Annotation on the tectogrammatical level in the Prague Dependency Treebank

 \equiv

Project Euromatrix - Deliverable 3.1

Reference Version - 19. 5. 2007

Marie Mikulová Allevtina Bémová Jan Hajič Eva Hajičová Jiří Havelka Veronika Kolářová Lucie Kučová Markéta Lopatková **Petr Pajas** Jarmila Panevová Magda Ševčíková Petr Sgall Jan Štěpánek Zdeňka Urešová Kateřina Veselá Zdeněk Žabokrtský

Editor: Marie Mikulová

Annotation on the tectogrammatical level in the Prague Dependency Treebank: Reference book

by Marie Mikulová, Allevtina Bémová, Jan Hajič, Eva Hajičová, Jiří Havelka, Veronika Kolářová, Lucie Kučová, Markéta Lopatková, Petr Pajas, Jarmila Panevová, Magda Ševčíková, Petr Sgall, Jan Štěpánek, Zdeňka Urešová, Kateřina Veselá, and Zdeněk Žabokrtský

Technical editor: Jiří Semecký

Translation: Kateřina Součková

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	vi
Introduction	. vii
1. Typographical conventions	. vii
1. Basic principles for representing sentences at the tectogrammatical level	1
2. Relation between the tectogrammatical level and the lower levels	4
3. Node types	5
4. Tectogrammatical lemma (t-lemma)	7
4.1. Basic form of the word	7
4.2. T-lemma of derived expressions	7
4.2.1. Grammatemes used for representing derivations	8
4.2.2. Derivation t-lemma + functor	9
4.2.3. Derivation t-lemma + grammateme	. 10
4.2.4. Derivation t-lemma + functor + grammateme	. 12
4.3. Multi-word t-lemma	. 16
4.4. Representative t-lemma	. 17
4.5. T-lemma substitute	. 18
5. Complex nodes and grammatemes	. 20
5.1. Semantic parts of speech	. 20
5.1.1. Semantic nouns	. 21
5.1.2. Semantic adjectives	. 24
5.1.3. Semantic adverbs	. 25
5.1.4. Semantic verbs	. 27
5.2. Grammatemes	. 27
5.3. The sentmod attribute	. 32
6. Sentence representation structure	. 34
6.1. Dependency	. 34
6.1.1. Dual dependency	. 34
6.1.1.1. Predicative complement	. 34
6.1.2. Non-dependency edges	. 37
6.1.3. Ambiguous dependency	. 40
6.2. Valency	. 44
6.2.1. Criteria for distinguishing different kinds of modifications	. 44
6.2.2. Argument shifting principle	. 45
6.2.3. Relations between verb meanings and valency frames	. 46
6.2.4. Valency frames and the way they are recorded in the valency lexicon	. 47
6.2.4.1. Surface form of a valency modification	. 4/
6.2.4.2. Valency frames of idiomatic expressions and complex predicates	. 49
6.2.4.3. Valency lexicon	. 50
6.2.5. Representing valency in the tectogrammatical trees	. 51
6.3. Clauses (governing, dependent, verbal, non-verbal)	. 51
6.5.1. Verbal and non-verbal clauses	. 31
6.2.1.2. Non worked elevered	. 31
6.2.2 Dependent and independent alouses (alouse connecting)	. 33
6.3.2. Dependent and independent clauses (clause connecting)	. 34
6.3.3.1 Dependent verbal clauses without a finite verb form	. 37
6.3.3.2. Supporting elements	. 57
6.3.3.2. Supporting clements	. 00
6.4. Paratavis	. 05
6.4.1 Coordination approxition mathematical operations and intervals	. 00
6.5 Parenthesis	. 70
6.6 Ellipsis	. 72
6.6.1 Ellipsis	. 75
6.6.1.1 Filinsis of a governing meaning unit	. 70 76
6.6.1.2 Ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit	. 70 . 20
6.6.1.3. Specific elliptical constructions	. 00
6.6.2 Newly established nodes in more complex syntactic structures	. 0 <i>5</i> 91
6.6.3 Nodes representing negation with verbs	92
6 6 4 Survey of newly established nodes	93
7. Specific phenomena	. 94

7.1. Multi-word lexical units	94
7.1.1. Multi-word predicates	95
7.1.1.1. Modal predicates	95
7.1.1.2. Phase predicates	99
7.1.1.3. Quasi-modal and quasi-phase predicates	99
7.1.1.4. Complex predicates	100
7.1.1.5. Verbonominal predicates (the copula <i>být</i>)	103
7.1.2. Idioms	104
7.2. Numbers and numerals	105
7.3. Identifying expressions	109
7.3.1. Identification structure	111
7.4. Foreign-language expressions	113
7.5. Direct speech	115
7.6. Text segments marked by graphic symbol	119
8. Functors and subfunctors	121
8.1. Functors for effective roots of independent clauses	121
8.2. Argument functors	121
8.3. Temporal functors	122
8.4. Locative and directional functors	124
8.5. Functors for causal relations	125
8.6. Functors for expressing manner and its specific variants	126
8.7. Functors for rhematizers, sentence, linking and modal adverbials	127
8.8. Functors for multi-word lexical units and foreign-language expressions	128
8.9. Functors for some specific (new) modifications	128
8.10. Specific adnominal functors	129
8.11. Functor for the predicative complement (COMPL)	130
8.12. Functors expressing the relations between members of paratactic structures	130
8.13. Further specification of the meaning of a functor	132
8.13.1. Subfunctors	132
8.13.2. Attribute for the meaning of "state"	138
9. Coreference	139
9.1. Representing coreference in the tectogrammatical trees	139
9.2. Grammatical coreference	140
9.2.1. Control	143
9.2.1.1. Types of control constructions	146
9.3. Textual coreference	150
10. Topic-focus articulation	152
10.1 Contextual boundness	152
10.2. Communicative dynamism	154
10.2.1 Basic rules for the nodes ordering in tectogrammatical trees	154
10.2.1.1 Paratactic structures and dependent clauses	157
10.2.2 Ordering of nodes in verb phrases	159
10.2.3. Ordering of nodes in yord phrases	160
10.2.4 Projectivity of tectogrammatical trees	161
10.3. Topic and focus	163
10.4 Rhematizers	163
A. Attributes of nodes in tectogrammatical trees	167
	167
A L Affributes of the technical root	167
A.1. Attributes of the technical root	-01
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1. a	167
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1. a A.2.1.1. a/lex.rf	167 167
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1. a A.2.1.1. a/lex.rf A.2.1.2. a/aux_rf	167 167 167
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1. a A.2.1.1. a/lex.rf A.2.1.2. a/aux.rf A.2.2. compl.rf	167 167 167 168
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1. a A.2.1.1. a/lex.rf A.2.1.2. a/aux.rf A.2.2. compl.rf A.2.2. compl.rf	167 167 167 168 168
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1. a A.2.1.1. a/lex.rf A.2.1.2. a/aux.rf A.2.2. compl.rf A.2.3. coref_gram.rf A.2.4 coref_special	167 167 167 168 168
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1.a	167 167 167 168 168 168
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1. a A.2.1.1. a/lex.rf A.2.1.2. a/aux.rf A.2.2. compl.rf A.2.3. coref_gram.rf A.2.4. coref_special A.2.5. coref_text.rf A.2.6 deepord	167 167 167 168 168 168 168 168
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1. a A.2.1.1. a/lex.rf A.2.1.2. a/aux.rf A.2.2. compl.rf A.2.3. coref_gram.rf A.2.4. coref_special A.2.5. coref_text.rf A.2.6. deepord A.27. functor	167 167 167 168 168 168 168 168
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1. a A.2.1.1. a/lex.rf A.2.1.2. a/aux.rf A.2.2. compl.rf A.2.3. coref_gram.rf A.2.4. coref_special A.2.5. coref_text.rf A.2.6. deepord A.2.7. functor	167 167 168 168 168 168 168 168 168
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1. a A.2.1.1. a/lex.rf A.2.1.2. a/aux.rf A.2.2. compl.rf A.2.3. coref_gram.rf A.2.4. coref_special A.2.5. coref_text.rf A.2.6. deepord A.2.7. functor A.2.8. gram	167 167 167 168 168 168 168 168 168 169 171
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1. a A.2.1.1. a/lex.rf A.2.1.2. a/aux.rf A.2.2. compl.rf A.2.3. coref_gram.rf A.2.4. coref_special A.2.5. coref_text.rf A.2.6. deepord A.2.7. functor A.2.8. gram A.2.8.1. gram/aspect A.2.8.2 gram/de root	167 167 167 168 168 168 168 168 168 169 171 171
A.1. Attributes of the technical root A.2. Attributes of the other nodes A.2.1.a	167 167 168 168 168 168 168 168 169 171 171 171

2
12
13
13
13
13
/4
/4
/4
74
15
15
15
16
16
16
16
16
17
17
17
17
17
78
18
78
19
30
30
31
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

# Acknowledgements

This document was created with the financial support of the grant of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sport MSM0021620838, LC 536, 1ET100300517, 1ET101120503, 1ET201120505, the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic: GA201/05/H014, GA405/05/0913 and the Grant Agency of the Charles University Prague UK352/2005, UK350/2005, UK375/2005; and of projects: EU FP6 Companions IST-5-034434-IP and Euromatrix IST-5-034291-STP.

# Introduction

This manual presents a short description of sentence representation at the tectogrammatical level in the Prague Dependecy Treebank (PDT). It is aimed at those PDT users that look for a quick introduction into the used representation.

This reference book is a shortened version of an extensive manual called *Annotation on the tectogrammatical level in the Prague Dependency Treebank. Annotation manual.* (see Prague Dependency Treebank 2.0, CDROM, doc/manuals/en/t-layer/), which contains the complete and detailed description of sentence representation at the tectogrammatical level (it is necessary to consult the "big" manual for exact, detailed and complete information).

The chapters are organized in a way parallel to the way of sentence representation on the tectogrammatical level. The basic principles of the sentence representation are described in Chapter 1, *Basic principles for representing sentences at the tectogrammatical level*, this chapter also includes an explanation of some important notions used further in the manual. In Chapter 2, *Relation between the tectogrammatical level and the lower levels*, the relations between the tectogrammatical nodes and units of the lower levels are described. The following chapter, Chapter 3, *Node types*, divides the tectogrammatical nodes into different types. The next two chapters, Chapter 4, *Tectogrammatical lemma (t-lemma)* and Chapter 5, *Complex nodes and grammatemes*, are devoted to the attributes specifying the lexical unit (represented by a node). A description of the sentence structure follows (Chapter 6, *Sentence representation structure*). Chapter 7, *Specific phenomena* contains a description of certain specific phenomena. A separate chapter is devoted to the functors and sub-functors (Chapter 8, *Functors and subfunctors*). Coreference (Chapter 9, *Coreference*) and topic-focus articulation (Chapter 10, *Topic-focus articulation*) are each assigned one chapter. In the appendix (Appendix A, *Attributes of nodes in tectogrammatical trees*), there is a summary of all attributes.

# 1. Typographical conventions

**Examples**. The manual contains a number of examples illustrating the phenomena in question. The examples have a fixed form. They do not illustrate the structure; they only present the values of the attributes of individual words present or absent in the surface structure of the example sentence.

Example sentences are made-up and usually presented without context. The given annotation corresponds to the most common context in which the sentence can be used.

**NB!** Example sentences necessarily contain only the part that is to be illustrated (i.e. elided expressions do not have to be made visible if they are not the subject of the illustration).

Items represented by a single node in the sentence are <u>underscored</u>. The value of the relevant attribute is given in square brackets in the following form: the name of the attribute = the value of the attribute (if there are more possible values, they are all in the brackets, separated by a semicolon). If the example sentence is supposed to illustrate the values of just one node, the values are presented in square brackets after the example sentence. If there are more nodes whose values are to be illustrated, the values follow (in square brackets) immediately after the last underscored word represented by the given node. An exception to this are the functor values. If the functor values of individual nodes are to be illustrated, they always immediately follow the given word. Functors are not given in square brackets; they are separated from the word by a period. Examples:

Upadl <u>do nesnází</u>.DIR3 na dlouhou dobu. [is_state=1] (=He got into difficulties for a long time.)

*Špičková <u>cena</u>.*DENOM[is_member=1] *a*.CONJ *špičkový <u>výkon</u>.*DENOM[is_member=1] (=lit. Top price and top performance.)

Words not expressed at the surface level (represented by newly established nodes) are given in curly brackets. The curly brackets always contain the t-lemma of the newly established node, which may but need not be followed by the values of selected attributes. For example:

{#PersPron.ACT} *Přijde. (=lit. (He) will_come.)* 

{#PersPron.ACT [tfa=t]} Přijde. (=lit. (He) will_come.)

If it is necessary to stress that certain words are not represented by a separate node in the tectogrammatical tree, they are given in angle brackets < >. For example:

*Přijde jen*.RHEM <<u><tehdy></u>, <<u>když></u> mu ustoupíš.TWHEN (=He comes only in case you give in to him.)

**Example tectogrammatical trees**. For a number of example sentences, the corresponding example trees are included as well. Every example tree is equal to a complete analysis of the given sentence.

Tectogrammatical trees in PDT 2.0 make use of two different styles of representation (see Prague Dependency Treebank 2.0, CDROM, doc/tools/tred/PML_mak.html). Example trees in the manual make use of the following (representation) settings (PML_T_Full template).

**Nodes**. The attribute values (below the node) are displayed in the order shown in Fig. 1 (the presented combination can never be found, of course).

Figure 1. Node

ten.enunc předloha f_PAR.abstr.state_M_P n.pron.def.demon .. fem.pl dsp_root.person_name.quot/type:dsp

Representation of the attribute values: t_lemma.sentmod t-lemma of the coreferred element tfa_FUNCTOR.subfunctor.state_M_P nodetype or gram/sempos gram dsp root.person name.quot/type

The attribute values are usually presented directly, without giving the name of the attribute first. Names of the attributes are only provided if the values are not unambiguous. The value of the attribute quot/type is always in the form: name of the attribute:its value. As for complex nodes (nodetype=complex), the value of the nodetype attribute is not specified; the value of the gram/sempos attribute is given directly instead.

The notation state is included in the list of the attribute values if the value of the is state attribute is 1.

The notation _M is included if the value of the is member attribute is 1.

The notation _P is included if the value of the is parenthesis attribute is 1.

The notation person name is included if the value of the is person name attribute is 1.

The notation dsp_root is included if the value of the is dsp root attribute is 1.

Nodes representing words present at the surface level are represented as little circles; newly established nodes (is_generated=1) are represented as little squares. (Certain information is carried by the color of the nodes as well: yellow means the node has the f value in the tfa attribute, green means c in the tfa attribute, white means t in the tfa attribute. Nodes with no value assigned in the tfa attribute are grey.)

**Edges**. Edges are the connecting lines between nodes. The edge between the technical root node of the tectogrammatical tree and the root node of the represented sentence and the edges between nodes with the PAR, PARTL, VOCAT, RHEM, CM, FPHR and PREC functors and their mother nodes (i.e. edges not representing dependencies; see Section 6.1.2, "Non-dependency edges") are represented as thin interrupted lines.

The upper half of the edge between a paratactic structure root node and a terminal member of the paratactic structure is represented as a thin (grey) line; the lower half is represented as a thick (grey) line. The upper half of the edge between a paratactic structure root node (that is not a member of another paratactic structure) and its mother node is represented as a thick (grey) line; the lower half is represented as a thin (grey) line. The edge between a paratactic structure root node and the root of a shared modifier is represented as a thin (blue) line. The edge between a paratactic structure root node and a direct member of this structure that is a paratactic structure root node itself (in case of embedded paratactic structures) is represented as a thin (grey) line. (For more on paratactic structures, see Section 6.4, "Parataxis".)

**References**. Attributes of the type reference, marking especially co-referential relations (cf. Chapter 9, *Coreference*), are represented as arrows going from one node to another. Grammatical coreference (the coref_gram.rf attribute) is represented by an (orange) interrupted arrow pointing to the co-referred node (starting at the co-referring node). Textual coreference (the coref text.rf attribute) is represented by a (blue) dotted arrow pointing to

the co-referred node (starting at the co-referring node). If the co-referred node is not in the same tree as the co-referring node, the arrow is short and points either to the left or to the right of the node, depending on whether the co-referred node is in the preceding or following tree; next to the co-referring node, the t-lemma of the co-referred node is specified. (**NB!** Textual coreference relations crossing the boundaries of a single tectogrammatical tree are not represented in the example trees at all.) Reference to a segment (coref_special=segm) is represented as a short (red) arrow pointing to the left of the node. Exophoric reference (coref_special=exoph) is represented as a short (blue) arrow pointing upwards.

The second dependency with predicative complements (cf. Section 6.1.1.1, "Predicative complement") is represented by a (green) semi-dotted arrow going from the node with the COMPL functor to the node representing the governing noun.

An example tree with different types of edges and reference (the illustrated sentence is artificial: *O jejich zařazení a umístění se rozhodly sněmovny hlasovat samy*.) is to be found in Fig. 2.





**NB!** In example tectogrammatical trees (just like in the PDT trees; see Section 6.2.5, "Representing valency in the tectogrammatical trees") the valency of nouns is not represented properly - with the exception of verbal nouns!

# Chapter 1. Basic principles for representing sentences at the tectogrammatical level

Natural language is an extraordinarily complex system; therefore, it is useful to decompose its description into several levels. Preceding (lower) levels of PDT were concerned with the morphological annotation (i.e. lemmas, tags, values of morphological categories; where the words are arranged in a linear way, without any structure) and the analytical (surface structure) annotation (dependency structure, analytical functions).

