<sub>past</sub>' - *sulat* 'write' -  $s \cdot um \cdot ulat$  'wrote' very rare in English: *abso bloody lutely*,
  - **circumfix**: morpheme having two parts that are placed around a stem Dutch collectives: *berg* 'mountain'  $ge \cdot berg \cdot te$  'mountains' \*geberg, \*bergte*vogel* 'bird'  $ge \cdot vogel \cdot te$  'poultry' \*gevogel, \*vogelteCzech  $po + \ldots + i$ :

 $Vltava \rightarrow Po \cdot vltav \cdot i$  'Vltava river area' (\* povltava, \* vltavi); Pobaltí, pohoří, pohraničí, potrubí, pobřeží, polesí

Suffixes more common than prefixes which are more common than infixes/circumfixes

## **2.3** Content $\times$ Functional

- **Content** morphemes carry some semantic content *car*, *-able*, *un-*
- Functional morphemes provide grammatical information the, and, -s (plural), -s (3<sup>rd</sup> sg) jsem 'past aux<sub>1sg</sub>', -a 'gen.sg' (měst·a 'town<sub>gen</sub>')

### 2.4 Derivation vs. Inflection

• inflection - creating various forms of the same word

**lexeme** – an abstract entity; the set of all forms related by inflection (but not derivation).

 $table - table \cdot s$ 

 $u\check{c}{\cdot}\acute{i}{\cdot}m - u\check{c}{\cdot}\acute{i}{\cdot}\check{s} - u\check{c}{\cdot}\acute{i} - u\check{c}{\cdot}\acute{i}{\cdot}me$ 

**lemma**: A form from a lexeme chosen by convention (e.g., nom.sg. for nouns, infinitive for verbs) to represent that set.

Also called the canonical/base/dictionary/citation form.

E.g., break, breaks, broke, broken, breaking have the same lemma break

 $\mathbf{ending}-\mathrm{inflectional}\ \mathrm{suffix}$ 

• derivation – creating new words

 $slow - slow \cdot ly - slow \cdot ness$  $u\check{c}\cdot i \cdot t - u\check{c}\cdot i \cdot tel - u\check{c}\cdot i \cdot tel \cdot ka - u\check{c}\cdot i \cdot tel \cdot sk\check{y} - u\check{c}\cdot i \cdot tel \cdot ova \cdot t - vy \cdot u\check{c}\cdot ova \cdot t$ 

Inflection vs. Derivation:

- Derivation tends to affects the meaning of the word, while inflection tends to affect only its syntactic function.
- Derivation tends to be more irregular there are more gaps, the meaning is more idiosyncratic and less compositional.
- However, the boundary between derivation and inflection is often fuzzy and unclear.

# 3 Structure of words

Structure of words can be captured in a similar way as structure of sentences.

(4) unbelievable = un + (believ + able), not \*(un + believe) + able.



Some words can be ambiguous:



# 4 Morphological processes

- **Concatenation** (adding continuous affixes) the most common process Often phonological changes on morpheme boundaries.
- Reduplication part of the word or the entire word is doubled:
  - Tagalog: basa 'read' ba·basa 'will read'; sulat 'write' su·sulat 'will write'
  - Afrikaans: amper 'nearly' amper <br/>- amper 'very nearly'; dik 'thick'  $dik \cdot dik$ 'very thick'
  - Indonesian: oraŋ 'man' oraŋ oraŋ 'all sorts of men' (Cf. orangutan)

-	Samoan:			
	a lof a	$love_{Sg}$	$a \cdot lo \cdot lofa$	$love_{Pl}$
	galue	'work $_{Sq}$ '	$ga \cdot lu \cdot lue$	'work <sub><math>Pl</math></sub> '
	la:po?a	'to be $large_{Sq}$ '	la:•po•po?a	'to be $large_{Pl}$ '
	tamo?e	'run <sub>Sg</sub> '	$ta \cdot mo \cdot mo?e$	'run <sub>Pl</sub> '
		0		

- English:  $humpty{\cdot}dumpty$
- American English (borrowed from Yiddish): baby-schmaby, pizza-schmizza
- Templates both root and affix

