Rudolf Rosa rosa@ufal.mff.cuni.cz

Deep Neural Networks in Natural Language Processing

Charles University Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics

Hora Informaticae, ÚI AV ČR, Praha, 14 Jan 2019



Machine learning? (ML)



- Machine learning? (ML)
- Artificial neural networks? (NN)



- Machine learning? (ML)
- Artificial neural networks? (NN)
- Deep neural networks? (DNN)



- Machine learning? (ML)
- Artificial neural networks? (NN)
- Deep neural networks? (DNN)
- Convolutional neural networks? (CNN)



- Machine learning? (ML)
- Artificial neural networks? (NN)
- Deep neural networks? (DNN)
- Convolutional neural networks? (CNN)
- Recurrent neural networks? (RNN)



- Machine learning? (ML)
- Artificial neural networks? (NN)
- Deep neural networks? (DNN)
- Convolutional neural networks? (CNN)
- Recurrent neural networks? (RNN)
 - Long short-term memory units? (LSTM)
 - Gated recurrent units? (GRU)



- Machine learning? (ML)
- Artificial neural networks? (NN)
- Deep neural networks? (DNN)
- Convolutional neural networks? (CNN)
- Recurrent neural networks? (RNN)
 - Long short-term memory units? (LSTM)
 - Gated recurrent units? (GRU)
- Attention mechanism? (Bahdanau+, 2014)





- Machine learning? (ML)
- Artificial neural networks? (NN)
- Deep neural networks? (DNN)
- Convolutional neural networks? (CNN)
- Recurrent neural networks? (RNN)
 - Long short-term memory units? (LSTM)
 - Gated recurrent units? (GRU)
- Attention mechanism? (Bahdanau+, 2014)
 - Self-attentive networks? (SAN, Transformer)



- Machine learning? (ML)
- Artificial neural networks? (NN)
- Deep neural networks? (DNN)
- Convolutional neural networks? (CNN)
- Recurrent neural networks? (RNN)
 - Long short-term memory units? (LSTM)
 - Gated recurrent units? (GRU)
- Attention mechanism? (Bahdanau+, 2014)
 - Self-attentive networks? (SAN, Transformer)
- Word embeddings? (Bengio+, 2003)
 - Word2vec? (Mikolov+, 2013)





- Before: complex multistep pipelines
 - Preprocessing, low-level processing, high-level processing, classification, post-processing...
 - Massive feature engineering, linguistic knowledge...

- Before: complex multistep pipelines
 - Preprocessing, low-level processing, high-level processing, classification, post-processing...
 - Massive feature engineering, linguistic knowledge...
- Now: monolitic end-to-end systems (or nearly)

- Before: complex multistep pipelines
 - Preprocessing, low-level processing, high-level processing, classification, post-processing...
 - Massive feature engineering, linguistic knowledge...
- Now: monolitic end-to-end systems (or nearly)
 - text → deep neural network → output
 - Little or no linguistic knowledge required
 - Little or no feature engineering
 - Little or no dependence on external tools

- Before: complex multistep pipelines
 - Preprocessing, low-level processing, high-level processing, classification, post-processing...
 - Massive feature engineering, linguistic knowledge...
- Now: monolitic end-to-end systems (or nearly)
 - text → deep neural network → output
 - Little or no linguistic knowledge required
 - Little or no feature engineering
 - Little or no dependence on external tools
 - \rightarrow so now is a good time for **anyone** to get into NLP!

