Character-level MT is good for noise robustness and not much else

Why don't people use character-level machine translation?

Jindřich Libovický **Helmut Schmid** Alexander Fraser

libovicky@ufal.mff.cuni.cz schmid@cis.lmu.de fraser@cis.lmu.de



1. Extensive survey of research papers and WMT submissions.



- Research papers claim parity or superiority of char-level models over subwords
- Character-level model hardly ever used in competitive WMT setups (>90% submission use subwords)
- Char-level model 5-6x slower than subwords \rightarrow standard WMT methods unfeasible

2. Explore both existing and new character-level architectures.

- Architecture exploration on small IWSLT data $en \leftrightarrow \{de, fr, ar\}$



- Various architectures for char processing
 - 1D Convolution + Max-pool
 - CANINE = local self-attention + 1D convolution
 - Charfromer = based on *n*-gram averaging
- Standard and vs fast novel 2-step decoder

Winner: 1D convolution + Max pool + Vanilla decoder

3. Systematic evaluation with WMT-scale models.

- Use the best architecture from the small data experiments
- Use the same data as in used competitive WMT submissions (incl. back-translation)
- English \rightarrow Czech

Evaluation to assess often claimed advantages of character-level methods

- Quality in news, IT, medical domain worse overall, consistent over domains
- Gender evaluation dataset no clear advantage

- CzEng 2.0 dataset
- 61M authentic sentences, 50M back-translated
- English \rightarrow German
 - Data mix used in Edinburgh's WMT21 submission
 - 66M authentics sentences, 52M back-translated
- Morphology using Morpheval benchmark German seems slightly better, no difference for Czech
- Recall of novel forms and lemmas no difference between subwords and characters
- Robustness towards source-side noise character-level clearly better



Published in Findings of ACL. Presented at ACL 2022, Dublin. https://github.com/jlibovicky/char-nmt-two-step-decoder https://github.com/jlibovicky/char-nmt-fairseg

The work at LMU Munich was supported by was supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (No. 640550) and by the German Research Foundation (DFG; grant FR 2829/4-1). The work at CUNI was supported by the European Commission via its Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (No. 870930).