The tectogrammatical structure serves for representing the meaning structure of the sentence. This level is governed by the following principles:

- the basic unit of annotation at the tectogrammatical level is a sentence as a basic means of conveying meaning.
- for every well-formed (Czech) sentence, it is possible to provide its tectogrammatical representation: a tectogrammatical tree structure (tectogrammatical tree in sequel).
- in case of ambiguity, it is in theory possible to assign more tectogrammatical trees to one sentence. However, in PDT only one tree is assigned to every sentence, which corresponds to the reading assigned by the annotator.
- in case of synonymy, on the other hand, different sentences can be assigned a single tectogrammatical tree (however, it has to be a case of strict synonymy, i.e. the truth conditions have to be absolutely identical).

Tectogrammatical trees have these basic properties:

- a. **Tectogrammatical trees** are data structures the basis of which is formed by a rooted tree (in the sense of the theory of graphs): it consists of a set of nodes and a set of edges and one of the nodes is marked as the root of the tree.
- b. Nodes of the tectogrammatical tree represent the meaning units of the sentence.

These meaning units usually correspond to the expressions present at the surface in a one to one relation but one meaning unit can also correspond to more surface expressions (this is a case of e.g. prepositional phrases, which are represented by a single node). The meaning unit can also have no counterpart in the surface structure. Then, it is represented by an "artificial", newly established node (such added nodes are used e.g. for representing omitted obligatory valency modifications). Function words (like prepositions, subordinating conjunctions, auxiliary verbs, supporting expressions) are usually not assigned separate nodes in the tree.

The number of the nodes in the analytical tree generally does not correspond to the number of the nodes in the tectogrammatical tree. For more on the relations between the tectogrammatical and analytical (surface structure) levels, see Chapter 2, *Relation between the tectogrammatical level and the lower levels* 

Cf. Fig. 1.1: Examples of nodes representing expressions present in the surface structure of the sentence are: starý (=old), sultán (=sultan), nový (=new), sultán (=sultan), vystřídali se (= $changed \ places$ ). The prepositional phrase  $na \ trůnu$  (= $on \ the \ throne$ ) is represented by a single node (the preposition na is not assigned a separate node). In order to represent the coordination  $starý \ sultán \ a \ nový \ sultán$  (= $the \ old \ and \ new \ sultan$ ), the conjunction  $a \ (=and)$  is assigned a separate node. An example of a newly established node is the node representing the Patient (functor=PAT) of the verb  $vystřidat \ se \ (=exchange, \ replace)$  (the newly established node is displayed as a little square).

c. Attribute values Each node is itself a complex unit with inner structure. It is possible to conceive of it as a set of attributes, more precisely as a set of ordered attribute - value pairs. Whether a given attribute is or is not present in a given node follows from the nodetype (see Chapter 3, *Node types*).

Node attributes can be divided into several groups. The basic attributes of a tectogrammatical tree node are the tectogrammatical lemma, grammatemes and functor. The tectogrammatical lemma expresses the lexical meaning of the node (see Chapter 4, *Tectogrammatical lemma (t-lemma)*). The grammatemes correspond mainly to (the meanings of) the morphological categories (see Chapter 5, *Complex nodes and grammatemes*). The functors capture the kind of syntactic dependency between autosemantic expressions, i.e. they correspond to syntactic functions (see Chapter 8, *Functors and subfunctors*). There are also attributes providing the information regarding the coreference (see Chapter 9, *Coreference*), topic - focus articulation and deep word order (see Chapter 10, *Topic-focus articulation*) of the sentence. The remaining attributes concern special properties of the structure and certain syntactic and semantic properties impossible to capture in any other way.

Attribute values are mostly sequences of symbols; the set of the sequences for a given attribute is usually fixed. A special kind of attributes are attributes for representing relations (most often coreferential) between nodes (meaning units), which go "across" the tree or even cross the tree boundaries.

Cf. Fig. 1.1: In the example tree, there is one attribute of the type reference, representing reciprocity (i.e. a grammatical coreference relation) between the Patient and Actor of the predicate *vystřídat se*. It is depicted as a curved (red) interrupted arrow.

For the list of all attributes, see Appendix A, Attributes of nodes in tectogrammatical trees.

d. **Edges** of the tectogrammatical tree primarily capture the dependency relations between the nodes (more precisely between autosemantic expressions). Not every edge, though, represents a linguistic dependency (see Section 6.1.2, "Non-dependency edges"). Edges have no attributes of their own; attributes that actually belong to edges (e.g. the type of dependency) are presented as attributes of the corresponding nodes.

Cf. Fig. 1.1: Edges are represented as straight connecting lines between nodes. Edges representing dependency are marked by a thick grey line.

e. tectogrammatical tree nodes are **in a linear order**; this linear order represents the deep word order of a sentence (see Chapter 10, *Topic-focus articulation*).

Also the following terms are used when talking about tectogrammatical trees (here explained only informally):

**Technical root node of a tectogrammatical tree**. The root node of a sentence is a node with no linguistic interpretation; it only serves technical purposes (it bears the sentence indentifier; for the list of all attributes assigned to the root, see Section A.1, "Attributes of the technical root"). It has always exactly one daughter node. The root of a tree is called **technical root node of a tectogrammatical tree**. When talking about tectogrammatical tree nodes (further in the text), the technical root node is not considered (if not stated otherwise).

Cf. Fig. 1.1: The technical root of a tectogrammatical tree is the highest node in the tree; its only immediate daughter is connected to it by a thin dotted edge; the nodetype attribute is assigned the value root.

**Mother node**. Node X is the mother of node Y, if there is an edge between X and Y and if X is closer to the technical root node of the tree (i.e. if it is higher in the tree).

Cf. Fig. 1.1: The mother of the node representing the expression (starý) sultán is the node for the conjunction a.

Immediate (direct) daughter node. Node X is an immediate daughter of node Y if Y is the mother of X.

Since tectogrammatical trees make use of linear ordering, there are right and left daughter nodes. **Right (left) immediate daughter** of node M is such an immediate daughter that occurs to the right (left) of node M.

Cf. Fig. 1.1: Immediate daughter nodes of the node representing the verb *vystřídat se* are these three nodes: the node for the conjunction *a*, the newly established node for the Patient and the node for the prepositional phrase *na trůnu*. All immediate daughter nodes of the verb *vystřídat se* are its left daughters.

**Governing/dependent node**. If nodes X and Y (or: the expressions represented by them) are in a dependency relation we say that X is the governing (or dependent) node of node Y. The governing node does not have to be the mother node of the dependent node (there can even be more governing nodes for a single node) and the dependent node does not have to be an immediate daughter of its governing node (see also Section 6.1, "Dependency"). (In the technical documentation for PDT, the terms "effective mother node" and "effective daughter node" are used for this type of relation).

Cf. Fig. 1.1: The governing node of the node for *starý* is the node for *sultán* (which is its mother node at the same time). The governing node of the node for *sultán* is the node representing the verb *vystřídat se* (which is not its mother node).

Sister node. Node X is a sister of node Y if they have the same mother.

Since tectogrammatical trees make use of linear ordering, there are right and left sister nodes. **Right (left) sister node** of node M is such a sister that occurs to the right (left) of node M.

Cf. Fig. 1.1: The sister nodes of the node for *a* are the newly established node for the Patient of *vystřídat se* and the node representing the prepositional phrase *na trůnu*. All the sisters of the node representing the conjunction *a* are its right sisters.

**Path from node M**. For purposes of topic - focus articulation annotation, we also define the term right (left) path from node M and the rightmost (leftmost) path from node M.

**Right (left) path from node M** is such a path in the tree that starts at node M, goes downwards (towards the leaves) and ends in a node that has no right (left) immediate daughters. Node M is not part of the path.

The rightmost (leftmost) path from node M is such a right (left) path in the tree for which it holds that no node on the path has a right (left) sister.

Cf. Fig. 1.1: There is no right path going from the node for *vystřídat se*. As for the leftmost path from the node representing *vystřídat se*, it consists of the nodes for *a*, *sultán* and *starý*.

**Subtree of a tectogrammatical tree** is a continuous subgraph of a tectogrammatical tree (a subset of its nodes and edges with a marked root node).

Root of a subtree is the node of the subtree whose mother node (if existent) is not part of the subtree.

Expression. A linguistically relevant part of a sentence is called expression.

Root of an expression is short for the root of the subtree representing a given expression.

**Root of a sentence** is the root of the subtree corresponding to the whole sentence; i.e. it is the (only) immediate daughter of the technical root node of the tectogrammatical tree.

**Effective root of an expression** is the node that either has no governing node in the given tectogrammatical tree or whose governing node is not part of the subtree representing the expression. The effective root of an expression can be identical to the root of the expression; however, sometimes it is not, e.g. in the case of paratactic structures: the root node (there is only one root) is not identical to the effective root nodes (which are usually more than one).

Cf. Fig. 1.1: The root of the example sentence is the node for *vystřídat se*. This node is also the effective root of the sentence. The coordination *starý sultán a nový sultán* is represented by a subtree of the tectogrammatical tree; the root of the subtree (the root of the coordination) is the node representing the conjunction *a*, the effective root nodes are the two nodes representing the two occurrences of the noun *sultán*.

#### Figure 1.1. Tectogrammatical tree

Starý sultán a nový sultán se vystřídali na trůnu. (=lit. Old sultan and new sultan REFL changed on throne)



# Chapter 2. Relation between the tectogrammatical level and the lower levels

The relation between the nodes of the tectogrammatical and analytical levels (which is generally of the type M:N, the options 0:N and M:0 included) is captured by the atree.rf attribute of the technical root of the tectogrammatical tree and by the a attribute (whose value is a structure of a the lex.rf and a/aux.rf attributes) with other nodes.

**The atree.rf attribute**. The atree.rf attribute (see Section A.1, "Attributes of the technical root") refers trivially to the technical root of the analytical tree corresponding to the tectogrammatical tree in question. It contains the identifier of the technical root node of the corresponding analytical tree.

The a/lex.rf and a/aux.rf attributes. The a/lex.rf attribute (see Section A.2.1.1, "a/lex.rf") contains the identifier of the node at the analytical level from which the tectogrammatical node got its lexical meaning (or its biggest part). The a/aux.rf attribute (see Section A.2.1.2, "a/aux.rf") contains the list of the identifiers of all analytical nodes that influence in some way or other the value of the functor, subfunctor or grammatemes of the tectogrammatical node (these are mostly analytical nodes representing so called function words like prepositions, conjunctions, auxiliaries and supporting expressions).

The values of the attributes a/lex.rf and a/aux.rf conform to the following rules:

- a. If the tectogrammatical node has no analytical counterpart, both attributes are empty.
- b. If a tectogrammatical node (other than a newly established one with one of the t-lemmas #Forn, #Idph, #EmpVerb or #EmpNoun) corresponds to exactly one analytical node, than the a/lex.rf attribute contains the reference to the (analytical) node and the a/aux.rf is empty.
- c. If a tectogrammatical node (other than a newly established one with one of the t-lemmas: #Forn, #Idph, #EmpVerb or #EmpNoun) corresponds to more analytical nodes, then the a/lex.rf attribute contains the reference to the node from which the tectogrammatical node got its lexical meaning (or its biggest part) and the a/aux.rf attribute contains the list of references to the other analytical nodes, which mostly represent function words (prepositions, subordinating conjunctions, auxiliaries etc.).
- d. With newly established nodes with the t-lemma #EmpVerb or #EmpNoun, the a/lex.rf attribute is always empty since the full verb they represent was not expressed at the surface level. If no function word was expressed at the surface level either, the a/aux.rf attribute is also empty; otherwise it contains the list of references to the relevant function words (e.g. auxiliaries that are part of a complex verb form where the full verb is not expressed).
- e. With newly established nodes with the t-lemmas #Idph and #Forn, which serve for putting parts of identifying and foreign-language expressions together, into a single list (nodetype=list), the a/lex.rf attribute is always empty. If a foreign-language or identifying expression is syntactically combined with one or more function words at the analytical level, then the references to these function words are contained in the a/aux.rf attribute.

**Copied nodes.** The b) and c) groups include also copied nodes (see Section 6.6, "Ellipsis"). The a/lex.rf and a/aux.rf attributes of the copied nodes contain the identifiers of the analytical nodes for the words present at the surface level that are relevant for the copied node, i.e. that influence its t-lemma, functor and other attributes.

**NB!** A unit of a lower level does not have to have a counterpart at the tectogrammatical level. Thus, various graphic symbols are ignored as well as the reflexive *se* when part of a reflexive passive and constructions with dispositional modality.

# **Chapter 3. Node types**

Tectogrammatical tree nodes are divided into eight groups; these are called **node types**. The node types are defined either on the basis of the t-lemma of the node, or on the basis of its functor, or both. For every node type, essentially the same rules regarding the node's immediate daughters apply.

The node type information is encoded in the value of the nodetype attribute (see Section A.2.16, "nodetype"). The nodetype attribute has eight possible values and applies to every node in a tectogrammatical tree.

For a survey of the node types and their definitions, see Table 3.1, "Node types".

Table 3.1. Node types

Node type	nodetype	Definition of the node	Properties of the immediate daughter nodes
Technical root node of a tectogrammatical tree	root	Artificial node with special attribute values; it includes the identifier of the sen- tence in the treebank.	Always exactly one immediate daughter, which is either a paratactic structure root node or the effective root node of an inde- pendent clause.
Atomic node	atom	Node with the functor:	As a rule, it has no immediate daughters.

1			
		ATT	
		СМ	
		INTF	
		MOD	
		PARTL	
		PREC	
		RHEM	
	1	1	

Paratactic structure root	coap	Node with the functor:	An immediate daughter can be:
node		ADVS	- terminal member of a paratactic structure
		APPS	- shared modifier
		CONJ	rhamatizer of a shared modifier (function
		CONFR	= RHEM)
		CSO	an invation modifier (for a + a = CM)
		DISJ	- conjunction modifier (Tunctor – CM)
		GRAD	- root node of a(n embedded) paratactic
		OPER	structure (nodetype = coap)
		REAS	

List structure root node	list	Node with the t-lemma:	An immediate daughter can be:
		#Idph #Forn	<ul> <li>item of the list</li> <li>modifier of the list</li> </ul>
			The items of a list under the node with the #Idph t-lemma form a tree structure. The items of a list under the node with the #Forn t-lemma are sisters with respect to each other and their functor is FPHR.

Node representing a for-	fphr	Node with the functor:	It has no immediate daughters.
eign-language expression		FPHR	
		-	
Node representing the	dphr	Node with the functor:	As a rule, it has no immediate daughters.
dependent part of an idiom		DPHR	

Quasi-complex node	qcomplex	Node with the t-lemma:	Any node except for the types root and
		#Amp	fphr.
		#Ast	Quasi-complex nodes are a special type of
		#AsMuch	nodes that occupy the same positions (having
		#Cor	the same functor) as complex nodes but they
		#EmpVerb	have no grammatemes.
		#Equal	
		#Gen	
		#Oblfm	
		#Percnt	
		#QCor	
		#Rcp	
		#Some	
		#Total	
		#Unsp	
		Nodes with the t-lemma (if	
		not of the type coap):	
		#Bracket	
		#Comma	
		#Colon	
		#Dash	
		#Period	
		#Period3	
		#Slash	
Complex node	Icomplex	All other nodes	Any node except for the types $r \circ \circ t$ and

Complex node	complex	All other nodes	Any node except for the types root and
			fphr.
			(Only) complex nodes have grammatemes.

# Chapter 4. Tectogrammatical lemma (t-lemma)

**Tectogrammatical lemma**, **t-lemma** in the sequel, is one of the attributes of a tectogrammatical node (the t_lemma attribute); it represents the lexical content of the node.

The value of the t_lemma attribute can be:

a. the basic (default) form of the word from which the node got its lexical meaning (see Section 4.1, "Basic form of the word").

In case of synonymy, the t-lemma is the basic form of one of the variants (the so called representative t-lemma; see Section 4.4, "Representative t-lemma"),

- b. the basic form of the word from which the word represented by the node was derived (see Section 4.2, "Tlemma of derived expressions"),
- c. a so called multi-word t-lemma, consisting of more words (see Section 4.3, "Multi-word t-lemma"),
- d. an "artificial" value, a so called t-lemma substitute (see Section 4.5, "T-lemma substitute").

# 4.1. Basic form of the word

With nodes representing words present at the surface level and with so called copied nodes (see Section 6.6, "Ellipsis"), the t-lemma usually corresponds to the basic (default) form of the word from which the node got its lexical meaning (i.e. to the basic form of the word at the analytical level the information about which is in the a/lex.rf attribute of the tectogrammatical node; see Chapter 2, *Relation between the tectogrammatical level and the lower levels*).

The basic word form is its representative form; i.e. the nominative sg. with nominals, infinitive with verbs, positive with gradable adjectives, positive forms with words that can be negated (as for nouns, only those ending with *-ni*, *-ti* and *-ost* are represented by their non-negated forms); long forms for short forms with adjectives). Morphological meanings are captured by grammatemes (see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes").

Exceptions. Exceptions to this rule:

a. **Personal and reflexive pronouns and their possessive counterparts** are represented by a node with the tlemma substitute #PersPron (see also Section 4.5, "T-lemma substitute"). For example:

Bratr prohlásil: Já tam nejdu. [t lemma = #PersPron] (=My brother said: I'm not going there.)

Pro ni udělám všechno. [t_lemma = #PersPron] (=For her I'll do anything.)

<u>Tvůj</u> názor nesdílím. [t lemma = #PersPron] (=I don't share your view.)

<u>Své</u> názory ti nesdělím. [t lemma = #PersPron] (=I'm not telling you my opinion.)

b. **Frozen finite verb forms, frozen transgressives (gerunds) and infinitives** (with adverbial functions; see Section 6.3.3.1, "Dependent verbal clauses without a finite verb form") are represented by a node whose t-lemma is identical to the surface form of the expression. For example:

Dnes je, <u>myslím</u>, středa. [t lemma = myslím] (=It's Wednesday, I think.)

<u>Soudě</u> podle ministra zahraničí, bude to problém. [t_lemma = soudě] (=Judging with the Minister, it is going to be a problem.)

c. **Foreign-language words** (with the FPHR functor; see Section 7.4, "Foreign-language expressions") are also represented by a node with the t-lemma corresponding to the actual word form.