Input to a neuron: <u>fixed-dimension</u> real vector



- Input to a neuron: <u>fixed-dimension</u> real vector
 - Dimension should be reasonable (<10³)



- Input to a neuron: <u>fixed-dimension</u> real vector
 - Dimension should be reasonable (<10³)
 - Neural net: fixed-sized network of neurons



- Input to a neuron: <u>fixed-dimension</u> real vector
 - Dimension should be reasonable (<10³)
 - Neural net: fixed-sized network of neurons
- Text input: sequence processing
 - Sentence = sequence of words



- Input to a neuron: <u>fixed-dimension</u> real vector
 - Dimension should be reasonable (<10³)
 - Neural net: fixed-sized network of neurons
- Text input: sequence processing
 - Sentence = sequence of words
 - Words: discrete (but interrelated)
 - Massively multi-valued (~10⁶)
 - Very sparse (Zipf distribution)



- Input to a neuron: <u>fixed-dimension</u> real vector
 - Dimension should be reasonable (<10³)
 - Neural net: fixed-sized network of neurons
- Text input: sequence processing
 - Sentence = sequence of words
 - Words: discrete (but interrelated)
 - Massively multi-valued (~10⁶)
 - Very sparse (Zipf distribution)
 - Sentences: variable length (~1 to 100)
 - Complex and hidden internal structure

Problem 1: Words

Problem 2: Sentences

Rudolf Rosa – Deep Neural Networks in Natural Language Processing

- Problem 1: Words
 - There are too many
 - They are discrete
 - Representing massively multi-valued discrete data by continuous low-dimensional vectors
- Problem 2: Sentences

- Problem 1: Words
 - There are too many
 - They are discrete
 - Representing massively multi-valued discrete data by continuous low-dimensional vectors
- Problem 2: Sentences
 - They have various lengths
 - They have internal structure
 - Handling variable-length input sequences with complex internal relations by fixed-sized neural units

- Problem 1: Words
 - There are too many
 - They are discrete



- Representing massively multi-valued discrete data by continuous low-dimensional vectors
- Problem 2: Sentences
 - They have various lengths
 - They have internal structure
 - Handling variable-length input sequences with complex internal relations by fixed-sized neural units

- Problem 1: Words
 - There are too many
 - They are discrete



- Representing massively multi-valued discrete data by continuous low-dimensional vectors
- Problem 2: Sentences
 - They have various lengths
 - They have internal structure
 - Handling variable-length input sequences with complex internal relations by fixed-sized neural units





- I am not a ML expert, rather a ML user
 - Please excuse any errors and inaccuracies



- I am not a ML expert, rather a ML user
 - Please excuse any errors and inaccuracies
- Focus of talk: input representation ("encoding")
 - Key problem in NLP, interesting properties



- I am not a ML expert, rather a ML user
 - Please excuse any errors and inaccuracies
- Focus of talk: input representation ("encoding")
 - Key problem in NLP, interesting properties
- Leaving out
 - Generating output ("decoding") that's also interesting



- I am not a ML expert, rather a ML user
 - Please excuse any errors and inaccuracies
- Focus of talk: input representation ("encoding")
 - Key problem in NLP, interesting properties
- Leaving out
 - Generating output ("decoding") that's also interesting
 - Sequence generation
 - Seq. elements discrete, large domain (softmax over 10⁶)
 - Sequence length not a priori known



- I am not a ML expert, rather a ML user
 - Please excuse any errors and inaccuracies
- Focus of talk: input representation ("encoding")
 - Key problem in NLP, interesting properties
- Leaving out
 - Generating output ("decoding") that's also interesting
 - Sequence generation
 - Seq. elements discrete, large domain (softmax over 10⁶)
 - Sequence length not a priori known
 - Decision at encoder/decoder boundary (if any)

Problem 1: Words

Massively multi-valued discrete data (words)



Continuous low-dimensional vectors (word embeddings)

Simplification

For now, forget sentences

1 word
$$\rightarrow$$
 f \rightarrow some output

Simplification

Word is positive/neutral/negative,

For now, forget sentences

1 word
$$\rightarrow$$
 f \rightarrow some output

Simplification





Word is positive/neutral/negative, Definition of the word,
Simplification



1 word \rightarrow f \rightarrow some output

Word is positive/neutral/negative, Definition of the word, Hyperonym (dog \rightarrow animal),

. . .