Both the roots and affixes are discontinuous. Only Semitic lgs (Arabic, Hebrew). A root (3 or 4 consonants, e.g., l-m-d – 'learn') is interleaved with a (mostly) vocalic pattern

– Hebrew	:		
lomed	'learn <sub>masc</sub> '	shatak	'be-quiet <sub>pres.masc</sub> '
lamad	$'learnt_{masc.sg.3rd}'$	shatak	'was-quiet <sub>masc.sg.3rd</sub> '
limed	'taught <sub>masc.sg.3rd</sub> '	shitek	'made-sb-to-be-quiet <sub>masc.sg.3rd</sub> '
lumad	'was-taught <sub>masc.sg.3rd</sub> '	shutak	'was-made-to-be-quiet <sub>masc.sg.3rd</sub> '

- Morpheme internal changes (apophony, ablaut) the word changes internally
  - English: sing sang sung, man men, goose geese (not productive anymore)

- German: Mann 'man' Männ·chen 'small man', Hund 'dog' Hünd·chen 'small dog'
- Czech: kráva krav, nés $\cdot t$  nes $\cdot u$  nos $\cdot im$
- Subtraction (Deletion): some material is deleted to create another form
  - Papago (a native American language in Arizona) imperfective → perfective him 'walking<sub>imperf</sub>' → hi 'walking<sub>perf</sub>' hihim 'walking<sub>pl.imperf</sub>' → hihi 'walking<sub>pl.perf</sub>'
    French, feminine adjective → masculine adj. (much less clear)
  - $\begin{array}{rcl} grande \ [grad] & \text{'big}_{f}' & \rightarrow & grand \ [gra] & \text{'big}_{m}' \\ fausse \ [fos] & \text{'false}_{f}' & \rightarrow & faux \ [fo] & \text{'false}_{m}' \end{array}$
- Suppletion 'irregular' relation between the words. Hopefully quite rare.
  - Czech: být jsem, jít šla, dobrý lepší
  - English: be am is was, go went, good better

# 5 Word formation

- Affixation words are formed by adding affixes. English:
  - V + -able  $\rightarrow$  Adj: predict-able
  - $\ \mathrm{V} + \ \text{-}er \rightarrow \ \mathrm{N}: \ sing \cdot er$
  - un- + A  $\rightarrow$  A: un·productive
  - $V + -en \rightarrow V: deep \cdot en, thick \cdot en$

Czech:

- N + -ov-ý  $\rightarrow$  Adj: motor·ový
- V + -tel  $\rightarrow$  N: spisova·tel, stavi·tel
- N + -ova-t  $\rightarrow$  N: pan·ova·t, parazit·ova·t,
- Compounding words are formed by combining two or more words.

English:

- $\operatorname{Adj} + \operatorname{Adj} \rightarrow \operatorname{Adj:} bitter \cdot sweet$
- N + N  $\rightarrow$  N: rain bow, Internet Security Association Key Management Protocol
- $V + N \rightarrow V: pick \cdot pocket$
- $P + V \rightarrow V: over \cdot do$

Czech:

- N + N  $\rightarrow$  N: maso·žravec 'carnivore', vzducho·loď 'airship'
- A + N  $\rightarrow$  N: černo·zem 'black soil', plno·vous 'beard'
- A(dv) + A  $\rightarrow$  A:  $star \cdot o \cdot \check{c}esk \cdot \check{y}$  'Old Czech',  $tmav \cdot o \cdot modr \cdot \check{y}$  'dark blue'

German:  $Donau \cdot dampf \cdot schiff \cdot fahrts \cdot gesellschafts \cdot kapitän$ 

- Acronyms like abbreviations, but acts as a normal word laser – <u>light amplification by simulated emission of radiation</u> radar – <u>radio detecting and ranging</u> Čedok – <u>Česká dopravní kancelář</u>
- Blending parts of two different words are combined

 $\begin{aligned} breakfast + lunch \rightarrow brunch \\ smoke + fog \rightarrow smog \\ motor + hotel \rightarrow motel \end{aligned}$ 

• Clipping – longer words are shortened doc(tor), prof(essional), lab(oratory), ad(vertisement), dorm(itory), exam(ination) auto(mobil)

# 6 Morphological Types Of Languages

Two basic morphological types of languages:

- Analytic (isolating) languages have only free morphemes, sentences are sequences of single-morpheme words.
- Synthetic languages both free and bound morphemes. Affixes are added to roots.