# 4.2. T-lemma of derived expressions

The main source of differences between the basic word form and the actual t-lemma is the tendency to represent certain kinds of derivation. Then, the t-lemma of the derived expression is the basic form of the base word (the

reference to the word in the analytical tree from which the tectogrammatical node got its lexical meaning is in the a/lex.rf attribute; see Chapter 2, *Relation between the tectogrammatical level and the lower levels*).

Word-formation (derivational) relations are represented in PDT 2.0 with deadjectival adverbs, possessive adjectives, pronouns, numerals and pronominal adverbs. The surface forms of these words are derived from the deep-level representation with the help of a set of features characterizing their semantic and syntactic properties (these are the t-lemma, functor and grammatemes). There are the following types of representing derivational relations, depending on the way the basic word form of the derivative is determined:

#### A. t-lemma + functor.

The basic word form of the derivative is inferred from the combination of the t-lemma and the functor assigned to it. For more on type A derivation, see Section 4.2.2, "Derivation t-lemma + functor".

#### B. t-lemma + grammateme(s).

The basic form of the derivative follows from the combination of the t-lemma and the assigned grammateme(s) (see Section 4.2.1, "Grammatemes used for representing derivations"). For more on type B derivation, see Section 4.2.3, "Derivation t-lemma + grammateme".

#### C. t-lemma + functor + grammateme(s).

The basic form of the derivative follows from the combination of the t-lemma and the assigned functor and grammateme(s) (see Section 4.2.1, "Grammatemes used for representing derivations"). For more on type C derivation, see Section 4.2.4, "Derivation t-lemma + functor + grammateme".

## 4.2.1. Grammatemes used for representing derivations

For representing derivations of type B (t-lemma + grammateme) and C (t-lemma + functor +grammateme), the grammatemes numertype and indeftype are used. The values of these grammatemes reflect the derivational relations at the tectogrammatical level, which makes them different from other grammatemes, which are usually tectogrammatical counterparts of morphological categories.

The indeftype grammateme. The value of the indeftype grammateme (see Section A.2.8.6, "gram/in-deftype") captures the semantic feature in which the indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronouns (and pronominal adverbs) differ from their corresponding relative pronouns, by the t-lemma of which they are represented. We differ between:

a. **relatives and interrogatives**. Relatives are those pronouns that are in a grammatical coreference relation with another item in the sentence structure (see Section 9.2, "Grammatical coreference"); they usually occur in dependent relative clauses. Interrogatives are those pronouns that do not corefer with anything; they are used in questions and content clauses. Relatives have the indeftype grammateme specified as relat, interrogatives have the indeftype grammateme filled with the value inter. For example:

*Muž*, <u>kterého</u> jsme dnes potkali, byl můj bratr: [t_lemma = který; indeftype = relat] (=The man (lit. which) we met today was my brother.) ( který (=which) corefers with muž (=man))

*Řekněte*, <u>který</u> dům jste si koupili? [t_lemma = který; indeftype = inter] (=Tell me, which house did you buy?)

b. **totalizers**. Totalizers are assigned one of the two values: total1 or total2. The value total1 is assigned to a node for a totalizer referring to a whole (of something); the value total2 is assigned to a totalizer referring individually to every single item (in the set). For example:

To je <u>všechno</u>. [t_lemma = co; indeftype = total1] (=That's all.)

každý člověk [t_lemma = který; indeftype = total2] (=every person)

c. negatives. Negatives are represented by the value negat. For example:

<u>Nikdy</u> už to neudělám. [t_lemma = kdy; indeftype = negat] (=I'll never do it again.)

d. **indefinites**There are several types of indefinites in Czech. The individual types differ from each other by the derivational means they make use of, which also entail subtle meaning differences (see Table 4.1, "**Deriva-tional types of indefinites**"). The individual derivational types of indefinites are assigned one of the values: indef1 through indef6.

indeftype	Derivational means	Example
indef1	ně-	<u>Někdo</u> přišel. [t_lemma = kdo; indeftype = in- def1] (=Somebody has come.)
indef2	- <i>si</i> , - <i>s</i>	<pre><u>čísi</u> chlapec [t_lemma = kdo; indeftype = in- def2] (=somebody's boy)</pre>
indef3	-koli; -koliv	<i>jakýkoliv úkol</i> [t_lemma = <i>jaký</i> ; indeftype = indef3] (=any boy)
indef4	leda-; lec-; ledas-	<i>Může to být <u>ledaskde</u>.</i> [t_lemma = kde; indeftype = indef4] (=It can be at various places.)
indef5	kde-	<pre>Mysli si to kdekdo. [t_lemma = kdo; indeftype = indef5] (=Many people think about it this way.)</pre>
indef6	málo-; sotva-; zřídka-; všeli-; nevím-; kdoví-; bůhví-; čertví-	<u>Bůhvíkterý</u> den to bylo. [t_lemma = který; indef- type = indef6] (=lit. God_knows_which day it was.)

Table 4.1. Derivational types of indefinites

**The grammateme numertype**. The value of the numertype grammateme (Section A.2.8.10, "gram/numer-type") expresses the semantic feature in which the given numeral is distinct from the corresponding cardinal numeral (by the t-lemma of which it is represented at the tectogrammatical level).

The values of the numertype grammateme are basic for cardinal numerals frac for fractions, kind for sort numerals, ord for ordinal numerals and set for set numerals. For example:

*Přišli jen <u>tři</u>.* [t lemma = *tři*; numertype = basic] (=Only three of them came.)

Zdědil <u>polovinu</u> domu. [t lemma = dva; numertype = frac] (=He inherited one half of the house.)

*Má <u>dvojí</u> občanství*. [t lemma = *dva*; numertype = kind] (=*He has two citizenships*.)

Umístil se na třetím místě. [t_lemma = tři; numertype = ord] (=He came in third.)

Ztratil už troje klíče. [t_lemma = tři; numertype = set] (=He has already lost three sets of keys.)

#### 4.2.2. Derivation t-lemma + functor

The following derivatives are represented with the help of the t-lemma and functor:

a. **possessive adjectives**. Possessive adjectives (sempos = n.denot) are thought of as derivatives of their corresponding nouns. They are represented by a node whose t-lemma is the corresponding noun in the nom-inative singular. For example:

<u>matčino</u>.APP dítě [t_lemma = matka] (=mother's child) <u>Pavlovo</u>.APP auto [t lemma = Pavel] (=Paul's car)

b. **deadjectival adverbs**. Deadjectival adverbs (sempos = adj.denot) are represented by a node whose tlemma is the corresponding adjective in the nominative singular. For example:

<u>Rychle</u>.MANN odešel. [t_lemma = rychlý] (=He left quickly.)

<u>Pěkně</u>.MANN zpívá [t_lemma = pěkný] (=He sings very well.)

c. **definite pronominal adverbs with a directional meaning** (derived from the adverbs *tady, tam*). Definite pronominal directional adverbs (sempos = adv.pron.def) are represented by a node whose t-lemma is the corresponding locative adverb. The possible combinations of t-lemmas and functors are to be found in Table 4.2, "Derivatives of the pronominal adverbs *tady* and *tam*". For example:

<u>Odtud</u>.DIR1 je vidět až za hranice. [t_lemma = tady] (=From here, you can see as far as beyond the border.)

d. **definite pronominal adverbs with a temporal meaning** (derived from the adverbs *ted*', *potom* and *tehdy*). Definite pronominal adverbs with various temporal meanings (sempos = adv.pron.def) are represented by a node whose t-lemma is the corresponding adverb with the basic temporal meaning (answering the question "kdy (=when)"). The possible combinations of t-lemmas and functors are to be found in Table 4.3, "**Derivatives of the pronominal adverbs** *ted***',** *potom* **and** *tehdy***". For example:** 

<u>Doposud</u>.TTILL se nám dařilo dobře [t_lemma = ted'] (=Until now we did well.)

Table 4.2. Derivatives	of the	pronominal	adverbs	<i>tadv</i> and	tam
	or the	promonium	au or or o's	may una	

	t-lema		
functor	tady	tam	
LOC	tady, tu, zde	tam	
DIR1	odtud, odsud	odtamtud	
DIR2	tudy	tamtudy	
DIR3	sem, potud, posud	tam	

Table 4.3. Derivatives of the pronominal adverbs ted', potom and tehdy

	t-lema		
functor	teď	potom	tehdy
TWHEN	teď, tu, nyní	potom, pak, poté	tehdy
TSIN	odted'	-	odtehdy
TTILL	doted', doposud, potud, posud	-	dotehdy

#### 4.2.3. Derivation t-lemma + grammateme

The following derivatives are represented with the help of the t-lemma and grammatemes numertype or indef-type:

a. **definite non-cardinal numerals**. Definite fraction numerals (sempos = n.quant.def) and definite ordinal, sort and set numerals (sempos = adj.quant.def) are understood as derivatives of their corresponding cardinal numerals at the tectogrammatical level and they are represented by their t-lemmas. The fact that the given numeral is a fraction, ordinal, sort or set numeral can be inferred from the value of the numertype grammateme. Examples of possible combinations of t-lemmas and functors are to be found in Table 4.4, "Examples of (non-adverbial) derivatives of definite cardinal numerals". For example:

Ztratil už troje klíče. [t_lemma = tři; numertype = set] (=He's already lost three sets of keys.)

b. indefinite adjectival numerals (adjectival derivatives of the numeral *kolik*). Individual types of indefinite adjectival numerals, i.e. various adjectival derivatives of the numeral *kolik (=how many/much)* (sempos = adj.quant.indef) are represented by a node with the t-lemma *kolik*. Which numeral is represented by it can be inferred from the value of the grammatemes numertype and indeftype. Possible combinations of the numertype and indeftype grammateme values with nodes whose t-lemma is *kolik* - i.e. all derivatives of this t-lemma - are in Table 4.5, "Adjectival derivatives of the numeral *kolik*". For example:

*Člověk může mít <u>několikeré</u> občanství.* [t_lemma = *kolik*; numertype = kind; indeftype = indef1] (=One can have several citizenships.)

c. relative, indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronouns (derivatives of the pronouns *kdo, co, který, jaký*). Relative, indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronouns (sempos = n.pron.indef or sempos = adj.pron.indef) make use of only four t-lemmas: *kdo* (=*who*), *co* (=*what*), *který* (=*which*) and *jaký* (=*what*). All other pronouns are taken to be their derivatives. Which pronouns are represented by which t-lemmas and which values of the indeftype grammateme they get is summarized in Table 4.6, "Derivatives of the pronouns *kdo, co, který* and *jaký*". For example:

<u>Nikdo</u> to dělat nebude. [t_lemma = kdo; indeftype = negat] (=Nobody is going to do it.)

d. relative, indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronominal adverbs (derivatives of the adverbs *jak* and *proč*). Relative, indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronominal adverbs derived from the adverbs *jak* (=how) and *proč* (=why) (sempos = adv.pron.indef) are represented by nodes with the t-lemmas *jak* or *proč*. The basic word form of these derivatives follows from the value of the grammateme indeftype. Which adverbs are represented by which t-lemmas and which values of the indeftype grammateme they get is summarized in Table 4.7, "Derivatives of the pronominal adverbs *jak* and *proč*". For example:

*Bylo mu <u>všelijak</u>*. [t_lemma = jak; indeftype = indef6] (=He felt strange (lit. in_different_ways).)

	t-lemma					
numertype	tolik	jeden	dva	tři	čtyři	sto
frac	-	jednina	polovina	třetina	čtvrtina	setina
kind	tolikerý	-	dvojí	trojí	čtverý	sterý
ord	tolikátý	první	druhý	třetí	čtvrtý	stý
set	tolikery	-	dvoje	troje	čtvery	stery

#### Table 4.4. Examples of (non-adverbial) derivatives of definite cardinal numerals

 Table 4.5. Adjectival derivatives of the numeral kolik

t_lemma: kolik	numertype			
indeftype	basic	set	kind	ord
relat	kolik (klíčů), kolikery (dveře)	kolikery (klíče)	kolikerý	kolikátý
indef1	několik (klíčů), několikery (dveře)	několikery (klíče)	několikerý	několikátý
indef2	-	-	-	-
indef3	-	-	-	-
indef4	-	-	-	-
indef5	-	-	-	-
indef6	kdovíkolik (klíčů), kdovíkolikery (dveře), bůhvíkolik, četrvíkolik, nevímkolik,	kdovíkolikery (klíče), bůhvíkolikery, četrvíkolikery, nevímkolikery,	kdovíkolikerý, bůhvíkolikerý, četrvíkolikerý, nevímkolikerý,	kdovíkolikátý, bůhvíkolikátý, četrvíkolikátý, nevímkolikátý,
inter	kolik (klíčů), kolikery (dveře)	kolikery (klíče)	kolikerý	kolikátý
negat	-	-	-	-
total1	-	-	-	-
total2	-	-	-	-

	t-lema					
indeftype	kdo	co	který	jaký		
relat	kdo, kdož	co, což, oč, nač, zač	který, kterýž, jenž	jaký, jakýž		
indef1	někdo	něco	některý	nějaký		
indef2	kdosi, kdos	cosi, cos	kterýsi	jakýsi		
indef3	kdokoli, kdokoliv	cokoli, cokoliv, cožkoli, cožkoliv	kterýkoli, kterýkoliv	jakýkoli, jakýkoliv		
indef4	ledakdo, leckdo, ledakdos, ledaskdo	ledaco, lecco, leccos, ledacos, ledasco	leckterý, ledakterý	lecjaký, ledajaký		
indef5	kdekdo	kdeco	kdekterý	kdejaký		
indef6	málokdo, kdovíkdo, sotvakdo, zřídkakdo, všelikdo, nevímkdo, bůhvíkdo, četrvíkdo,	máloco, kdovíco, sotvaco, zřídkaco, všelico, všelicos, nevímco, bůhvíco, četrvíco,	málokterý, kdovíkterý, sotvakterý, zřídkakterý, všelikterý, nevímkterý, bůhvíkterý, četrvíkterý, 	všelijaký, málojaký, kdovíjaký, sotvajaký, zřídkajaký, nevímjaký, bůhvíjaký, četrvíjaký, 		
inter	kdo, kdopak, kdožpak, kdože	co, copak, cožpak, cože, oč, nač, zač	který, kterýpak	jaký, jakýpak		
negat	nikdo	nic	žádný	nijaký		
total1	všechen, veškerý	všechen, všechno, vše	-	-		
total2	-	-	každý	-		

Table 4.6. Derivatives of the pronouns kdo, co, který and jaký

Table 4.7. Derivatives of the pronominal adverbs jak and proč

	t-lema		
indeftype	jak	proč	
relat	jak	proč	
indef1	nějak	-	
indef2	jaksi	-	
indef3	jakkoli, jakkoliv	-	
indef4	lecjak, ledajak, ledasjak	-	
indef5	-	-	
indef6	všelijak, nevímjak, kdovíjak, bůhvíjak, čertvíjak,	kdovíproč, nevímproč, bůhvíproč, čertvíproč,	
inter	jak, jakpak, jakže	proč, pročpak	
negat	nijak	-	
total1	-	-	
total2	-	-	

#### 4.2.4. Derivation t-lemma + functor + grammateme

The following derivatives are captured with the help of the t-lemma, the numertype or indeftype grammateme and the functor:

a. **possessive counterparts of relative, indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronouns** (derivatives of the pronoun *kdo*). Possessive counterparts of relative, indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronouns (sempos = n.pron.indef) make use of a single t-lemma: *kdo* (=*who*). Which pronouns are represented by which t-lemmas and which values of the indeftype grammateme they get is summarized in Table 4.8, "**Possessive derivatives of the pronoun** *kdo*". For example:

niči.APP kniha [t_lemma = kdo; indeftype = negat] (=nobody's book)

b. relative, indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronominal adverbs with a directional meaning (derivatives of the adverb *kde*). Relative, indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronominal adverbs with a directional meaning (sempos = adv.pron.indef) are represented by a node with the t-lemma of the corresponding locative adverb (*kde* (=where)). Which adverbs are represented by which t-lemmas and which values of the indeftype grammateme they get is summarized in Table 4.9, "Derivatives of the pronominal adverb *kde*". For example:

<u>Nikudy</u>.DIR2 to nejde. [t_lemma = kde; indeftype = negat] (=There is no way to go; lit. Through_no_way it goes.)

c. relative, indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronominal adverbs with a temporal meaning (derivatives of the adverb *kdy*). Relative, indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronominal adverbs with various temporal meanings (answering the questions "od kdy (=from when)", "do kdy (=until when)" etc.; sempos = adv.pron.indef)) are represented by a node with the t-lemma of the corresponding adverb with the simplest (basic) temporal meaning, i.e. with the t-lemma *kdy*. Which adverbs are represented by which t-lemmas and which values of the indeftype grammateme they get is summarized in Table 4.10, "Derivatives of the pronominal adverb *kdy*". For example:

<u>Kdypak</u>.TWHEN přijdete? [t lemma = kdy; indeftype = inter] (=When will you come?)

d. **adverbial derivatives of definite cardinal numerals**. Adverbs with a definite quantitative meaning (sempos = adj.quant.def) are represented by a node with the t-lemma of the corresponding cardinal numeral. Which adverbs are represented by which t-lemmas and which values of the numertype grammateme they get is summarized in Table 4.11, "Examples of adverbial derivatives of definite numerals". For example:

<u>*Třikrát*</u>.THO *a dost*. [t lemma = *tři*; numertype = basic] (=*Three times is enough*.)

e. **adverbial derivatives of the indefinite numeral** *kolik* Adverbial derivatives of the indefinite numeral *kolik* (=how much/many) (sempos = adj.quant.indef) are represented by a node with the t-lemma of the corresponding cardinal numeral (i.e. *kolik*). Possible combinations of the t-lemma, functor and grammatemes numertype and indeftype are shown in Table 4.12, "Adverbial derivatives of the indefinite numeral *kolik*". For example:

<u>Pokdovíkolikáté</u>.TWHEN *už se to podařilo*. [t_lemma = kolik; numertype = ord; indeftype = indef6] (=I don't know how many times it has turned out well.)

f. **adverbial derivatives of indefinite gradable numerals**. Adverbial derivatives of indefinite numerals, e.g. *málokrát (=few times), hodněkrát (=-many times), dostkrát (=lit. enough_times), vícekrát (=lit. more_times)* (sempos = adj.quant.grad), are represented by a node with with the t-lemma of the corresponding cardinal numeral (i.e. *málo (=few), hodně (=a lot), dost (=enough)*). The basic word form of these adverbs follows from the combination of the t-lemma, the value of the numertype grammateme (basic) and the functor (THO). For example:

*Stalo se to jen <u>málokrát</u>.*THO [t_lemma = *málo*; numertype = basic] (=*It only happened few times.*)

t_lemma = <b>kdo</b>	functor
indeftype:	<b>APP</b> (or possibly AUTH, ACT)
relat	čí
indef1	něčí
indef2	čísi, čís
indef3	číkoli, číkoliv
indef4	lecčí, ledačí
indef5	kdečí
indef6	máločí, kdovíčí
inter	čí, čípak
negat	ničí
total1	-
total2	-

#### Table 4.8. Possessive derivatives of the pronoun kdo

#### Table 4.9. Derivatives of the pronominal adverb kde

t_lemma = kde	functor	functor			
indeftype	LOC	DIR1	DIR2	DIR3	
relat	kde	odkud	kudy	kam	
indef1	někde	odněkud	někudy	někam	
indef2	kdesi	odkudsi	kudysi	kamsi	
indef3	kdekoli, kdekoliv	odkudkoli, odkudkoliv	kudykoli, kudykoliv	kamkoli, kamkoliv	
indef4	ledakde, leckde, ledaskde, leckdes	-	-	-	
indef5	-	-	-	-	
indef6	málokde, sotvakde, zřídkakde, všelikde, nevímkde, bůhvíkde, čertvíkde,	-	-	-	
inter	kde, kdepak, kdeže	odkud	kudy	kam	
negat	nikde	odnikud	nikudy	nikam	
total1	všude	odevšad, odevšud	všudy	všude	
total2	-	-	-	-	

t_lemma = kdy	lemma = kdy functor				
indeftype	TWHEN	TSIN	TTILL	TFHL	тно
relat	kdy	odkdy	dokdy, dokud	-	-
indef1	někdy	-	-	-	-
indef2	kdysi	-	-	-	-
indef3	-	-	-	-	kdykoli, kdykoliv
indef4	ledakdy, leckdy, le- daskdy	-	-	-	-
indef5	-	-	-	-	-
indef6	málokdy, sotvakdy, zřídkakdy, nevímk- dy, kdovíkdy, bůhvíkdy, čertvík- dy,	-	-	-	-
inter	kdy, kdypak, kdypakže, kdyže	odkdy	dokdy, dokud	-	-
negat	nikdy	-	-	-	-
total1	vždy, vždycky	-	-	navždy, navždycky	-
total2	-	-	-	-	-

#### Table 4.10. Derivatives of the pronominal adverb kdy

#### Table 4.11. Examples of adverbial derivatives of definite numerals

t_lemma = jeden, tři, sto, tolik	functor	
numertype	TWHEN	THO
basic	-	jedenkrát, třikrát, stokrát, tolikrát,
ord	poprvé, potřetí, posté, potolikáté,	-

#### Table 4.12. Adverbial derivatives of the indefinite numeral *kolik*

t_lemma: <b>kolik</b>	numertype	
indeftype	basic	ord
relat	kolikrát	pokolikáté
indef1	několikrát	poněkolikáté
indef2	-	-
indef3	-	-
indef4	-	-
indef5	-	-
indef6	kdovíkolikrát, bůhvíkolikrát, čertvíkolikrát, nevímkolikrát,	pokdovíkolikáté, pobůhvíkolikáté, počertvíkolikáté, m
inter	kolikrát	pokolikáté
negat	-	-
total1	-	-
total2	-	-
functor	THO	TWHEN

# 4.3. Multi-word t-lemma

**Multi-word t-lemma** is assigned to nodes representing some multi-word lexical units (see Section 7.1, "Multi-word lexical units"); it consists of all components of the given lexical unit (relevant at the tectogrammatical level); the components are linked by the underscore mark.

We distinguish two types of multi-word t-lemmas:

A. the multi-word t-lemma consists of the **basic word forms** of all components of the given (multi-word) lexical unit.

This concerns:

a. **verbs with** *se* **or** *si*. The t-lemma of a node representing a verb with *se* or *si* (inherently reflexive or reciprocal verbs)), consists of the infinitive of the verb and the reflexive *se* or *si*, connected by the underscore mark. For example:

Pořád <u>se smáli</u>. [t lemma = smát se] (=They laughed all the time.)

<u>Setkali se</u> teprve včera. [t lemma = setkat se] (=They only met yesterday.)

b. **multi-word conjunctions and conjunction pairs**. The t-lemma of a node representing a multi-word conjunction or conjunction pair consists of all parts of the given conjunction, which are linked by the underscore marks. The order and form of the individual parts are determined by the chosen representative t-lemma, which serves for various formal and word-order variants (see Section 4.4, "Representative t-lemma"). For example:

<u>Buď</u> přijdeš ty, <u>nebo</u> přijdu já. [t lemma = buď nebo] (=Either you will come or I will.)

*Přišli jak děti, tak dospělí.* [t_lemma = jak_tak] (=Both children and adults came.)

c. **multi-word operators**. The t-lemma of a node representing a multi-word operator consists of all parts of the given operator, which are linked by the underscore marks. The order and form of the individual parts are determined by the chosen representative t-lemma, which serves for various formal and word-order variants (see Section 4.4, "Representative t-lemma"). For example:

*Trvá to dlouho, <u>od jara přes</u> celé léto až <u>do</u> zimy. [t_lemma = od_přes_do] (=It takes a long time, from spring to summer and winter.)* 

d. **numbers with the function of a "label"**. The t-lemma of a node representing a (compound) number with the function of a "label" (see Section 7.2, "Numbers and numerals") consists of all parts of the given number, linked by the underscore marks, in the surface word order. For example:

Zavolej mi na 737 677 228. [t lemma = 737 677 228] (=Call number 737 677 228.)

e. **expressions of the form 'number+adjective'**. The t-lemma of a node representing an expression formed by a number and adjective consists of the number and the basic form of the adjective, which are linked by the underscore mark. For example:

<u>41 letá</u> žena [t_lemma = 41_letý] (=41 year old woman) <u>5 hodinová</u> čekací doba [t lemma = 5 hodinový] (=5 hour waiting time)

f. **surnames containing** *van*, *von*, *de* **etc.**The t-lemma of a node representing a surname containing the foreign prepositions *van*, *von*, *de* etc. consists of the foreign preposition, which is linked to the surname by the underscore mark. For example:

skladatel Ludwig van Beethoven [t_lemma = van_Beethoven] (=the composer L. van B.)

von Ryanův otec [t lemma = von_Ryan] (=von Ryan's father)

B. the multi-word t-lemma consists of the **actual surface forms** of all words forming the given lexical unit.

This representation is used for **dependent parts of idioms**. The t-lemma of a node representing a multi-word dependent part of an idiom (with the DPHR functor, nodetype = dphr; see Section 7.1.2, "Idioms") consists of the actual surface forms of the dependent parts (including prepositions) as present at the surface. For example:

Vzal <u>nohy na ramena</u>. [t_lemma = nohy_na_ramena] (=lit. (He) took legs on shoulders.)

*Měl <u>hluboko do kapsy</u>*. [t_lemma = hluboko_do_kapsy] (=lit. (He) had deep in pocket.)

# 4.4. Representative t-lemma

Synonymy is represented in PDT 2.0 only marginally so far. **Representative t-lemmas** are assigned to nodes that represent various word-order, formal or stylistic variants of some of the following expressions and corresponds to one of the variants:

- a. **pronouns, numerals, pronominal adverbs**. With pronouns, numerals and pronominal adverbs, the synonymy is represented with the help of a set of features attached to the t-lemma. Decisions regarding the stylistic and formal variants of pronominal and numeral expressions are related to the representation of the derivational relations between the expressions: stylistic and formal variants are subsumed under one representative variant; as for the derived (stylistic or formal) variants, they are represented by the basic form of their base word. If in the tables:
  - Table 4.2, "Derivatives of the pronominal adverbs tady and tam",
  - Table 4.3, "Derivatives of the pronominal adverbs ted", potom and tehdy",
  - Table 4.5, "Adjectival derivatives of the numeral kolik",
  - Table 4.6, "Derivatives of the pronouns kdo, co, který and jaký",
  - Table 4.7, "Derivatives of the pronominal adverbs jak and proč",
  - Table 4.8, "Possessive derivatives of the pronoun *kdo*",
  - Table 4.9, "Derivatives of the pronominal adverb kde",
  - Table 4.10, "Derivatives of the pronominal adverb kdy",
  - Table 4.12, "Adverbial derivatives of the indefinite numeral kolik",

in Section 4.2, "T-lemma of derived expressions" the t-lemma in a given row has more surface forms, they are taken to be synonymous.

b. coordinating conjunctions and operators and other expressions. Synonymy is represented also with certain connectives, operators and other marginal expressions (e.g. abbreviations) - see Table 4.13, "Representative t-lemmas with conjunctions and operators" and Table 4.14, "Representative t-lemma with other expressions". For example:

*Mezi smysly patří zrak* <u>a</u> sluch <u>a</u> hmat <u>a</u> čich.  $[t_lemma = a]$  (=*Eyesight and hearing and touch belong to the senses.*)

<u>počínaje</u> složitou dopravou na Strahov, přeplněným parkovištěm, až <u>po</u> dlouhé fronty na lístky [t_lemma = od_do] (=from the difficult journey to Strahov to the long queues for the tickets)

Takové dítě je <u>tzv.</u> nechlubitelné. [t_lemma = takzvaný] (=You cannot boast with such a kid, so to speak.)

Representative t-lemma	Surface variants
ani	ani; ni
buď_nebo	buď - nebo; buď - anebo; buď to - anebo; buď to - nebo
od_do	od - do; od - po; od - k; počínaje - po; od - počínaje - po
od_přes_do	od - přes - do; od - přes - k; od - přes - po, počínaje - přes - k
počínaje_konče	počínaje - konče; počínaje - a konče
conjunction (e.g. <i>a</i> )	iterated conjunction (e.g. <i>a</i> - <i>a</i> - <i>a</i> )

#### Table 4.14. Representative t-lemma with other expressions

Representative t-lemma         Surface variants	
takzvaný	tzv.; tak zvaný; takzvaný, takzvaně
to_znamená	tzn.; to znamená
to_jest	tj.; to jest

# 4.5. T-lemma substitute

The term **t-lemma substitute** is used for artificial t-lemmas beginning with #. T-lemma substitutes are assigned to:

a. newly established nodes that are not copies of other nodes (see Section 6.6, "Ellipsis").

T-lemma substitutes: #AsMuch, #Benef, #Cor, #EmpNoun, #EmpVerb, #Equal, #Forn, #Gen, #Idph, #Neg, #Oblfm, #PersPron, #QCor, #Rcp, #Separ, #Some, #Unsp, #Total (see Table 6.8, "Survey of newly established nodes" in Section 6.6, "Ellipsis").

b. nodes representing personal and reflexive pronouns and their possessive counterparts.

T-lemma substitute: #PersPron.

c. nodes representing **punctuation marks and other non-alphabetical/numerical symbols** (if used at the tectogrammatical level).

For their t-lemma substitutes see Table 4.15, "T-lemma substitutes of non-alphabetical/numerical symbols".

Non-alphabetical/numerical symbol	Description of the symbol	T-lemma substitute
&	ampersand	#Amp
%	per cent	#Percnt
*	asterisk	#Ast
•	period	#Period
	three periods	#Period3
:	colon	#Colon
,	comma	#Comma
;	semicolon	#Semicolon
-	hyphen	#Dash
_	dash	#Dash
/	slash	#Slash
(	left bracket	#Bracket
)	right bracket	#Bracket

Table 4.15. T-lemma substitutes of non-alphabetical/numerical symbols

**List of all t-lemma substitutes (in alphabetical order)**. In Table 4.16, "**List of all t-lemma substitutes (in alphabetical order)**.", there is a list of all t-lemma substitutes occuring in the tectogrammatical trees. The t-lemmas are in alphabetical order and it is always indicated whether they represent a word/symbol present in the surface structure or whether they correspond to a newly established node (with no counterpart at the surface level). Furthermore, it is specified which node type is usually connected with a particular t-lemma.

T-lemma	nodetype	is_generated	Node description
#Amp	coap	0	node representing the symbol &
#AsMuch	qcomplex	1	node for an expression introducing a consecutive clause
#Ast	qcomplex	0	node representing the symbol *
#Benef	qcomplex	1	node for the Beneficiary in control constructions
#Bracket	соар	0	node representing a bracket
#Colon	coap qcomplex	0	node representing a colon
#Comma	coap qcomplex	0	node representing a comma
#Cor	qcomplex	1	node representing the controllee in control constructions
#Dash	coap qcomplex	0	node representing a hyphen or dash
#EmpNoun	complex	1	node representing an elided governing expression of syntactic adjectives
#EmpVerb	qcomplex	1	node representing the elided governing predicate of a verbal clause
#Equal	qcomplex	1	node for the elided adjective in positive in comparative constructions
#Forn	list	1	root node of a list structure for foreign-language expres- sions
#Gen	qcomplex	0	node for a general argument
#Idph	list	1	root node of an identifying structure
#Neg	atom	1	node for syntactic negation
#Oblfm	qcomplex	1	node representing an elided obligatory adjunct
#Percnt	qcomplex	0	node representing the symbol %
#Period	coap	0	node representing a period
#Period3	coap qcomplex	0	node representing three dots
#PersPron	complex	0	node representing personal or possessive pronouns (in- cluding reflexives). With newly established nodes, the #PersPron t-lemma signals ellipsis.
#QCor	qcomplex	1	node representing the quasi-controllee in quasi-control constructions
#Rcp	qcomplex	1	node representing a valency modification omitted due to its participation in a reciprocal relation
#Semicolon	coap	0	node representing a semicolon
#Separ	coap	1	auxiliary node for representing parataxis
#Slash	coap	0	node representing a slash
#Some	qcomplex	1	node for the nominal part of a verbonominal predicate in comparative constructions
#Total	qcomplex	1	node for a non-expressed totalizer in constructions with the meaning of a restriction
#Unsp	qcomplex	1	node representing an unspecified valency modification

Table 4.16. List of all t-lemma substitutes (in alphabetical order).

# Chapter 5. Complex nodes and grammatemes

**Complex nodes** (nodetype = complex) are nodes representing autosemantic lexical units (nouns, adjectives, verbs, adverbs, numerals and pronouns). To be represented properly, autosemantic lexical units need apart from a t-lemma and a functor (and possibly other attributes) also grammatemes (the tectogrammatical correlates of morphological categories). The fact that complex nodes have grammatemes sets these nodes apart form all other types of nodes.

# 5.1. Semantic parts of speech

Complex nodes can be classified as belonging to one of four semantic parts of speech. **Semantic parts of speech** correspond to the basic onomasiological categories: substances, properties, circumstances.and events. They are:

semantic nouns (see Section 5.1.1, "Semantic nouns"), semantic adjectives (see Section 5.1.2, "Semantic adjectives"), semantic adverbs (see Section 5.1.3, "Semantic adverbs"), semantic verbs (see Section 5.1.4, "Semantic verbs").

Semantic nouns, adjectives and adverbs are further classified. The information about the membership of a complex node in a particular (subgroup of a) semantic part of speech is encoded in the sempos attribute. The sempos attribute (see Section A.2.8.13, "gram/sempos") has 19 possible values (symbols assigned to individual values are ordered in such a way that the first one is the one referring to the given semantic part of speech, other symbols (referring to the individual characteristics) follow, separated by a period. The characteristics are organized from the more general ones to the more specific ones).

**Traditional autosemantic parts of speech**. Words belonging to traditional autosemantic parts of speech can usually be said to belong to the corresponding semantic parts of speech. Cases when semantic parts of speech do not correspond to the traditional ones occur as a result of representing certain types of derivation (see Section 4.2, "T-lemma of derived expressions"):

- a. **possessive adjectives** (e.g.: *matčin (=mother's)*, *Pavlův (=Pavel's)*) correspond to semantic nouns (sempos = n.denot).
- b. deadjectival adverbs (e.g.: pěkně (=nicely), rychle (=quickly)) correspond to semantic adjectives (sempos = adj.denot).