Simplification



Word is positive/neutral/negative, Definition of the word, Hyperonym (dog → animal),



- Situation
 - We have labelled training data for some words (10³)
 - We want to generalize (ideally) to **all** words (10⁶)

How many words are there?

How many words are there? Too many!

- How many words are there? Too many!
 - Many problems with counting words, cannot be done

- How many words are there? Too many!
 - Many problems with counting words, cannot be done
 - ► ~10⁶

- How many words are there? Too many!
 - Many problems with counting words, cannot be done
 - $\sim 10^6$ (but potentially infinite new words get created every day)

- How many words are there? Too many!
 - Many problems with counting words, cannot be done
 - $\sim 10^6$ (but potentially infinite new words get created every day)
- Long-standing problem of NLP

- How many words are there? Too many!
 - Many problems with counting words, cannot be done
 - $\sim 10^6$ (but potentially infinite new words get created every day)
- Long-standing problem of NLP
- Natural representation: 1-hot vector



- How many words are there? Too many!
 - Many problems with counting words, cannot be done
 - $\sim 10^6$ (but potentially infinite new words get created every day)
- Long-standing problem of NLP
- Natural representation: 1-hot vector
 - ML with ~10⁶ binary features on input



- How many words are there? Too many!
 - Many problems with counting words, cannot be done
 - $\sim 10^6$ (but potentially infinite new words get created every day)
- Long-standing problem of NLP
- Natural representation: 1-hot vector
 - ML with ~10⁶ binary features on input
 Pair of words: ~10¹²



 10^{6}

- How many words are there? Too many!
 - Many problems with counting words, cannot be done
 - $\sim 10^6$ (but potentially infinite new words get created every day)
- Long-standing problem of NLP
- Natural representation: 1-hot vector
 - ML with ~10⁶ binary features on input
 - Pair of words: ~10¹²
 - No generalization, meaning of words not captured
 - dog~puppy, dog~~cat, dog~~~platypus, dog~~~whiskey

 10^{6}

Split into characters MOOCK

Split into characters MOOCK

Not that many (~10²)

Split into characters MOOCK

- Not that many (~10²)
- Do not capture meaning
 - Starts with "m-", is it positive or negative?



- Not that many (~10²)
- Do not capture meaning
 - Starts with "m-", is it positive or negative?
- Split into subwords/morphemes mis class if ied

- Split into characters MOOCK
 - Not that many (~10²)
 - Do not capture meaning
 - Starts with "m-", is it positive or negative?
 - Split into subwords/morphemes mis class if ied
 - Word starts with "mis-": it is probably negative
 - misclassify, mistake, misconception...

- Split into characters MOOCK
 - Not that many (~10²)
 - Do not capture meaning
 - Starts with "m-", is it positive or negative?
 - Split into subwords/morphemes mis class if ied
 - Word starts with "mis-": it is probably negative
 - misclassify, mistake, misconception...
 - Helps, used in practice

- Split into characters MOOCK
 - Not that many (~10²)
 - Do not capture meaning
 - Starts with "m-", is it positive or negative?
 - Split into subwords/morphemes mis class if ied
 - Word starts with "mis-": it is probably negative
 - misclassify, mistake, misconception...
 - Helps, used in practice
 - Potentially infinite set covered by a finite set of subwords

- Split into characters MOOCK
 - Not that many (~10²)
 - Do not capture meaning
 - Starts with "m-", is it positive or negative?
 - Split into subwords/morphemes mis class if ied
 - Word starts with "mis-": it is probably negative
 - misclassify, mistake, misconception...
 - Helps, used in practice
 - Potentially infinite set covered by a finite set of subwords
 - Meaning-capturing subwords still too many (~10⁵)

Smelt (assume you don't know this word)

- Smelt (assume you don't know this word)
 - I had a **smelt** for lunch.

- *Smelt* (assume you don't know this word)
 - I had a **smelt** for lunch. \rightarrow noun, meal/food

- Smelt (assume you don't know this word)
 - I had a **smelt** for lunch. \rightarrow noun, meal/food
 - My father caught a **smelt**.

