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Abstract. In the present contribution, we introduce a pilot version of CzeDLex, a Lexicon of Czech Discourse Connectives. Currently, CzeDLex contains 205 lemmas of connectives coming from the annotation of the Prague Discourse Treebank 2.0 (PDiT). CzeDLex reflects division of connectives into primary (e.g. když [if]) and secondary (e.g. za této podmínky [under this condition]). Altogether, 134 lemmas in CzeDLex are primary connectives and 71 are lexical cores of secondary connectives (i.e. words like podmínka [condition]). All 205 lemmas are manually annotated with basic linguistic information; the full annotation is now in progress. At this stage, 19 lemmas have been fully manually processed, which covers more than two thirds of all discourse relations in the PDiT. In the present paper, we describe the process of building CzeDLex, we give a list of connective properties annotated in lexicon entries of both primary and secondary connectives and we present the way of their nesting. The technical solution of CzeDLex is based on the (XML-based) Prague Markup Language that allows for an efficient incorporation of the lexicon into the family of Prague treebanks and also for interconnecting CzeDLex with existing lexicons in other languages.
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1 Introduction

In the present contribution, we introduce a pilot version of CzeDLex (a Lexicon of Czech Discourse Connectives, developed within the COST-cz project TextLink-cz). The lexicon is a result of a long-term investigation of Czech discourse relations in both theoretical and practical aspects (see e.g. the monograph by Zikánová et al., 2015; summarizing research of coherence with focus on discourse relations in Czech) and logical follow-up of Prague annotation projects like Prague Discourse Treebank 1.0 (PDiT, see Poláková et al., 2012) and 2.0 (Rysová et al., 2016) – a large corpus annotated with discourse relations and discourse connectives. CzeDLex is thus based on an extensive linguistic research of discourse in Czech.

CzeDLex contains connectives partially automatically extracted from the PDiT 2.0. The lexicon entries are being manually checked and supplemented by additional lin-
guistic information, starting with the most frequent connectives. The current development version of the lexicon is available online (http://ufal.mff.cuni.cz/czedlex/) and was published as a pilot version (version 0.5, Mirovský et al., 2017) in the Lindat/Clarin repository.

The data format and the data structure of the lexicon are based on a study of similar existing resources, especially on DiMLex – a lexicon of German discourse markers first introduced by Stede and Umbach (1998) and Stede (2002) and recently updated by Scheffler and Stede (2016). The main principle adopted for nesting entries in CzeDLex is a semantic type of discourse relations expressed by the given connective word, which enables us to deal with a broad formal variability of connectives. The technical solution of CzeDLex is based on the (XML-based) Prague Markup Language that allows for an efficient incorporation of the lexicon into the family of Prague treebanks – it can be directly opened and edited in the tree editor TrEd (see Pajas and Štěpánek, 2008), processed from the command line in btrex, interlinked with its source corpus and queried in the PML-Tree Query engine (details on PML-TQ are given in Štěpánek and Pajas, 2010) – and also for interconnecting CzeDLex with existing lexicons in other languages.

In this presentation, we first discuss theoretical linguistic aspects underlying the division and the description of Czech connectives adopted in CzeDLex, we present a list of connective properties annotated in the lexicon and finally, we provide an example of a lexicon entry (the connective proto [therefore]).

2 Theoretical Linguistic Aspects behind CzeDLex – Division of Connectives

CzeDLex reflects a division of discourse connectives into primary and secondary (the terms and definitions introduced by Rysová and Rysová, 2014) which differ especially in the degree of grammaticalization. Primary connectives are rather short and grammaticalized expressions belonging to certain parts of speech (mostly conjunctions, particles and some types of adverbs), such as English but, or, when, thus. On the other hand, secondary connectives are especially multiword phrases like under these conditions, this means, because of this etc. that are not yet fully grammaticalized. At the same time, secondary connectives contain the so-called core words, cf. e.g. the word condition in structures like under this condition or on condition that etc. (see also Rysová and Rysová, 2015). Since the PDT 2.0 contains a detailed annotation of both primary and secondary connectives, both of these types are included also into CzeDLex.

Discourse connectives in CzeDLex are further divided into the following categories: complex vs. single and modified vs. non-modified (Rysová, 2015). Complex connectives consist of two or more connective words all participating in expressing the given discourse relation type. Complex connectives occur in a single argument (a

---

1 The annotation and description of primary connectives in the PDT is given in Poláková (2015) and of secondary connectives in Rysová (2015).
proto [and therefore]) or they may form correlative pairs (bud nebo [either_or]). Modified connectives contain an expression (often of evaluative or modal nature) that further specifies/modifies the discourse relation, without changing its semantic type (hlavně protože [mainly because]).