**Pronouns**. Pronouns are usually understood as either semantic nouns, or adjectives - according to their syntactic function:

- a. **personal and reflexive pronouns and their possessive counterparts** (e.g.: *já* (=*I*), *ty* (=*you*), *vy* (=*you.pl*), *můj* (=*my*), *jejich* (=*their*), *se* (=*REFL*), *svůj* (=*self's*)) belong to semantic nouns (sempos = n.pron.def).
- b. **demonstrative and identifying pronouns** (e.g.: *ten* (=*this*), *tenhle* (=*this*), *tentýž* (=*the same*), *takový* (=*such*)) are taken to be either semantic nouns (sempos = n.pron.def.demon), or semantic adjectives (sempos = adj.pron.def.demon, depending on their syntactic function). Cf.:

<u>*Ti už nepřijdou. (=These will not come again.)* → semantic noun</u>

<u>Ten</u> dům už prodali. (=They have already sold the house.) → semantic adjective

- c. relative pronouns kdo, co and their derivatives, i.e. indefinite (e.g.: někdo (=somebody), něco (=something), ledakdo (=apprx. anybody), kdosi (=someone)), interrogative (kdo (=who), co (=what)), negative (nikdo (=nobody), nic (=nothing)) and totalizing pronouns (všechen (=all)), possessive counterparts of the pronoun kdo (i.e. čí (=whose)) and its derivatives (e.g.: něčí, ničí (=someone's, noone's), kdovíčí (=lit. who_knows_whose))) are taken to be semantic nouns (sempos = n.pron.indef).
- d. relative pronouns který (jenž) and jaký and their derivatives, i.e. indefinite (e.g.: některý (=some), nějaký (=some), všelijaký (=all kinds of), ledajaký (=all kinds of)), interrogative (který (=which), jaký (=what)), negative (žádný (=no), nijaký (=no)) and totalizing pronouns (každý (=each/every)) are taken to be either semantic nouns (sempos = n.pron.indef), or semantic adjectives (sempos = adj.pron.indef, depending on their syntactic function). Cf.:

Šaty, <u>jaké</u> by se hodily na ples, tu neměli. (=They didn't have the dress that would be suitable for a ball.) Knihu, <u>kterou</u> si přál, nemohla sehnat. (=She wasn't able to get the book (that) he wished to have.)  $\rightarrow$  semantic nouns

Nevěděla, <u>jaké</u> šaty se by se na ples hodily. (=She didn't know what kind of dress would be suitable for a ball.) <u>Kterou</u> knihu si přál? Kup mu <u>nějakou</u> knihu (=Which book did he wish to have?). Ta barva je <u>nijaká</u>. (=The color is insipid.)  $\rightarrow$  semantic adjectives

Numerals. Numerals are usually taken to be semantic nouns or adjectives, according to their syntactic function:

a. **definite cardinal numerals** *jedna* **through** *devětadevadesát* are either semantic nouns (sempos = n.quant.def), or adjectives (sempos = adj.quant.def, depending on their syntactic function). Cf.:

*Vybrali <u>tři</u>. (=They chose three of them.)*  $\rightarrow$  semantic noun

<u>*pět knih (=five books)*</u>  $\rightarrow$  semantic adjective

- b. definite cardinal numerals *sto, tisíc, milion* (and other ending with *-ion*), *miliarda* (and other ending with *-iarda*) and fraction numerals (e.g.: *třetina* (=(one) third), polovina (=(one) half)) have always the meaning of a "container" and are considered semantic nouns (sempos = n.quant.def).
- c. **definite adjectival numerals**, i.e. ordinal, sort and set numerals (e.g.: *druhý* (=(*the*) *second*), *troje* (=*three sets of*), *trojí* (=*three kinds of*)), are considered semantic adjectives (sempos = adj.quant.def).
- definite adverbial numerals (multiplicative) (e.g.: třikrát (=three times), potřetí (=for the third time), tisíckrát (=thousand times), posté (=for the hundredth time)) are considered semantic adjectives (sempos = adj.quant.def).
- e. **definite cardinal numeral** *tolik* and its adjectival derivatives (e.g.: *tolikátý* (=lit. so_much.ord), *tolikery* (=so_much.set), *tolikerý* (=so_much.sort)) as well as its adverbial derivatives (tolikrát (=so many times), potolikáté (=for x-th time)) are considered semantic adjectives (sempos = adj.quant.def).
- f. indefinite gradable cardinal numerals and their adverbial derivatives (e.g.: málo, mnoho (=few/little, many/much), hodně (=a lot), málokrát (=few times)) are considered semantic adjectives (sempos = adj.quant.grad).
- g. indefinite numeral kolik and its adjectival and adverbial derivatives (e.g.: několik (=several), kolikpak (=how many/much), kolikery (=how many sets), několikery (=several sets), pokolikáté (=lit. for n-th time), kolikrát (=how many times)) are considered semantic adjectives (sempos = adj.quant.indef).

**Other traditional parts of speech**. Other parts of speech are represented by other than complex nodes, therefore, no referrence to semantic parts of speech is made.

The relations between the semantic and traditional parts of speech are represented in Fig. 5.1. Arrows in boldface indicate that the relation is "prototypical" (nouns prototypically belong to the class of semantic nouns, adjectives to the class of semantic adjectives etc.), simple arrows indicate the distribution of pronouns and numerals between the semantic nouns and adjectives and dotted arrows follow the classification based on derivational relations.



Figure 5.1. Relations between the semantic and traditional parts of speech

#### 5.1.1. Semantic nouns

Semantic nouns correspond to the basic onomasiological category of substance.

The inner structure of semantic nouns is presented in Fig. 5.2. The name of the subgroup is followed by its abbreviation (which is given as the value of the sempos attribute). Below the name of the subgroup, there is a list of the grammatemes (in a box) relevant for the subgroup.

For a detailed survey of semantic nouns see Table 5.1, "Survey of semantic nouns".

#### Figure 5.2. Inner structure of semantic nouns



#### Table 5.1. Survey of semantic nouns

sempos Definition of the subgroup	Grammatemes of the subgroup	Members of the subgroup	T-lemma	Example
n.denot denominating	number gender	traditional nouns (not ending with - <i>ní</i> , - <i>tí</i> or - <i>ost</i> )	basic word form (nom. sg.)	<pre>psi(=dogs) [t_lemma = pes; num- ber = pl; gender = anim]</pre>
		possessive adjectives	nom. sg. of the corresponding noun	<u>Martin</u> pokoj (=Marta's room) [t_lemma=Marta; num- ber = sg; gender = fem]

n.denot.neg	number	traditional nouns ending	basic word form	<u>nezralost</u> dítěte (=the
denominating	gender	with -ní, -tí or -ost	(nom. sg)	child's immaturity)
that can be negated	negation		non-negated form	[t_lemma = <i>zralost</i> ;
				number = sg; gender
				= fem; negation =
				neg1]

n.pron.def.demon pronominal definite demonstrative	number gender	demonstrative pronouns in the position of a syn- tactic noun	basic word form (nom. sg.)	<pre>O tohle mi nejde. (=This is not the point.) [t_lemma = ten; number = sg; gender = neut]</pre>
		nodes with the t-lemma #EmpNoun	#EmpNoun	Mluvil také o <u>anglickém</u> . (=He also talked about the English one.)
				{#EmpNoun [number = sg;gender = anim in- an neut]}

<pre>n.pron.def.pers number pronominal definite personal </pre>	number gender person politeness	personal and reflexive pronouns	#PersPron	<pre><u>Vy</u> jste se už přihlásil. (=You have already re- gistered.) [t_lemma = #Per- sPron; number = sg; gender = anim; per- son = 2; politeness = polite]</pre>
		possessive counterparts of personal and reflexive pronouns	#PersPron	<u>Tvůj</u> názor nesdílím. (=I don't share your view.) [t_lemma = #Per- sPron; number = sg; gender = anim; per- son = 2; politeness = basic]
		newly established nodes with the t-lemma #Per- sPron	#PersPron	Odešel. (=He has left.) {#PersPron [number = sg; gender = anim; person = 3; polite- ness = basic]}

n.pron.indef     n       pronominal     g       indefinite     i	number gender person indeftype	relative pronouns <i>který</i> ( <i>jenž</i> ) and <i>jaký</i> and their derivatives, i.e. indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronouns in the position of a syntactic noun	který, jaký	<u>Která</u> to je? (=Which one is it?) [t_lemma = který; num- ber = sg;gender = fem; person = 3; in- deftype = inter]
		relative pronouns <i>kdo</i> , <i>co</i> and their derivatives, i.e. indefinite, interrogat- ive, negative and totaliz- ing pronouns	kdo, co	<u>Někdo</u> to udělat musí. (=Somebody has to do it.) [t_lemma = kdo; num- ber = sg;gender = an- im; person = 3; indef- type = indef1]
		possessive counterparts of the pronoun <i>kdo</i> and its derivatives	kdo	<pre>něčí tužka (=somebody's pen) [t_lemma = kdo; num- ber = sg;gender = an- im; person = 3; indef- type = indef1]</pre>

<b>n.quant.def</b> quantificational definite	number gender numertype	cardinal numerals <i>jedna</i> through <i>devadesát devět</i> in the position of a syntactic noun	basic word form (nom. sg.)	<pre>Poznal jen jednu. (=He only recognized one of them.) [t_lemma = jeden; num- ber = sg; gender = fem; numertype = ba-</pre>
		cardinal numerals sto, tisíc, milión (and other ending with -ión), mil- iarda (and other ending with -iarda)	basic word form (nom. sg.)	<pre>sic] Přišlo sto sudentů. (=One hundred students came.) [t_lemma=sto; number = sg; gender = neut; numertype = basic]</pre>
		fraction numerals	nom. sg. of the corresponding cardinal numeral	Koupil dvě <u>třetiny</u> akcií. (=He bought two thirds of the shares.)
				<pre>[t_lemma=tři;number = pl;gender = fem; numertype = frac]</pre>

## 5.1.2. Semantic adjectives

Semantic adjectives correspond to the basic onomasiological category of property/quality.

The inner structure of semantic adjectives is presented in Fig. 5.3 (the structure is parallel to that in Fig. 5.2). For a detailed survey of semantic adjectives see Table 5.2, "**Survey of semantic adjectives**".





sempos Definition of the subgroup	Grammatemes of the subgroup	Members of the subgroup	T-lemma	Example
adj.denot	degcmp	traditional adjectives	basic word form	<u>příjemnější</u> hudba (=more
denominating	negation		(nom. sg) non-negated form	<pre>pleasant music) [t_lemma = příjemný; degcmp = comp; nega- tion = neg0]</pre>
		traditional adverbs (deadjectival)	nom. sg. of the corresponding adjective	Zachoval se <u>nepěkně</u> . (=His behavior wasn't nice.) [t_lemma = pěkný; de- gcmp = comp; nega- tion = neg1]

<pre>adj.pron.def.demon pronominal, definite, demonstrative adj.pron.indef pronominal, indefinite</pre>	Ø	demonstrative and identifying pronouns in the position of a syntact- ic adjective relative pronouns <i>který</i> and <i>jaký</i> and their deriv- atives, i.e. indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronouns in the position of a syn-	basic word form (nom.sg) který, jaký	<u>Ten</u> dům už koupili. (=They have bought the house) [t_lemma = ten] Ta barva je <u>nijaká</u> . (=The color is insipid.) [t_lemma = jaký; in- deftype = negat]
adj.quant.def quantificational, definite	numertype	tactic adjective definite cardinal numer- als ( <i>jedna</i> through <i>devadesát devět</i> ) in the position of a syntactic adjective	basic word form (nom. sg.)	Koupil <u>tři</u> domy. (=He bought three houses.) [t_lemma=tři;numer- type=basic]
		definite adjectival nu- merals, i.e. ordinal, sort and set numerals	nom. sg. of the corresponding cardinal numeral	Umístil se na <u>třetím</u> místě. (=He came in third) [t_lemma = tři; numer- type = ord]
		definite adverbial (mul- tiplicative) numerals	nom. sg. of the corresponding cardinal numeral	<pre>Volal tam už <u>dvakrát</u>. (=He has already called them twice.) [t_lemma=dva;numer- type=basic]</pre>
		definite cardinal numer- al <i>tolik</i> and its adjectival and adverbial derivat- ives	tolik	<u>Tolik</u> připomínek nečekal. (=He didn't expect so many comments.) [t_lemma = tolik; nu- mertype = basic]
adj.quant.indef quantificational, indefinite	numertype indeftype	indefinite cardinal nu- meral <i>kolik</i> and its ad- jectival and adverbial derivatives	kolik	<i>Vysvětluje to už <u>poněko-</u> <u>likáté</u>. (=It's not the first time he's explaining that.) [t_lemma = kolik; nu- mertype=ord; indef- type = inedf1]</i>
<b>adj.quant.grad</b> quantificational, gradable	numertype degcmp	indefinite cardinal (gradable) numerals and their adverbial derivat- ives ( <i>málo</i> , <i>málokrát</i> )	nom. sg. of the corresponding cardinal numeral	<u>nejméně</u> chyb (=the fewest mistakes) [t_lemma = málo; de- gcmp = sup; numer- type = basic]

## 5.1.3. Semantic adverbs

Semantic adverbs correspond to the basic onomasiological category of circumstance.

The inner structure of semantic adverbs is presented in Fig. 5.4 (the structure is parallel to that in Fig. 5.2). For a detailed survey of semantic adverbs see Table 5.3, "**Survey of semantic adverbs**".

#### Figure 5.4. Inner structure of semantic adverbs



#### Table 5.3. Survey of semantic adverbs

sempos Definition of the subgroup	Gram- matemes of the subgroup	Members of the subgroup	T-lemma	Example
adv.denot.ngrad.nneg	Ø	(non-deadjectival) ad-	basic word form	Zůstal <u>dnes</u> <u>doma</u> .
denominating, non-gradable, that cannot be negated		verbs that can be neither negated nor are gradable		(=He has stayed at home today.)

adv.denot.ngrad.neg denominating, non-gradable, that can be negated	negation	(non-deadjectival) ad- verbs that can be neg- ated but are not gradable	basic word form positive (non- negated) form	Je to <u>nepříliš</u> dobré. (=It's not very good.) [t_lemma = příliš; negation = neg1]
adv.denot.grad.nneg denominating, gradable, that cannot be negated	degcmp	(non-deadjectival) ad- verbs that cannot be negated but are gradable	basic word form	Dům ležel <u>nejníže</u> z celé vesnice. (=The house was situated lowest in the village.) [t_lemma = dole; degcmp = sup]

adv.denot.grad.neg denominating, gradable, that can be negated	negation degcmp	(non-deadjectival) ad- verbs that can both be negated and are grad- able	basic word form positive (non- negated) form	<u>blíže</u> nespecifikovaná trhavina (=further un- specified explosive) [t lemma = blízko;
				<pre>degcmp = acomp; negation = neg0]</pre>

adv.pron.def pronominal, definite	Ø	definite pronominal loc- ative adverbs and their directional derivatives	tady, tam, tamtéž	<u>Odtud</u> už to není daleko. (=It's not far from here.)
				[t_lemma = tady]
		definite pronominal temporal adverbs and their various (temporal) derivatives	teď, potom, te- hdy, onehdy, předtím	<u>Odted</u> ' už to nebudeme říkat. (=From now on, we're not going to say that.) $[+ 1 \text{ opmpa} = ted^{2}]$
		other definite pronomin- al adverbs (demonstrat- ive and identifying)	basic word form	Udělal to právě <u>proto</u> . (=He did it just for this reason.) [t_lemma = proto]

adv.pron.indef pronominal, indefinite	indeftype	indefinite pronominal adverbs <i>jak</i> and <i>proč</i> and their derivatives, i.e. indefinite, interrogative, negative and totalizing pronominal adverbs	jak, proč	Bylo mu <u>všelijak</u> . (=He felt strange.) [t_lemma = jak; in- deftype = indef6]
		indefinite pronominal adverbs <i>kdy</i> and <i>kde</i> and their derivatives, i.e. in- definite, interrogative, negative, totalizing pro- nominal adverbs as well as directional or various temporal adverbs	kdy, kde	<u>Nikudy</u> to nejde. (=There is no way to go.) [t_lemma=kde;in- deftype=negat]

#### 5.1.4. Semantic verbs

**Semantic verbs** correspond to the basic onomasiological category of event. Semantic verbs as a group have no further inner structure. For a survey of semantic verbs see Table 5.4, "**Semantic verbs**".

Table	5.4.	Semantic	verbs
Iante	<b>.</b>	Semantic	10105

sempos	Verbal grammatemes	Definition of the group	T-lemma	Examples
v	verbmod deontmod dispmod aspect tense resultative iterativeness	finite verb form infinitive participle transgressive (gerund)	basic word form (infin- itive)	<pre>Studenti <u>přišli</u> na schůzi včas. (=The students came in time to the meeting.) [t_lemma = přijít; verbmod = ind; deontmod = decl; dispmod = disp0; aspect = cpl; tense = ant; resultat- ive = res0; iterativeness = it0] Ty <u>musíš přijít</u>. (=You must come.) [t_lemma = přijít; verbmod = ind; dentmod = deb; disp- mod = disp0; aspect = cpl; tense = sim; resultative = res0; iterativeness =</pre>
				it0]

# 5.2. Grammatemes

Grammatemes are tectogrammatical correlates of morphological categories.

Grammatemes are only assigned to complex nodes (nodetype = complex), they are encoded in the gram attribute, whose value is is in fact a structure made of individual attributes-grammatemes. An obligatory attribute of this structure is the sempos attribute, the value of which clearly defines the set of the grammatemes relevant for the given node.

Grammateme values. We distinguish basic and special values of grammatemes:

• **basic values.** Every grammateme has at least two basic values (e.g. sg and pl for the number grammateme). In regular cases, the grammateme has always one of the basic values. In case it is possible to assign more basic values (and to exclude at least one of them), all the possible values are listed. For example:

*Viděl jen dva*. [gender = inan|anim] (=He only saw two of them)

• special values are described in Table 5.5, "Special values of grammatemes".
Special value	Relevant grammatemes	Relevant cases	Examples
nr	all grammatemes	All basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded.	Vypral si <u>kalhoty</u> . (=He washed his pants.) [number=nr]
nil	dispmod tense verbmod	None of the basic values of the gram- mateme (i.e. the grammateme as such) is relevant.	<u>Přestaň</u> s tím zlobením! (=Stop that!) [tense=nil]
inher	gender number person politeness	The value of the grammateme follows from the value of the given gram- mateme of the coreferred node (the value is inherited). The value is as- signed to all grammatemes of corefer- ring nodes (reflexives or relatives).	Muži, <u>kteří</u> přišli, jsou naši známí. (=The men who came are our friends.) [gender=inher; number=in- her; person=inher] Sestra nemá <u>svůj</u> názor. (=My sister hasn't got her own opinion.) [gender=inher; number=in- her; person=inher; polite- ness=inher]

Table 5.5. Special values of grammatemes

For a survey of grammatemes of semantic nouns, adjectives and adverbs, see Table 5.6, "**Survey of non-verbal** grammatemes". For a survey of grammatemes of semantic verbs see Table 5.7, "**Survey of verbal grammatemes**".