- *Smelt* (assume you don't know this word)
 - I had a **smelt** for lunch. \rightarrow noun, meal/food
 - My father caught a **smelt**. \rightarrow animal/illness

- *Smelt* (assume you don't know this word)
 - I had a **smelt** for lunch. \rightarrow noun, meal/food
 - My father caught a **smelt**. \rightarrow <u>animal</u>/illness

- *Smelt* (assume you don't know this word)
 - I had a **smelt** for lunch. \rightarrow noun, meal/food
 - My father caught a **smelt**. \rightarrow <u>animal</u>/illness
 - Smelts are disappearing from oceans.

- Smelt (assume you don't know this word)
 - I had a **smelt** for lunch. \rightarrow noun, meal/food
 - My father caught a **smelt**. \rightarrow <u>animal</u>/illness
 - Smelts are disappearing from oceans. \rightarrow plant/fish

- *Smelt* (assume you don't know this word)
 - I had a **smelt** for lunch. \rightarrow noun, meal/food
 - My father caught a **smelt**. \rightarrow <u>animal</u>/illness
 - Smelts are disappearing from oceans. \rightarrow plant/fish

- *Smelt* (assume you don't know this word)
 - I had a **smelt** for lunch. \rightarrow noun, meal/food
 - My father caught a **smelt**. \rightarrow <u>animal</u>/illness
 - Smelts are disappearing from oceans. \rightarrow plant/fish



- *Smelt* (assume you don't know this word)
 - I had a **smelt** for lunch. \rightarrow noun, meal/food
 - My father caught a **smelt**. \rightarrow <u>animal</u>/illness
 - Smelts are disappearing from oceans. \rightarrow plant/fish



- *Smelt* (assume you don't know this word)
 - I had a **smelt** for lunch. \rightarrow noun, meal/food
 - My father caught a **smelt**. \rightarrow <u>animal</u>/illness
 - Smelts are disappearing from oceans. \rightarrow plant/fish
- Harris (1954): "Words that occur in the same contexts tend to have similar meanings."

- Harris (1954): "Words that occur in the same contexts tend to have similar meanings."
- Cooccurrence matrix
 - # of sentences containing both WORD and CONTEXT

WORD	CONTEXT							
	lunch	caught	oceans	doctor	green			
smelt	10	10	10	1	1			
salmon	100	100	100	1	1			
flu	1	100	1	100	10			
seaweed	10	1	100	1	100			

- Harris (1954): "Words that occur in the same contexts tend to have similar meanings."
- Cooccurrence matrix
 - # of sentences containing both WORD and CONTEXT

WORD	CONTEXT							
	lunch	caught	oceans	doctor	green			
smelt	10	10	10	1	1			
salmon	100	100	100	1	1			
flu	1	100	1	100	10			
seaweed	10	1	100	1	100			

Cheap plentiful data (webs, news, books...): ~10⁹

- Harris (1954): "Words that occur in the same contexts tend to have similar meanings."
- Cooccurrence matrix
 - # of sentences containing both WORD and CONTEXT

WORD						
	lunch	caught	oceans	doctor	green	NxN,
smelt	10	10	10	1	1	N~10 ⁶
salmon	100	100	100	1	1	
flu	1	100	1	100	10	
seaweed	10	1	100	1	100	

Cheap plentiful data (webs, news, books...): ~10⁹
- Cooccurrence matrix
 - M_c[i, j] = count(word_i & context_i)
- Conditional probability matrix
 - M_P[i, j] = P(word_i | context_i) = M_C[i, j] / count(context_i)
- Conditional log-probability matrix
 - $M_{LogP}[i, j] = log P(word_i | context_j) = log M_P[i, j]$
- Pointwise mutual information matrix
 - M_{PMI}[i, j] = log [P(word_i | context_j) / P(word_i)]