#### 6.1 Analytic languages

Analytic languages have only free morphemes, sentences are sequences of single morpheme words.

(6) Vietnamese:

khi tôi đên nhà bạn tôi, chúng tôi bat dǎu làm bài (Comrie 1989) when I come house friend I, PLURAL I begin do lesson

'When I came to my friend's house, we began to do lessons.'

#### 6.2 Synthetic languages

Synthetic languages have both free and bound morphemes. Has further subtypes: • Agglutinating – each morpheme has a single function, it is easy to separate them. E.g., Uralic lgs (Estonian, Finnish, Hungarian), Turkish, Basque, Dravidian lgs (Tamil, Kannada, Telugu), Esperanto

Turkish:

	sg.	pl.	
nom.	ev	$ev \cdot ler$	'house'
gen.	$ev \cdot in$	$ev \cdot ler \cdot in$	
dat.	ev·e	$ev \cdot ler \cdot e$	
acc.	ev·i	$ev \cdot ler \cdot i$	
loc.	ev∙de	$ev \cdot ler \cdot de$	
ins.	$\mathrm{ev}{\cdot}\mathrm{den}$	$\mathrm{ev}{\cdot}\mathrm{ler}{\cdot}\mathrm{den}$	

• Fusional – like agglutinating, but affixes tend to "fuse together", one affix has more than one function. Common homonymy of inflectional affixes.

 $matk \cdot a$  'mother' – a means the word is a noun, feminine, singular, nominative.

7)	Homonymy	of the $a$ en	ding in Czech:		
	form	lemma	gloss		category
	měst-a	město	town	NS2	noun neut sg gen
				NP1 $(5)$	noun neut pl nom (voc)
				NP4	noun neut pl acc
	tém-a	téma	theme	NS1 $(5)$	noun neut sg nom (voc)
				NS4	noun neut sg acc
	žen-a	žena	woman	FS1	noun fem sg nom
	pán-a	pán	man	MS2	noun masc anim sg gen
				MS4	noun masc anim sg acc
	ostrov-a	ostrov	island	IS2	noun masc inanim sg gen
	předsed-a	předseda	president	MS1	noun masc anim sg nom
	vidě-l-a	vidět	see		verb past fem sg
					verb past neut pl
	vidě-n-a				verb passive fem sg
					verb passive neut pl
	vid-a				verb transgressive masc sg
	dv-a	dv-a	two		numeral masc sg nom
					numeral masc sg acc

E.g., Slavic, Romance languages, Greek

(7

(8) Ending -e and noun cases in Czech:

case	form	lemma	gender	gloss
nom	kuř-e	kuře	neuter	chicken
$\operatorname{gen}$	muž-e	muž	masc.anim.	man
dat	mouš-e	moucha	feminine	fly
acc	muž-e	muž	masc.anim.	man
voc	pan-e	pán	masc.anim.	mister
loc	mouš-e	moucha	feminine	fly
$\operatorname{inst}$	_	_		

• Polysynthetic: extremely complex, many roots and affixes combine together, often one word corresponds to a whole sentence in other languages.

angyaghlangyugtuq – 'he wants to acquire a big boat' (Eskimo) palyamunurringkutjamunurtu - 's/he definitely did not become bad' (W Aus.) Sora - LF, p. 132

# 6.3 Morphology in real languages

Czech – mostly fusional, but also other properties:

- analytic: future and past tense, conditional, prepositions, ...
- agglutinating: prefixes/suffixes;  $vid\check{e} \cdot n \cdot a$  'seen<sub>fem.sg</sub>' -n- passive, -a fem+sg

English – originally fusional, but now both analytic properties (future morpheme *will*, perfective morpheme *have*, etc. are separate words) and synthetic properties (plural ( $\cdot s$ ), etc. are bound morphemes)

Language	Ration of morphemes per word
Greenlandic Eskimo	3.72
Sanskrit	2.59
Swahili	2.55
Old English	2.12
Lezgian	1.93
German	1.92
Modern English	1.68
Vietnamese	1.06

(9) The degree of synthesis of some languages (Haspelmath 2002):