Table	5.6.	Survey	of	'non-verbal	grammatemes
Table	5.0.	Survey	U1	non-verbai	grammatemes

Grammateme	Relevant subgroups	Definition	Examples
Values	(sempos)	of the grammateme	
degcmp: pos comp sup acomp nr	adj.denot adj.quant.grad adv.denot.grad.neg adv.denot.grad.nneg (abbreviation:grad and value adj.denot)	tectogrammatical correlate of the morphological cat- egory of degree	<u>příjemnější</u> hudba (=more pleasant music) [degcmp = comp] <u>nejméně</u> chyb (=fewest mistakes) [degcmp = sup] Je už <u>pozdě</u> . (=It's already late.) [de- gcmp = pos] <u>víc</u> [degcmp = comp] <u>příjemně</u> (=more pleasantly) [degcmp = pos] <u>starší</u> žena (=elder woman) [degcmp = acomp]

gender: anim inan fem neut inher nr	<pre>n.denot n.denot.neg n.pron.def.demon n.pron.def.pers n.pron.indef n.quant.def (abbreviation: n)</pre>	tectogrammatical correlate of the morphological cat- egory of gender	<u>děvče</u> (=girl) [gender = neut] <u>Martin</u> pokoj (=Marta's room) [gender = fem] O <u>tohle</u> mi nejde. (=This is not the point) [gender = neut] <u>Někdo</u> to udělat musí. (=Somebody has to do it.) [gender = anim] Byl tam jen jeden. (=Only one of them was there.) [gender = anim  inan] Přišli <u>tři</u> . (=Three of them came.)
			[gender = anim] Viděl jen <u>tři</u> . (=He only saw three of them.) [gender = nr]

<pre>indeftype: relat indef1 indef2 indef3 indef4 indef5 indef6 negat total1 total2</pre>	<pre>n.pron.indef adj.pron.indef adj.quant.indef adv.pron.indef (abbreviation: indef)</pre>	it captures the semantic feature in which the indef- inite, interrogative, negat- ive and totalizing pronouns (and their adverbial deriv- atives) differ from their corresponding relative pronouns, by the t-lemma of which they are represen- ted	Ten, kdo přišel. (=The one who came.)[indeftype = relat]Kdo přišel? (=Who came?) [indef- type = inter]Někdo přišel. (=Somebody has come.)[indeftype = indef1]čísi chlapec (=somebody's boy) [in- deftype = indef2]jakýkoliv úkol (=any task) [indef- type = indef3]Může to být ledaskde. (=It can be at various places.) [indeftype = indef5]Bůhvíkterýden to bylo. (=God knows which day it was.) [indeftype = indef6]To je všechno. (=That's all.) [indef- type = total1]každý den (=every day) [indeftype = total2]Nikdy už to neudělám. (=I'll never do it again.) [indeftype = negat]
neg0 neg1 nr	<pre>n.denot.neg adj.denot adv.denot.grad.neg adv.denot.ngrad.neg (abbreviation: neg and value adj.denot)</pre>	it captures the fact whether the semantic noun (ending with <i>-ní</i> , <i>-tí</i> or <i>-ost</i> ), adject- ive or adverb occured in its positive or negative form	<u>nezralost</u> dítěte (=the child's immatur- ity) [negation = neg1] <u>příjemná</u> hudba (=pleasant music) [negation = neg0] Zachoval se k nám <u>nepěkně</u> . (=He treated us not very nicely.) [nega- tion = neg1] Pracuje <u>blízko</u> od domova. (=He works near his home.) [negation = neg0] <u>nekalé</u> úmysly (=bad intentions) [negation = neg0]
number: sg pl inher nr	<pre>n.denot n.denot.neg n.pron.def.demon n.pron.def.pers n.pron.indef n.quant.def (the value of the sempos at- tributes contains: n)</pre>	tectogrammatical correlate of the morphological cat- egory of number	<u>psi</u> (=dogs) [number = pl] <u>otcův</u> názor (=my father's opinion) [number = sg] <u>náš</u> (=our) [number = pl] Přišlo <u>sto</u> studentů. (=One hundred students came.) [number = sg] To, <u>co</u> potřebuješ, tu nemají. (=They don't have what you need.) [number = inher] jedny <u>dveře</u> (=door) [number = sg]

<u>Vy</u> jste se nepřihlásil? (=You haven't registered?) [number = sg]

basic frac kind ord set nr	<pre>t two of the offered books.) ertype = basic] t two of the offered books.) ertype = basic] t troje dveře. (=He has painted loors.) [numertype = basic] l setinu akcií. (=He bought one edth of the shares.) [numer- = frac] t troje klíče. (=He has already ree sets of keys.) [numertype ] ojí občanství. (=He has two cit- ips.) [numertype = kind] ojí pokus jsi provedl? (=How experiments have you done by?) [numertype = ord] luje to už podruhé. (=This is the d time he's explaining that.) ertype = ord]</pre>
porgon: n prop dof porg tectogrammatical correlate <i>Li už</i> i	idu (=I am coming) [porson

person:	n.pron.def.pers	tectogrammatical correlate	<u>Já</u> už jdu. (=I am coming.) [person
1 2 3	n.pron.indef (abbreviation:n.pron)	egory of person	= 1] <u>Tvůj</u> názor nesdílím. (= I don't share your view.) [person = 2]
inher nr			<u>Svůj</u> názor ti neřeknu. (=I'm not telling you my opinion.) [person=inher]
			<u>Někdo</u> to udělat musí. (=Somebody has to do it.) [person = 3]
			Zachraň se, <u>kdo</u> můžeš. (=lit. Save REFL who (you) can.) [person = 2]

politeness:	n.pron.def.pers	it signal the use of the po- lite form	<u>Já</u> dnes nepřijdu. (=I'm not coming today.) [politeness = basic]
polite			<u>Vy</u> jste se ještě nepřihlásil. (=You
inher nr			<pre>haven't registered.) [politeness = polite]</pre>
			<u>Svého</u> psa jste ještě neviděl. (=You haven't seen your dog yet.) [polite- ness = inher]
			<pre><u>Vy</u> tam nepůjdete? (=You are not go- ing there?) [politeness = nr]</pre>

Grammateme Values	Verb forms assigned one of the basic values	Definition of the grammateme	Examples
aspect:	all verb forms	tectogrammatical correlate of the morpho-lexical cat-	Nejraději <u>kupuje</u> nábytek. (=He prefers to buy furniture.) [aspect = proc]
cpl nr		egory of aspect	<u>Nakoupil</u> už vše potřebné. (=He has bought everything he needed.) [aspect = cpl]
			<i>Císaři tam vždy dobrovolně <u>abdikovali</u>.</i> [aspect = proc] (=The Emperors al- ways abdicated voluntarily)
			<i>Císař dobrovolně <u>abdikoval</u>. (=The Em- peror abdicated voluntarily.)</i> [aspect = cpl]
deontmod: deb hrt.	all verb forms	it captures the fact whether the event is understood as necessary, possible, permit-	<u>Musime zaplatit</u> fakturu včas. (=We have to pay the invoice in time.) [deontmod = deb]
vol poss perm fac		ted etc.	Petr ti <u>měl</u> podklady <u>poslat</u> už včera. (=Petr was supposed to send you the documents already yesterday.) [deont- mod = hrt]
decl nr			<u>Chtic odejit</u> , rozloučila se. (=Willing to leave, she said good bye.) [deontmod = vol]
			<u>Moct</u> tak <u>odejit</u> ! (=If only I could leave!) [deontmod = poss]
			<u>Nesmíš kouřit</u> . (=You mustn't smoke.) [deontmod = perm]
			<u>Umí se</u> výborně <u>přetvařovat</u> . (=She is very good at pretending.) [deontmod=fac]
			<u>Přišel</u> na schůze včas. (=He came to the meetings in time.) [deontmod = decl]
dispmod: disp0 disp1 nil	non-imperative finite verb forms	it specifies whether the node is representing the predicate of a clause with dispositional modality	Tato studie <u>se</u> studentům <u>četla</u> dobře. (=lit. This article REFL student.DAT read well; apprx. It was easy for the students to read the article.) [dispmod=disp1]
nr			<i>Tato studie <u>se čte</u> dobře.(=lit. This study reads well.)</i> [dispmod = disp1]
			<u>Spalo se</u> mu tu výborně. (=lit. Slept REFL him.DAT here excellently; apprx. He slept very well here.) [dispmod = disp1]
			<u>Spí</u> dobře. (=He sleeps well.) [dispmod = disp0]
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	all work former	it appoif on what and a second	Choding ling in Sagts (-II
it0 it1		is presented as iterative, multiple	to see us often.) [iterativeness = it1]
nr			<pre>Jde zitra plavat. (=He is going swimming tomorrow.) [iterativeness = it0]</pre>

Table 5.7. Su	irvey of ve	erbal grammateme	es
---------------	-------------	------------------	----

resultative:	all verb forms	it specifies whether the event is presented as resultative	<u>Uvařil</u> . (=He has cooked (the meal).) [resultative = res0]
res0 res1		1	<u>Měl oběd uvařen</u> . (=lit. (He) had dinner
nr			<pre>cooked.) [resultative = res1]</pre>
			<u>Má uvařeno</u> . (=lit. (He) has cooked.) [resultative = res1]
			1
tense:	non-imperative finite verb forms	tectogrammatical correlate of the morphological cat-	<u>Píše</u> dopis. (=He is writing a letter.) [tense = sim]
ant post	transgressive (ger- und)	egory of tense	<u>Bude psát</u> dopis. (=He is going to write a letter.) [tense = post]
nil nr			<u>Napíše</u> dopis. [tense=post] (=He will write/will have written a letter)
			<i>Rád <u>by se díval</u> na tu inscenaci. (=I would like to watch the programme.)</i> [tense = sim]
			Hlasitě <u>zanaříkavši</u> , odcházela. (=After emitting a loud cry, she was leaving.) [tense = ant]
			Zítra <u>jedu</u> do Brna. (=Tomorrow I am going to Brno.) [tense = sim]
[			
verbmod:	finite verb forms	of the morphological cat-	Studenti <u>příšli</u> na schůzi včas. (=The stu- dents came to the meeting in time.)
imp		egory of mood	[verbmod=ind]
cdn			Přijďte na schůzi včas! (=Come to the
nil			meeting in time!) [verbmod = imp]
nr			<i>My <u>bychom přišli</u> určitě včas. (=We would definitely come in time.)</i> [verbmod = cdn]
			Hlasitě <u>naříkajíc</u> , odcházela. (=She was leaving, crying loudly.) [verbmod = nil]

# 5.3. The sentmod attribute

The sentmod attribute (see Section A.2.18, "sentmod") captures meanings similar to that of grammatemes but it is assigned to the node on the basis of its position in the tree and not according to the value of its nodetype and sempos attributes. It is assigned to the following nodes:

the root of a sentence,

the root of a subtree representing direct speech (see Section 7.5, "Direct speech"),

the root of a subtree representing a (syntactically independent) parenthesis, the effective roots of which are assigned the PAR functor (see Section 6.5, "Parenthesis").

The sentmod attribute contains the information regarding the sentence modality. The value enunc corresponds to declarative clauses, excl to exclamative clauses, desid to optative clauses, imper to imperative clauses and inter to interrogative clauses.

Examples:

Petr <u>nepřišel</u>. [sentmod = enunc] (=Petr didn't come.)

<u>Škola</u>. [sentmod = enunc] (=School.)

<u>Vyhráli jsme</u>! [sentmod = excl] (=We won!)

*Kéž <u>by nepřišli</u>!* [sentmod = desid] (=*I wish they didn't come!*)

Hodně <u>štěstí</u>! [sentmod = desid] (=Good luck!)

Přijd'te včas! [sentmod = imper] (=Come in time!)

Pozor! [sentmod = imper] (=Watch out!)

<u>Zavolalijste</u> už lékaře? [sentmod = inter] (=Have you called the doctor?)

Půjdete ven nebo zůstanete tady? [sentmod = inter] (=Will you go out or will you stay here?)

# Chapter 6. Sentence representation structure

# 6.1. Dependency

The tectogrammatical level is based on the dependency conception. The basic idea of the dependency conception is the fact that the use of the **dependent element** is determined by the use of the **governing element**, which stands for the entire combination (the governing part has its syntactic distribution identical to that of the entire combination of the dependent part). Dependency is reflected in the morphological form of the dependent elements (i.e. by agreement in morphological categories between the dependent and the governing part ot in the case of the dependent element). In accordance with some of the new syntactic approaches, the verb is considered the core of the sentence and the subject is taken to be dependent on the verb.

**Representing dependency in tectogrammatical trees**. A dependency relation between two elements in a tectogrammatical tree is primarily indicated by an edge between two nodes that goes from the node representing the governing element (governing node) to the node representing the dependent element (dependent node).

The PDT tectogrammatical trees differ from a dependency tree as defined in the theory, in which each edge represents a dependency between two elements, and each dependency between two elements is represented by an edge, in the following:

- representation of the second dependency with predicative complements, which is expressed by an attribute of the type reference (see Section 6.1.1, "Dual dependency");
- existence of non-dependency edges (see Section 6.1.2, "Non-dependency edges"),
- cases of ambiguous dependency relations, in which an edge between two nodes does not reflect exact dependency relations in the sentence (see Section 6.1.3, "Ambiguous dependency").

## 6.1.1. Dual dependency

The term **dual dependency** is used for such cases in which a modification (valency modification, or free modification) participates in a dual semantic dependency relation, i.e. it simultaneously modifies a noun and a verb (which can be nominalized). The dependency on the noun can also be expressed formally (by agreement in grammatical categories). Two cases are distinguished:

- **dual dependency of an argument**. Valency modifications (both prepositional and non-prepositional) with dual dependency are represented as arguments of the governing verb and their functor is usually PAT or EFF (i.e. their dependency on the verb is represented by an edge); their dependency on the noun follows from the meaning of the verb, which is described by its valency frame.
- dual dependency of an adjunct predicative complement. See Section 6.1.1.1, "Predicative complement".

## 6.1.1.1. Predicative complement

**Predicative complements** are (optional) adjuncts that have two semantic dependency relations, i.e. they simultaneously modify a noun and a verb (which can be nominalized).

**Representing predicative complements in tectogrammatical trees**. Nodes representing predicative complements have always the COMPL functor (see Section 8.11, "Functor for the predicative complement (COMPL)"). The two dependency relations of the predicative complement (functor = COMPL) are represented by the following means:

- the dependency on the verb is represented by an edge,
- the dependency on the (semantic) noun is indicated with the help of the attribute compl.rf (see Section A.2.2, "compl.rf"), the value of which is the identifier of the modified noun.

Representing predicative complements in tectogrammatical trees is illustrated in Fig. 6.1.





A predicative complement can be a noun, adjective, numeral, non-finite verb form (participle, transgressive, infinitive) or a dependent clause (introduced by the conjunction *jak*). A predicative complement expressed by a noun (adjective, numeral) can be introduced by the conjunctions *jako*, *jakožto*, *coby* or it can be non-prepositional. Adverbial modifications and prepositional phrases are never considered predicative complements.

Examples:

Našli kamaráda <u>nemocného</u>.COMPL (=They found their friend ill.) Fig. 6.2

*Pozvali toho chlapce jako představitele*.COMPL *hnutí.* (=*They invited the boy as a representative of the movement.*)

Kluci přišli <u>tři</u>.COMPL (=lit. (The) boys came three.)

Odcházela poražena. COMPL (=She was leaving defeated.) Fig. 6.3

Odešel, zpívaje si.COMPL (=He left, singing to himself.)

Našel Karla <u>ležet</u>.COMPL na posteli. (=He found Karel lying on his bed.)

Matka našla dítě, jak spí.COMPL (=Mother found her child sleeping.) Fig. 6.5

**Construction of the type "seděl hlavu skloněnou"** The group of transgressival predicative complements includes also cases like "seděl hlavu skloněnou (=he was sitting with his head bowed)". These are constructions in which the verb is followed by a transgressival construction with the governing transgressive of the verb *mit (=have)* elided. The ellipsis is represented by a newly established node for an empty verb (t-lemma #EmpVerb, functor = COMPL).

Seděla hlavu {#EmpVerb.COMPL} skloněnou. (=She was sitting with her head bowed) Fig. 6.4

**NB!** Such a newly established node is used also in some cases of direct speech (t_lemma = #EmpVerb, functor = COMPL, here, this concerns the transgressive form of the verb *říci (say)*). For more details see Section 7.5, "Direct speech".

**Nominalization of the governing verb**. Constructions with predicative complements where the verb is nominalized are represented in the same way. For example:

Poslední volby vyhrál s programem postaveným jako negace.COMPL programu minulého. (=...conceived of as the negation of the former program.) Fig. 6.6

Předání domu <u>coby záruky</u>.COMPL proběhlo bez problémů. (=The handing over as a guarantee was without problems.)

## Figure 6.2. Predicative complement

## Figure 6.3. Predicative complement

Našli kamaráda nemocného. (=lit. (They) found (their) Odcházela poražena. (=lit. (She) was_leaving defeated) friend ill)





## Figure 6.4. Predicative complement

## Figure 6.5. Predicative complement

Seděla hlavu skloněnou. (=lit. (She) was_sitting head bowed)

Matka našla dítě, jak spí. (=lit. Mother found child as (it) sleeps)



## Figure 6.6. Predicative complement

Poslední volby vyhrál programem postaveným jako negace programu minulého. (=lit. Last election (he) won with_program build as negation (of) program former)



## 6.1.2. Non-dependency edges

Some edges in a tectogrammatical tree do not represent dependency. We establish such non-dependency edges in a tectogrammatical tree in order to represent parataxis and some other specific syntactic relations.

A non-dependency edge is:

A. the edge between the root node of the represented sentence and the technical root node of the tectogrammatical tree (nodetype = root).

It is an auxiliary edge (of technical nature) without any linguistic interpretation.