Association

measures

- Cooccurrence matrix
 - M_c[i, j] = count(word_i & context_i)
- Conditional probability matrix
 - M_P[i, j] = P(word_i | context_i) = M_C[i, j] / count(context_i)
- Conditional log-probability matrix
 - $M_{LogP}[i, j] = log P(word_i | context_j) = log M_P[i, j]$
- Pointwise mutual information matrix
 - M_{PMI}[i, j] = log [P(word_i | context_j) / P(word_i)]
 - PMI(A, B) = log P(A & B) / P(A) P(B)

Rudolf Rosa – Deep Neural Networks in Natural Language Processing

Association

measures

- Word representation still impratically huge
 - $M_{PMI}[i] \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, N \sim 10^{6}$

- Word representation still impratically huge
 - $M_{PMI}[i] \in \mathbf{R}^N$, N~10⁶
- But better than 1-hot
 - Meaningful continuous vectors (e.g. cos similarity)

- Word representation still impratically huge
 - $M_{PMI}[i] \in \mathbf{R}^N$, N~10⁶
- But better than 1-hot
 - Meaningful continuous vectors (e.g. cos similarity)
- Just need to compress it!



- Word representation still impratically huge
 - $M_{_{\rm PMI}}[i] \in \mathbf{R}^{N}, N \sim 10^{6}$
- But better than 1-hot es
 - Meaningful continuous vectors (e.g. cos similarity)
- Just need to compress it!
 - Explicitly: matrix factorization
 - post-hoc, not used
 - Implicitly: word2vec
 - widely used





Levy&Goldberg (2014)

- Levy&Goldberg (2014)
- Take M_{LOGP} or M_{PMI}

- Levy&Goldberg (2014)
- Take M_{LOGP} or M_{PMI}
- Shift the matrix to make it positive (- min)

- Levy&Goldberg (2014)
- Take M_{LogP} or M_{PMI}
- Shift the matrix to make it positive (- min) $\begin{pmatrix} N \sim 10^6 \\ d \sim 10^2 \end{pmatrix}$
- Truncated Singular Value Decomposition:
 - $\overline{M} = UDV^{T}$ $M \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \rightarrow U \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$, $D \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$

- Levy&Goldberg (2014)
- Take M_{LOGP} or M_{PMI}
- Shift the matrix to make it positive (- min) $\begin{pmatrix} N \sim 10^6 \\ d \sim 10^2 \end{pmatrix}$
- Truncated Singular Value Decomposition:
 - $\overline{M} = UDV^{T}$ $M \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \rightarrow U \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$, $D \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$
- Word embedding matrix: $W = UD \in \mathbf{R}^{Nxd}$
 - Embedding vec(word_i) = W[i] $\in \mathbf{R}^{d}$

- Levy&Goldberg (2014)
- Take M_{LogP} or M_{PMI}
- Shift the matrix to make it positive (- min) $\begin{pmatrix} N \sim 10^6 \\ d \sim 10^2 \end{pmatrix}$
- Truncated Singular Value Decomposition:
 - $\overline{M} = UDV^{T}$ $M \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \rightarrow U \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}, D \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, V \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$
- Word embedding matrix: $W = UD \in \mathbf{R}^{Nxd}$
 - Embedding vec(word_i) = W[i] $\in \mathbf{R}^{d}$
 - Continuous low-dimensional vector

- Levy&Goldberg (2014)
- Take M_{LOGP} or M_{PMI}
- Shift the matrix to make it positive (- min) $\begin{pmatrix} N \sim 10^6 \\ d \sim 10^2 \end{pmatrix}$
- Truncated Singular Value Decomposition:
 - $\overline{M} = UDV^{T}$ $M \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \rightarrow U \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}, D \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, V \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$
- Word embedding matrix: $W = UD \in \mathbf{R}^{Nxd}$
 - Embedding vec(word_i) = W[i] $\in \mathbf{R}^{d}$
 - Continuous low-dimensional vector
 - Meaningful (cos similarity, algebraic operations)