3 List of Connective Properties in CzeDLex

3.1 Level-One and Level-Two Entries

The entries in CzeDLex are structured according to a two-level nesting principle. On the first level, entries are nested according to the lemma of a connective and contain the following linguistic information:

- type of the connective (primary vs. secondary),
- structure of the connective (single vs. complex),
- variants of the connective (e.g. stylistic or orthographic),
- connective usages – a list of level-two entries representing semantico-pragmatic relations the connective expresses and their properties,
- non-connective usages – another list of level two entries, representing contexts where the lemma does not function as a discourse connective (e.g. young and beautiful).

Level two for primary connectives reflects the discourse-semantic types (usages) and contains the following pieces of information:

- semantic type of the discourse relation (condition, opposition etc.),
- gloss (an explanatory Czech synonym),
- English translation,
- part of speech of the connective,
- argument semantics (for asymmetric relations like reason–result, e.g. protože [because] expresses reason while proto [therefore] expresses result),
- ordering, i.e. position of the argument syntactically associated with the connective in relation to the other (external) argument,
- integration, i.e. placement of a connective in an argument,2
- list of connective modifications,
- list of complex connectives containing the given connective,
- examples from the PDiT (i.e. a context for the given discourse relation) and their English translations,
- is rare (set to ‘1’ for rare usages),
- register (formal, neutral, informal).

2 The names of the elements ordering and integration are taken from DiMLex (Scheffler and Stede, 2016).
An entry for a secondary connective contains several modifications. On level one of the lexicon structure, entries are nested according to the lemma of the core word for a secondary connective (see above). A level-two entry then contains the following additional properties (details on them are given in Rysová, 2015):

- syntactic characteristics of the structure (e.g. *za této podmínky* [under this condition] is a prepositional phrase),
- dependency scheme (general pattern) for each structure (e.g. *za této podmínky* [under this condition] = “*za* ((anaph. Atr) *podmínka.*2)”, i.e. a preposition *za* [under] plus an anaphoric attribute and the word *podmínka* [condition] in genitive),
- realizations of the dependency scheme (e.g. *za této podmínky* [under this condition], *za dané podmínky* [under the given condition] etc.).

Details on building and designing of CzeDLex are given in detail in Mirovský et al. (2016), Synková et al. (2017) and Mirovský et al. (2017).

### 3.2 Frequencies from the PDiT 2.0

The lexicon entries are also enriched by frequencies of the individual connectives in the PDiT 2.0. Numbers of occurrences in the corpus are added to all connective variants, complex forms, modifications and realizations, as well as to connective and non-connective usages and the whole lemmas.

The numbers reflect the total occurrences as well as intra-sentential (as opposed to inter-sentential) occurrences using the whole PDiT 2.0 data.

### 3.3 Example of a Lexicon Entry

The following is a shortened entry for a connective *proto* [therefore] (e.g. we shortened or deleted too long context examples and their English translations for better readability of the entry).

We may read the following information from the entry. E.g. 99% of all of its tokens in the PDiT are in a connective usage (i.e. its non-connective usage is very rare – cf. an example from the PDiT where *proto* [therefore] does not connect two discourse arguments but only two sentence elements: *Ještě ne na světové úrovni, a právě proto tak rozkošně živoucí.* [Not yet on the world level and exactly therefore so admirably lively.]). 28% within all of its connective usages is intra-sentential, which demonstrates the preference of this connective in inter-sentential discourse relations.

We may see that most preferably (in 98%), the connective signals a relation of reason-result (semantically, it expresses result). It appears in the second discourse argument and concerning its integration, the connective is not strictly bound to any position in the sentence (it may be used e.g. in the first as well as in the second position). 19% within the reason-result relation is formed by complex forms like *a proto* [and therefore] or *proto také* [therefore also] and 1% by modified forms like *právě proto* [exactly therefore].
Concerning other semantic types of discourse relations, the connective *proto* [therefore] expresses also pragmatic reason-result or equivalence; however, these relations are rather rare in this case.

Fig 1. A shortened lexicon entry for the connective *proto* [therefore] in CzeDLex.

### 4 Conclusion

CzeDLex in its present version contains 205 lemmas (i.e. basic lemmas of primary and core words of secondary connectives) – all of them are manually annotated for modifications, complex forms, and variants. An additional manual annotation is provided to the most frequent ones, currently for primary connectives with at least 300 occurrences in the source corpus, and several most frequent secondary connectives.

Altogether, 19 lemmas have been fully manually processed, which covers more than two thirds of all discourse relations in the source corpus. Although the annotation of the rest of lemmas in CzeDLex is still in progress, we demonstrated that its first version offers valuable linguistic information already in its current form.
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