### B. the edge between the effective root node of an independent clause and its mother node.

Functors for effective root nodes of independent clauses (PRED, DENOM, PARTL, VOCAT, PAR; see Section 8.1, "Functors for effective roots of independent clauses") express non-dependency and they determine the clause type. The edge to the mother node only integrates the particular nodes (subtrees) into a tectogrammatical tree.

### C. edges in paratactic structures:

- a. the edge between the root of the paratactic structure (nodetype = coap) and its mother node,
- b. the edge between a paratactic structure root node and a direct element of the paratactic structure,

c. the edge between a paratactic structure root node and the root of a shared modifier.

Dependency between modifications within a paratactic structure is always represented by two edges at least. For example, the dependency of a terminal element of a paratactic structure on its governing node is indicated - in a simple, non-embedded paratactic structure - by a combination of type a) and b) edges. In an embedded paratactic structure, the dependency of a terminal element of the paratactic structure on its governing node is indicated by a set of b) type edges and one a) type edge. Dependency of a shared modifier of terminal elements is indicated by a combination of type b) and c) edges. For more on paratactic structures (including definitions of the terms used) see Section 6.4, "Parataxis".

## D. edges in list structures:

- a. the edge between the root of a list structure (nodetype = list) and its mother node.
- b. the edge between the root of a list structure and an item of the list (nodetype=fphr) or the root of an identifying expression (either functor = ID, or nodetype = coap).
- c. the edge between the root node of the list structure and the root node of the modifier of the list.

Edges between nodes in list structure have various meanings depending on the type of the list structure. In a list structure for foreign-language expressions, type b) edges only collect individual nodes into a list (they do not express dependency) while type a) edges express dependency of the entire list structure (the foreign-language segment as a whole) on its governing node. In a list structure for identifying expressions, dependency is represented by both type a) and b) edges. Type a) edges express dependency of the entire identification structure; type b) edges express a special kind of dependency of the effective root of the identifying expression (the nominative of identity). Type c) edges represent in both cases dependency on all items of the list as a whole.

For more on list structures for foreign-language expressions see Section 7.4, "Foreign-language expressions". For more on list structures for identifying expressions see Section 7.3.1, "Identification structure".

## E. the edge between an atomic node and its mother node.

Edges above atomic nodes (nodetype = atom) integrate these nodes into the tree. Their meaning varies depending on the functor of the particular atomic node. For more on the functors of atomic nodes see Section 8.7, "Functors for rhematizers, sentence, linking and modal adverbials". For more on rhematizers see Section 10.4, "Rhematizers".

### F. the edge between a node the functor of which is DPHR or CPHR and its mother node.

A node the functor of which is DPHR or CPHR expresses the fact that it constitutes a single lexical item together with its mother node (such a lexical item is usually represented by a single node). Therefore, the edge expresses rather the fact that the expressions belong together than dependency. For more details see Section 7.1, "Multi-word lexical units".





Navštívíme i známý hrad (Karlštejn) a zámek (Hluboká). (=lit. (We) shall_visit also famous castle (Karlštejn) and manor (Hluboká).)





Přispíval do britských Financial Times. (=lit. (He) was_contributing to British Financial Times.)

## 6.1.3. Ambiguous dependency

It is not always unambiguous what certain adjuncts (expressed by adverbs or prepositional phrases) are dependent on: they do not have to modify only one modification in the sentence but rather they can modify several modifications at the same time. Precise rules for cases of ambiguous dependency are still to be proposed; the following present only a temporary solution.

The basic annotation rule is as follows:

• if a free modification (expressed by a prepositional phrase or adverb) modifies a verb, it is dependent on this verb whether it enters into other semantic relations or not.

The cases of the so-called dual function are the only exception.

## Examples:

*Starý muž <u>přišel</u> v otrhaném <u>kabátě</u>. (=The old man came in a shabby coat.)* 

<u>Přecházel</u> po pokoji <u>neklidně</u>. (=He was pacing the room restlessly.)

**Dual function of a single modification**. In those structures in which a modification has a dual (or multiple) function (i.e. it modifies several modifications at the same time but it is present only once in the surface structure, for stylistic or other reasons), such a modification depends on the node representing the lowest modification modified by it and it is assigned the functor adequate to its real position. There is no explicit indication that the modification has a dual function. Například:

Koupila jsem si pásek za sedmdesát korun. (=I bought a belt for seventy crowns.)

= Koupila jsem si za sedmdesát korun.MEANS pásek za sedmdesát korun.RSTR (=lit. (I) bought AUX myself for seventy crowns (a) belt for seventy crowns.) Fig. 6.9

Splatil dluhy pojišťovně. (=He repaid his debts to the insurance company.)

= Splatil pojišťovně.ADDR dluhy pojišťovně.ADDR (=lit. (He) paid_back (to) (the) insurance company (his) debts (to) (the) insurance_company.) Fig. 6.10

**Mutual relation of two or more locative/directional or temporal modifications**. Also modifications with the same function (temporal or locative/directional), adjacent in the surface word order, enter into unclear semantic relations. In principle, there are the following three cases:

a. **apposition of two temporal or locative/directional modifications**. Only those combinations are considered appositions in which the individual modifications are separated by a comma or appositional conjunction; e.g.:

Zůstal doma, v Krkonoších. (=He stayed at home, in Krkonoše.)

- b. **one temporal or locative/directional modification dependent on another**. The following cases are considered cases of one modification dependent on another:
  - one modification has the genitive form. For example:

Přijel ve čtvrtek 5. ledna. APP 1997. (=He came on Thursday 5th of January. GEN) Fig. 6.11

• cases of the so-called **extent or time-span accusative** further modified by a prepositional phrase. For example:

Leží to dva kilometry.LOC od řeky.DIR1 (=It is 2 km far from the river.) Fig. 6.12

*Oblékla se <u>půl</u>.*TWHEN *hodiny <u>před začátkem</u>.*TWHEN *představení. (=She got dressed half an hour before the beginning.)* 

• one modification is required by the valency of the other. Similar to these are cases in which the second modification (expressed by a prepositional phrase) is a **more or less valency modification** with respect to the preceding modification (expressed by an adverb). For example:

Přijel <u>brzy</u>. TWHEN <u>po Vánocích</u>. TWHEN (=He came soon after the Christmas.)

Odehrálo se to <u>daleko</u>.LOC <u>od Moskvy</u>.DIR1 (=It took place far from Moscow.)

c. **several sister modifications**. If there are two (or more) temporal, locative/directional (or other) modifications present in the construction at the same time and each of the modifications is relatively independent, the entire construction is represented as involving two or more sister modifications dependent on the same governing node (with the same functor): their order can be changed without any change in meaning; any of the modifications usually relate to the same situational moment and to the same location; one of them gives a general information and the other one is more specific. For example:

Sejdeme se na Hlavním nádraží.LOC v hale.LOC (=We'll meet at the Main Station in the hall.) Fig. 6.13

Přijeli <u>v únoru</u>. TWHEN <u>v roce</u>. TWHEN 1999. (=They came in February 1999.)

**Dependency relations in noun phrases (concord of two nouns)**. Also noun phrases which consist of a sequence of nouns in the same form (not in apposition) present a case of ambiguous semantic relations. Precise rules are available for two-member noun phrases, in which one of the nouns is a proper noun, and for bigger noun phrases denoting persons, in which one of the nouns in the sequence is the name of the person:

a. **the noun phrase is a name of a person**. If a two-member noun phrase is a name of a single person, the node representing the proper noun is the governing node of the entire phrase. The node representing the common noun depends on the node for the proper noun and has the RSTR functor; e.g.:

Dej to našemu <u>řediteli.RSTR Novákovi</u>. (=Give it to our director Novák.) Fig. 6.14

**Bigger noun phrases**. In bigger noun phrases denoting persons in which one of the nouns is the name of the person, all common nouns depend on the proper noun and have the RSTR functor; e.g.:

<u>o nebožtíku</u>.RSTR <u>panu</u>.RSTR <u>kormidelníkovi</u>.RSTR <u>Janu</u>.RSTR <u>Landgermanovi</u> (=lit. about deceased Mr. steersman Jan Landgerman.)

b. **the noun phrase is not a name of a person**. If the noun phrase is a name of an animal, an inanimate object or another phenomenon, the governing node is a common noun. The node for the proper noun depends on the common noun and has the RSTR functor; e.g.:

<u>Na řece</u> <u>Vltavě</u>.RSTR jezdí parníky. (=There are steamboats on the Vltava river.) Fig. 6.15

Other types of noun phrases with several nouns in the same form (e.g.: <u>O nebožtíku panu kormidelníkovi se už</u> nemluvilo. (=lit. About deceased Mr. steersman REFL any_more not_talked)) were analyzed on the basis of the context and the rules above but the decision was up to the annotator. The node representing one of the inflected nouns is chosen to be the effective root of the entire noun phrase. The other nodes depend on this effective root node as its modifications and their functor is RSTR.

#### Figure 6.9. Dual function of a single modification

Koupila jsem si pásek za sedmdesát korun. (=lit. (I) bough AUX REFL belt for seventy crowns.)

#### Figure 6.10. Dual function of a single modification

Splatil dluhy pojišťovně. (=lit. (He) paid_off (his) debts (to) (the) insurance_company.)



#### Figure 6.11. Mutual relation of two temporal modifications

Přijel ve čtvrtek 5. ledna 1997. (=lit. (He) came on Thursday 5th (of) January 1997.)



# Figure 6.12. Mutual relation of two locative/directional modifications

Leží to dva kilometry od řeky. (=lit. Is_situated it two kilometers from river.)



## Figure 6.13. Mutual relation of two locative/directional modifications

Sejdeme se na Hlavním nádraží v hale. (=lit. (We) will_meet REFL at Main Station in hall.)



#### Figure 6.14. Nouns in the same form

Dej to našemu řediteli Novákovi. (=lit. Give it (to) our director Novák.)





Na řece Vltavě jezdí parníky. (=lit. On river Vltava go steamboats.)

# 6.2. Valency

Valency modifications (in the broad sense of the word) include all kinds of elements that can modify a particular lexical unit (or rather a lexical unit in a particular meaning). The term **valency** is, however, used in its narrower sense here, namely: the **valency modifications** of a lexical unit are only its arguments and obligatory adjuncts (for the distinction see Section 6.2.1, "Criteria for distinguishing different kinds of modifications"). These modifications are always specified in the valency frame of the lexical unit (see Section 6.2.4, "Valency frames and the way they are recorded in the valency lexicon").

# 6.2.1. Criteria for distinguishing different kinds of modifications

**Arguments and adjuncts**. Any modification can be classified as either an inner participant (argument) or free modification (adjunct) - according to the type of dependency:

- free modifications are such modifications that can if it is not excluded for semantic reasons modify any verb (word) and they can modify a particular verb (word) more than once.
- inner participants (arguments in the sequel) are such modifications that can modify a given verb only once (except for the case of coordination) and they only modify a more or less closed class of verbs that can be listed.

It seems that there are the following five (verbal) arguments:

Actor (ACT), Patient (PAT), Addressee (ADDR), Origo (ORIG), Effect (EFF).

With nouns, there is one more argument: MAT. Other types of verbal modifications are considered to be adjuncts, corresponding to temporal, locative/directional, manner and other kinds of adverbials (for the list of recognized functors and their definitions, see Chapter 8, *Functors and subfunctors*).

**Obligatory and optional modifications**. A certain type of modification is either an argument, or an adjunct in all its occurences. With respect to its governing element, the given modification can be either **obligatory**, i.e. obligatorily present in the deep structure of the sentence, or **optional**, i.e. not necessarily present. The obligatory - optional distinction does not apply to the individual types of modifications directly; it expresses their relation to particular lexical units (their governing verbs/nouns/adjectives..).

For determing whether a given modification is obligatory or optional, the so called dialogue test is used. **The dialogue test** helps to determine which arguments and adjuncts are obligatory and which are optional. It is used whenever a modification is not present at the surface level but when it can be hypothesized that it is in fact (semantically) obligatory. The dialogue test is based on the difference between questions asking about something that is supposed to be known to the speaker - because it follows from the meaning of the verb he/she has used, and questions about something that does not necessarilly follow from the meaning of the used verb. Answering a question about a semantically obligatory modification of a particular verb, the speaker - who has used the verb cannot say: *I don't know*. Cf. the following dialogues.

## Obligatoriness of an argument:

- a. A: Když to viděl, koupil to. (=When he saw it he bought it)
  B: Kdo? (=Who?)
  A: *Nevím. (=*I don't know.)
- b. A: Když to viděl, koupil to. (=When he saw it he bought it.)
  B: Komu? (=For whom?)
  A: Nevím. (=I don't know.)
- c. A: Když to viděl, koupil to. (=When he saw it he bought it.)
  B: Od koho? (=From whom?)
  A: Nevím. (=I don't know.)

The verb *koupit* (=*buy*) has four arguments: Actor, Patient, Addressee and Origo. With the help of the dialogue test, it can be determined which of these arguments are obligatory and which are optional. In dialogue a), the speaker A cannot answer the question *Kdo?* (=*Who?*) by saying *Nevím* (=*I don't know*). The dialogue would not make sense, then. On the contrary, the speaker does not have to know answers to the questions *Komu?* (=*For/to whom?*) and *Od koho?* (=*From whom?*) in the dialogues b) and c). These modifications are contained in the meaning of the verb, but not necessarily; they are optional. The Patient is obligatory both at the surface and deep levels.

## **Obligatoriness of an adjunct**:

- a. A: Moji přátelé přijeli. (=My friends have arrived)
  B: Kam? (=Where to?)
  A: *Nevím. (=*I don't know.)
- b. A: Moji přátelé přijeli. (=My friends have arrived)
  B: Odkud? Proč? (=Where from? Why?)
  A: Nevím. (=I don't know.)

For the verb  $p\check{r}ijet$  (=come/arrive), the modification answering the question Kam? (=Where to?) is obligatory, which can be seen from the impossibility of answering the question by saying Nevím (=I don't know). The speaker has used the verb  $p\check{r}ijet$ , so it would make no sense to answer the question about the goal by saying Nevím (=I don't know). On the contrary, the speaker does not have to know answers to the questions Odkud? (=Where from?) and Proč? (=Why?) in dialogue b). The modification answering the question Kam? (=Where to?) is an adjunct; it is, however, obligatory for the verb  $p\check{r}ijet$ .

**Structure of a valency frame**. By combining the criteria for distinguishing between arguments and adjuncts with the criteria for distinguishing between obligatory and optional modifications, we get four possibilities. **Valency frames**, representing individual meanings of words, contain all arguments of the given word and those adjuncts that are obligatory in the given meaning (cf. the three pluses in Table 6.1, "**Structure of a valency frame**").

Every verb has at least one valency frame - and often more, with one frame corresponding to one meaning of the verb.

### Table 6.1. Structure of a valency frame

	Obligatory modifications	Non-obligatory (optional) modifications
Arguments	+	+
Adjuncts	+	-

## 6.2.2. Argument shifting principle

When determining the argument type, with the Actor (ACT) and Patient (PAT) we primarily use syntactic criteria; with the other arguments also semantic criteria. For the discussion of the semantics of the individual arguments (and their definitions), see Section 8.2, "Argument functors". It holds that:

- A. the first argument is always the Actor, the second one is the Patient. From this, it follows that:
  - if a verb has only one argument, it is the Actor (ACT) regardless of its exact semantic relation to the verb.
  - if a verb has two arguments, they are the Actor (ACT) and the Patient (PAT).

**Determining the first and the second argument**. When determining which argument is the first one (i.e. the Actor), the basic rule is that the Actor occupies the subject position, i.e. the structural nominative position. Only if one of the arguments is in the dative case and the other one in the nominative case, the semantics of the arguments comes into account. If the argument in dative refers to the Experiencer (or Agent), we consider the argument the Actor and the argument in the nominative the Patient; cf.:

### Kniha.PAT se mi.ACT líbila. (=I liked the book.)

*Naše <u>výrobky</u>*.ACT se vyrovnají cizím <u>výrobkům</u>.PAT (=Our products are as good as products from other countries; lit. Our products.NOM REFL keep_pace_with/are_a_match_for foreign products.DAT)

# B. if a verb has more than two arguments, the semantics is important for determining the third and any other argument.

As a consequence of this, the so called argument shifting takes place. Argument shifting (cf. Fig. 6.16):

a. means that if a verb has no argument in its valency frame that bears the cognitive role of an Agent (or another role typical for the first participant - Actor), its position is taken up by the Patient (i.e. what would be assigned the Patient functor under usual circumstances); e.g.

Kniha.ACT vyšla. (=The book was published.)

b. if a verb subcategorizing for two arguments has no argument that bears the cognitive role of a Patient, another argument takes up its position (=is assigned the Patient label/functor). The following rule applies:

• if a verb has a potential Addressee/Origo and a potential Effect but has no Patient-like argument, then the Patient position is taken up by the Effect-like argument. The Addressee and/or Origo-like arguments do not undergo any shifting. For example:

Petr.ACT vykopal jámu.PAT (=Petr has dug out a hole.)

Jan.ACT vyspěl z jinocha.ORIG <u>v muže</u>.PAT (=Jan grew up into a man - he is not a child any more; lit. Jan grew up from adolescent into man.)

• if a verb has no Effect-like argument, the Patient position is taken up by the cognitive Addressee/Origo (i.e. they shift to the position of the Patient). For example:

*Učitel*.ACT vyvolal <u>žáka</u>.PAT (=The teacher asked a pupil to answer a question; lit. Teacher called_upon pupil.)

<u>Z</u> banálního <u>nachlazení</u>.PAT se vyvinulo závažné onemocnění.ACT (=A slight/banal cold developed into a serious illness; lit. From banal cold REFL developed serious illness.)

## Figure 6.16. Argument shifting principle



**NB!** The shifting only concerns the arguments. Adjuncts do not shift to argument positions. An adjunct that is obligatory for a given verb (according to the criteria in Section 6.2.1, "Criteria for distinguishing different kinds of modifications") is always assigned an adjunct-like functor; e.g.:

Petr.ACT přijel do Prahy.DIR3 (=Petr came to Praha.)