Rudolf Rosa – Deep Neural Networks in Natural Language Processing



- Word similarity (cos)
 - vec(dog) ~ vec(puppy),

vec(cat) ~ vec(kitten)



- Word similarity (cos)
 - vec(dog) ~ vec(puppy), vec(cat) ~ vec(kitten)
- Word meaning algebra
 - Some relations parallel across words
 - vec(puppy) vec(dog) ~ vec(kitten) vec(cat)





- Word similarity (cos)
 - vec(dog) ~ vec(puppy), vec(cat) ~ vec(kitten)
- Word meaning algebra
 - Some relations parallel across words
 - vec(puppy) vec(dog) ~ vec(kitten) vec(cat)



=> vec(puppy) - vec(dog) + vec(cat) ~ vec(kitten)



- Word similarity (cos)
 - vec(dog) ~ vec(puppy), vec(cat) ~ vec(kitten)
- Word meaning algebra
 - Some relations parallel across words
 - vec(puppy) vec(dog) ~ vec(kitten) vec(cat)



- => vec(puppy) vec(dog) + vec(cat) ~ vec(kitten)
 - vodka Russia + Mexico, teacher school + hospital...





word2vec (Mikolov+, 2013)

Predict word w_i from its context (CBOW)

- E.g.: "I had _____ for lunch"
- Sentence: ... $W_{i-2} W_{i-1} W_{i} W_{i+1} W_{i+2} ...$



word2vec (Mikolov+, 2013)

Predict context from a word w_i (SGNS)

- E.g.: "_____ smelt _____"
- Sentence: ... $\mathbf{W}_{i-2} \mathbf{W}_{i-1} \mathbf{W}_{i} \mathbf{W}_{i+1} \mathbf{W}_{i+2} \dots$



Rudolf Rosa – Deep Neural Networks in Natural Language Processing

word2vec ~ implicit factorization



- Word embedding matrix $W \in \mathbf{R}^{Nxd}$
 - embedding(word_i) = W[i] $\in \mathbf{R}^{d}$
- Levy&Goldberg (2014)
 - word2vec SGNS implicitly factorizes M_{PMI}
 - M_{PMI}[i, j] = log [P(word_i | context_j) / P(word_i)]
 - SGNS: M_{PMI} = WV
 - $M_{\text{PMI}} \in \mathbf{R}^{\text{NxN}} \rightarrow W \in \mathbf{R}^{\text{Nxd}}, V \in \mathbf{R}^{\text{dxN}}$

Problem 2: Sentences

Variable-length input sequences with long-distance relations between elements (sentences)



Fixed-sized neural units (attention mechanisms)

Processing sentences

- Convolutional neural netowrks
- Recurrent neural networks
- Attention mechanism
- Self-attentive networks

- Input: sequence of word embeddings
- Filters (size 3-5), norm, maxpooling

- Input: sequence of word embeddings
- Filters (size 3-5), norm, maxpooling
- Training deep CNNs hard \rightarrow residual connections
 - Layer input averaged with output, skips non-linearity

- Input: sequence of word embeddings
- Filters (size 3-5), norm, maxpooling
- Training deep CNNs hard \rightarrow residual connections
 - Layer input averaged with output, skips non-linearity
- Problem: capturing long-range dependencies
 - Receptive field of each filter is limited
 - My <u>computer</u> works, but I have to buy a new <u>mouse</u>.

- Input: sequence of word embeddings
- Filters (size 3-5), norm, maxpooling
- Training deep CNNs hard \rightarrow residual connections
 - Layer input averaged with output, skips non-linearity
- Problem: capturing long-range dependencies
 - Receptive field of each filter is limited
 - My <u>computer</u> works, but I have to buy a new <u>mouse</u>.
- Good for word ngram spotting
 - Sentiment analysis, named entity detection...

- Input: sequence of word embeddings
- Output: final state of RNN



- Input: sequence of word embeddings
- Output: final state of RNN
- Problems



- Input: sequence of word embeddings
- Output: final state of RNN

- Problems
 - Vanishing gradient \rightarrow memory cells (LSTM, GRU)

- Input: sequence of word embeddings
- Output: final state of RNN
- Problems

- Vanishing gradient \rightarrow memory cells (LSTM, GRU)
- Long distance dependencies not perfectly captured

- Input: sequence of word embeddings
- Output: final state of RNN
- Problems
 - Vanishing gradient \rightarrow memory cells (LSTM, GRU)
 - Long distance dependencies not perfectly captured
 - Final state is biased ("forgetting")
 - ...sentence end better captured than sentence start

- Input: sequence of word embeddings
- Output: final state of RNN
- Problems



- Vanishing gradient \rightarrow memory cells (LSTM, GRU)
- Long distance dependencies not perfectly captured
- Final state is biased ("forgetting")
 - ...sentence end better captured than sentence start
 - Bidirectional RNN, output = concat of both final states
 - Still may not well capture the middle parts...

- Input: sequence of word embeddings
- Output: final state of RNN
- Problems



- Vanishing gradient \rightarrow memory cells (LSTM, GRU)
- Long distance dependencies not perfectly captured
- Final state is biased ("forgetting")
 - ...sentence end better captured than sentence start
 - Bidirectional RNN, output = concat of both final states
 - Still may not well capture the middle parts...
 - Using all hidden states as output, not just the final one
 - We loose the fixed-sized representation

Attention (on top of a RNN)





Rudolf Rosa – Deep Neural Networks in Natural Language Processing

107/116

Attention (on top of a RNN)



Classifier/decoder gets a fixed-size context vector

Weighted average of encoder hidden states
Attention (on top of a RNN)



Classifier/decoder gets a fixed-size context vector

- Weighted average of encoder hidden states
- Attention weights computed by a feed-forward subnet
 - weight_i ~ NN(state_i, state_{decoder})

Rudolf Rosa – Deep Neural Networks in Natural Language Processing

Advanced attention

Multi-head attention

- Multiple attention heads (~8), each has its own distro
- Resulting context vectors concatenated

Advanced attention

Multi-head attention

- Multiple attention heads (~8), each has its own distro
- Resulting context vectors concatenated
- Self-attentitive encoder (SAN, Transformer)
 - CNN/attention hybrid
 - CNN: cell gets small local context via filters
 - SAN: cell gets global context via attention heads





Conclusion

Conclusion

- - Too many, too sparse
 - Word meaning ~ context in which it appears
 - Cooccurrence matrix, implicit/explicit factorization

Conclusion

- Words
 → word embeddings
 - Too many, too sparse
 - Word meaning ~ context in which it appears
 - Cooccurrence matrix, implicit/explicit factorization
- Sentences \rightarrow attention
 - Variable length, complex internal structure
 - biRNN (LSTM, GRU), CNN+residuals
 - Attention: weighted sum of encoder hidden states
 - Self-attention: à la CNN, filters \rightarrow attention

References

- Word embeddings:
 - **Distributional hyp.:** Harris: *Distributional structure.* Word, 1954
 - First: Bengio+: A neural probabilistic language model. JMLR, 2003
 - Efficient implicit (word2vec): Mikolov+: Linguistic Regularities in Continuous Space Word Representations. NAACL, 2013
 - Explicit (TSVD): Levy&Goldberg: Neural Word Embedding as Implicit Matrix Factorization. NIPS, 2014
- Recurrent neural networks and attention:
 - **LSTM:** Hochreiter+: *Long short-term memory.* NeCo, 1997
 - Attention: Bahdanau+: Neural Machine Translation by Jointly Learning to Align and Translate. CoRR, 2014
 - Tranformer SAN: Vaswani+: Attention is all you need. NIPS, 2017

Thank you for your attention

Rudolf Rosa rosa@ufal.mff.cuni.cz

Deep Neural Networks in Natural Language Processing



Charles University Faculty of Mathematics and Physics Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics



http://ufal.mff.cuni.cz/rudolf-rosa/