The argument shifting applies to valency frames of all verbs, with the exception of complex predicates (for the discussion see Section 6.2.4.2, "Valency frames of idiomatic expressions and complex predicates"). Argument shifting also does not apply to valency frames of nouns and adjectives not referring to events and to valency frames of adverbs.

# 6.2.3. Relations between verb meanings and valency frames

Verbs usually have more than one meaning: **each meaning is assigned a separate valency frame**. This principle is violated in cases of. **competing valency modifications**. These are cases in which one of the valency positions may be occupied by modifications of different functors while the meaning of the verb is preserved. The potential competition arises either between an argument and adjunct or among different types of adjuncts. There are two ways to deal with these cases:

A. the basic way is to **introduce the concept of modification alternatives**. So far, this is the solution adopted only for the cases of different types of manner adjuncts competing for the same position.

For example, the valency frame for one of the meanings of the verb *chovat se* (=behave):

ACT(.1) MANN(*)|CRIT(*)|ACMP(*)|BEN(*)|CPR(*)

chová se laskavě.MANN (=his behavior is kind); ch. se podle pravidel.CRIT (=he behaves according to the rules); ch. se otrocky.CPR (=his behavior is slavish); ch. se bezchybně.ACMP (=his behavior is flawless); ch. se ku prospěchu věci.BEN (=his behavior is for the good)

B. in other cases of competition:

competition of the Addressee and directional modification (*odebrat děti rodičům*.ADDR - *odebrat děti od rodičů*.DIR1 (=take away the children from their parents)),

competition between locative and directional modifications (*umístit obrázek na nástěnku*.DIR3 - *umístit obrázek na nástěnce*.LOC (=place the picture on the notice board))

the given meaning of the verb is assigned **as many valency frames as there are competing modifications**. The basic principle: one meaning - one valency frame is violated here.

Cf. the three valency frames for one of the meanings of the verb *podat (=submit)*:

ACT(.1) PAT(.4) ADDR(.3) podali své listiny úřadu (=they submitted their documents at the office)

ACT(.1) PAT(.4) DIR3(*)

podali své listiny na úřad

ACT(.1) PAT(.4) LOC(*)

podali své listiny na úřadě

# 6.2.4. Valency frames and the way they are recorded in the valency lexicon

A valency frame record in the valency lexicon (PDT-VALLEX) is a sequence of records of the individual valency modifications (types of dependents), separated by spaces. Valency modification alternatives (see Section 6.2.3, "Relations between verb meanings and valency frames") are separated by the | mark. The lexical meaning linked to a given valency frame is specified by examples; often, synonyms and antonyms are provided, too, or aspectual counterparts, if possible. In the example part of a valency frame record, one can also occasionally find so called typical adjuncts, i.e. those modifications that are not required (semantically obligatory) but which are typical for the given verb (noun, adjective) in the given meaning.

Valency modifications (in a valency frame) are presented in the following order: ACT, CPHR, DPHR, PAT, ADDR, ORIG, EFF, BEN, LOC, DIR1, DIR2, DIR3, TWHEN, TFRWH, TTILL, TOWH, TSIN, TFHL, MANN, MEANS, ACMP, EXT, INTT, MAT, APP, CRIT, REG. A valency modification record contains the information regarding the functor and surface form of the given modification (see Section 6.2.4.1, "Surface form of a valency modification"). The question mark preceding a functor specification indicates optionality; if the question mark is not present, the modification is obligatory.

Cf. the valency frame for one of the meanings of the verb *zmenšovat* (=*shrink/decrease*):

ACT(.1) PAT(.4) ?ORIG(z+2) ?EFF(na+4)

zmenšovat nájem z 8 na 6 tisíc (=to reduce the rent from 8 to 6 thousand)

z. objem odpadu o přijatelné procento.DIFF (=to reduce the waste volume by a reasonable amount/per cent)

**Empty valency frames**. Valency frames may also be empty, i.e. they may contain no valency positions. Such a valency frame is recorded as EMPTY.

## 6.2.4.1. Surface form of a valency modification

The surface form of a valency modification is the form as found at the analytical level. The surface form specification involves:

A. **indication of the syntactic dependency**. To indicate dependency, square brackets ([]) are used; sister nodes are separated by a comma (,). The notation is, then:

governing-node[dependent-node1, dependent-node2]

B. **indication of the part-of-speech and morphemic properties**. The requirements regarding the word class (part of speech) and morphemics of individual nodes are encoded in an abbreviated form (using one symbol for each category), introduced after a period or colon, in the following order: part of speech, gender, number, case, degree. If a surface-level category is not specified, it means that the given valency modification may get any value of the category.

In some cases, surface-form specifications include also information regarding the analytical forms (lemmas) of certain dependent (analytical) nodes; prepositions, subordinating conjunctions and also dependent parts of

idiomatic expressions. For the sake of simplicity, when specifying what kind of prepositional phrase is required by a given verb, an abbreviated form is used. For example, na+4 is short for: na-1[.4].

Examples of surface-form specifications:

accusative:.4 adjective in the instrumental: .a7 possessive pronoun or adjective: .u numeral: .m pronoun: .p infinitive: .f adverb: .d interjection: .i direct speech: .s masculine: .M feminine: .F neuter: .N singular: .S plural .P (asyndetic) content clause (a subordinate clause beginning with a relative pronoun/adverb): .c dependent clause, with any kind of conjunction: j[.v] dependent clause with the conjunction  $\underline{z}e$ :  $\underline{z}e[.v]$ preposition o and a noun in the locative: o+6the multi-word preposition *na rozdíl od* plus a noun in the genitive: od[na,rozdíl,.2]

A surface form of an obligatory adjunct is usually not specified, which means that usual forms can be used. This is indicated by the star symbol (*), which is used instead of the explicit specification of the surface form. With arguments, the surface forms are always specified.

**Regular changes in the surface form (not indicated in the valency frame)**. A surface-form specification contains all variants found in the analyzed data. A number of surface forms are the result of a productive (syntactic) process, however. These derived forms are not specified in the valency frame. This concerns especially the following cases:

a. **passivization**. Valency frames only specify those surface forms that occur in active sentences. For example:

Stavební <u>firma</u>.ACT postavila <u>dům</u>.PAT (=The building company has built a house.)

Passive: <u>Dům</u>.PAT byl postaven stavební <u>firmou</u>.ACT (=The house.NOM was built by a building company.IN-STR)

The valency frame of the verb *postavit* (=build):ACT(.1) PAT(.4) ?ORIG(z+2)

b. **resultative**. The surface form variants that are the result of a verb occuring in a resultative construction are not specified in the verb's valency frame. For example:

<u>Otec</u>.ACT pronajal auto <u>sousedovi</u>.ADDR (=Father rented out a car to a neighbour.)

Resultative: <u>Soused</u>.ADDR má auto pronajato <u>od otce/otcem</u>.ACT (=lit. Neighbour.NOM has car rented from/by Father.)

The valency frame of the verb *pronajmout (=rent out)*: ACT(.1) PAT(.4) ADDR(.3)

c. **dispositional modality**. The surface form variants that are the result of a verb occuring in a construction with the dispositional modality meaning are not specified in the verb's valency frame. For example:

<u>Žáci</u>.ACT počítají <u>příklady</u>.PAT (=The pupils are doing exercises.)

Dispositional modality construction: <u>*Příklady*</u>.PAT <u>se žákům</u>.ACT počítají dobře.MANN (=lit. Examples.NOM REFL pupils.DAT count/do well.)

The valency frame of the verb *počítat* (=count): ACT(.1) PAT(.4,že[.v],zda[.v],jestli[.v],.v[kolik])

d. **forms used for expressing subtle shifts in the meaning of arguments**. The basic form of an argument (e.g. nominative for the Actor or accusative for the Patient) may be replaced by another form if a slightly different/more specific meaning is to be expressed. These forms are used for a given meaning regularly, therefore they are not mentioned as possible forms of individual valency modifications. For example:

Deset knih.ACT leží na stole. (=Ten books are lying on the table.)

 <u>Okolo</u> deseti <u>knih</u>.ACT leží na stole. (=Around ten books are lying on the table.)

 <u>Kolem</u> deseti <u>knih</u>.ACT leží na stole. (=Around ten books are lying on the table.)

 <u>Přes</u> deset <u>knih</u>.ACT leží na stole. (=More than ten books are lying on the table.)

 <u>K</u> deseti <u>knihám</u>.ACT leží na stole. (=Something like ten books are lying on the table.)

 <u>Po</u> deseti <u>knihách</u>.ACT leží na stole. (=Ten books are lying on each table.)

 <u>Po</u> deseti <u>knihách</u>.ACT leží na stole. (=Ten books are lying on each table.)

 The valency frame of the verb ležet (=lie): ACT(.1) LOC(*)

The presented meanings (partitivity, distributivity, approximation) are going to be represented by subfunctors (assigned to the arguments) in a future version of PDT.

e. **reciprocity**. The fact that there is a reciprocal meaning in the sentence is signalled by the presence of *se* (*mezi* sebou, k sobě (=lit. among themselves, to themselves; meaning: with/to/... each other); a typical form used for expressing reciprocity is the form *mezi*+7. These expressions are understood as formal means of expressing reciprocity; they are not recorded in valency frames (i.e. in their surface-form specification part). For example:

*jednání premiéra s prezidentem (=lit. negotiation (of) Prime_minister with President)*  $\rightarrow$  *jednání mezi premiérem a prezidentem (=lit. negotiation between Prime_minister and President)* 

The valency frame of the noun *jednání* (=negotiation): ACT(.2,.u) PAT(o+6) ADDR(s+7)

f. **numeral+noun constructions**. Certain numeral+noun constructions (see Section 7.2, "Numbers and numerals") are analyzed in such a way that the formally dependent noun (in the genitive) is understood as the governing node of the construction whereas the formally governing numeral is taken to be the dependent node. The genitive form is not included in the surface form specification. For example:

<u>Dívky</u>.ACT koupily dětem <u>čokoládu</u>.PAT (=The girls bought the children some chocolate.ACC)

*Pět <u>dívek</u>*.ACT koupilo dětem hodně <u>čokolády</u>.PAT (=*Five girls bought*.*GEN the children a lot of chocolate*.*GEN*)

The valency frame of the verb *koupit (=buy)*: ACT(.1) PAT(.4) ?ADDR(.3,pro+4) ?ORIG(od+2)

# 6.2.4.2. Valency frames of idiomatic expressions and complex predicates

Idiomatic expressions (see Section 7.1.2, "Idioms") and complex predicates (see Section 7.1.1.4, "Complex predicates") represent more complex cases; their dependent part is included in the valency frames as one of the valency modifications (functor = CPHR or DPHR).

**Valency frames of idiomatic expressions**. When specifying the surface form of the dependent part of an idiomatic expression, it is necessary to capture the following facts: how many parts (words) the dependent part has, what are their morphological categories and often also the precise lexical content of these parts. There is a convention adopted for encoding these requirements.

Examples of valency frames for idioms:

The valency frame for the verbal idiom: *lapat po dechu (=gasp for breath)*:

ACT(.1) DPHR(po-1[dech.S6])

lapat po dechu

The valency frame for the verbal idiom: *běhat mráz po zádech (=approx.: give sb the creeps, the experiencer is in the dative, the source is a PP)*:

ACT(.3) DPHR(mráz.S1,po-1[záda:P6])

mráz mi běhal po zádech (=it was giving me the creeps)

**Valency frames of complex predicates**. For the establishment of valency frames for the verbal component of a complex predicate two basic rules are to be followed:

• the nominal component of the complex predicate (with the functor CPHR) is recorded as a member of the valency frame, as is its valency modification.

All complex predicates that have the same verb in their verbal part and the nominal part of which may be formed by various synonyms and antonyms are assigned the same valency frame. In the surface-form specification of the nominal part of a complex predicate (with the CPHR functor), first, the set of synonyms and antonyms is given in curly brackets and only after this enumeration the representation of the forms follows. The list of the synonyms and antonyms (their lemmas) ends with three dots, which indicates that the list is not exhaustive; it only comprises the cases collected so far.

• in the valency frame of the complex predicate there is no argument shifting.

The valency frame of a verb which is part of a complex predicate is always considered in relation to the valency frame for the unmarked use of the verb. A new implementation of the shifting principle (actually, its doubling) would blur the relationships between equivalent valency positions in the two valency frames: With complex predicates, one valency position (Actor or Patient) of the unmarked valency frame becomes the nominal part of the predicate and it is assigned the functor CPHR. Other valency positions are, in the majority of cases, taken from the valency frame for the unmarked (semantically non-empty) use without change. They may, however, undergo certain modifications: when part of a complex predicate, the verb may acquire another valency modification or lose one of those it has in the unmarked use.

Examples:

<u>Vedoucí</u>.ACT dal <u>podřízenému</u>.ADDR <u>výplatu</u>.PAT (=The manager gave his subordinate his salary.)

The valency frame for one of the meanings of the predicate dát (=to give): ACT(.1) PAT(.4) ADDR(.3).

<u>Vedouci</u>.ACT dal <u>podřízenému</u>.ADDR <u>příkaz</u>.CPHR přijít. (=The manager gave his subordinate the order to come.)

The valency frame for the complex predicate *dát příkaz (=to give an order)*: ACT(.1) CPHR({pověření, podpora, souhlas, zpráva, impuls, odpověď, možnost, příkaz, naděje, popud, příčina, právo, příležitost, signál, šance,...}.4) ADDR(.3).

Udělal tuto část. PAT diplomové práce. (=He did this part of the thesis)

The valency frame of the predicate in the unmarked use  $ud\check{e}lat$  (=do): ACT(.1) PAT(.4).

Udělal <u>na mě</u>.ADDR <u>dojem</u>.CPHR (=He made an impression on me)

The valency frame of the complex predicate *udělat dojem (=to make an impression)*: ACT(.1) CPHR({dojem,...}.4) ADDR(na+4).

No special valency frame is assumed for the noun that is part of a complex predicate. The nominal part carries the meaning of the complex predicate; the noun enters the complex predicate with its "full" meaning (unlike the verb, which becomes semantically empty), and thus it also has an "unimpoverished" valency frame.

## 6.2.4.3. Valency lexicon

The valency lexicon contains valency frames of semantic verbs, nouns, adjectives and adverbs. Individual valency frames are clustered on the basis of what t-lemma they are related to (for the discussion of t-lemmas see Chapter 4, *Tectogrammatical lemma (t-lemma)*).

The valency lexicon was being constituted during the annotation; therefore, only those verbs, nouns, adjectives and adverbs - i.e. those of their meanings - are included which occured in the analyzed data. For example, if a verb has two different valency frames in the lexicon, it means that these two meanings of the verb were found in the analyzed data; however, the given verb may have other meanings (i.e. other valency frames), too.

The current version of the valency lexicon contains:

- valency frames of all semantic verbs (and verbal idioms) found in the analyzed data.
- valency frames of those semantic nouns which constitute the nominal part of complex predicates (i.e. those with the CPHR functor), found in the analyzed data.
- valency frames of those semantic nouns, adjectives and adverbs that have at least one argument as their daughter node, i.e. a node with one of the following functors: ACT, PAT, ADDR, EFF, ORIG.
- valency frames for non-verbal idioms if the governing node is either a semantic adverb or a semantic noun.
- valency frames for non-verbal idioms if the governing node is a semantic verbal noun (a noun ending with *-ni* or *-ti*). Other nouns that function as the governing nodes of idiomatic expressions are included in the valency lexicon only selectively.

**NB!** Only complex nodes' t-lemmas are included in the valency lexicon (nodetype = complex). T-lemmas of traditional verbs, nouns, adjectives and adverbs the nodetype attribute of which has a value other than complex

(according to the rules in Chapter 3, *Node types*) are not included in the valency lexicon (even if they have arguments).

## 6.2.5. Representing valency in the tectogrammatical trees

The valency of a node is represented in a tectogrammatical tree in the following way:

- by assigning the node an adequate valency frame (from the valency lexicon). The val_frame.rf attribute (see Section A.2.22, "val_frame.rf") contains the identifier assigned to the valency frame corresponding to the given meaning of the given word.
- **by filling in the valency frame** in the tectogrammatical tree. Filling in the valency frame in a tectogrammatical tree means assigning functors to the dependent valency modifications (according to the assigned valency frame) and generating new nodes for those obligatory modifications that are not present at the surface level of the sentence. The rules for adding new nodes (for obligatory modifications) into a tectogrammatical tree are described mainly in Section 6.6.1.2, "Ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit".

The present state of valency representation in PDT. Not every case of valency requirements is represented properly in the tree structures. Valency is represented properly with the following groups of complex nodes (checked nodes):

all semantic verbs,

all semantic verbal nouns (ending with -ni and -ti) that are included in the valency lexicon.

all semantic nouns that represent the nominal part of a complex predicate (i.e. for all semantic nouns with the CPHR functor),

all semantic adverbs included in the valency lexicon.

For the checked nodes it can be guaranteed that:

- they are assigned the appropriate valency frame. The value in the val_frame.rf attribute is valid (which might not be the case with unchecked nodes).
- their valency frames are filled in, i.e. the dependent modifications are assigned the appropriate functors and new nodes are generated if necessary (i.e. nodes for non-expressed obligatory modifications).

# 6.3. Clauses (governing, dependent, verbal, non-verbal)

Sentences (represented by tectogrammatical trees) are formed by one or more clauses. Annotation of clauses differs depending on whether the clause is verbal or non-verbal (see Section 6.3.1, "Verbal and non-verbal clauses"), and on the kind of dependency (see Section 6.3.2, "Dependent and independent clauses (clause connecting)").

## 6.3.1. Verbal and non-verbal clauses

The following types of clauses are distinguished, on the basis of their governing node (effective root):

verbal clauses (see Section 6.3.1.1, "Verbal clauses"), non-verbal clauses (see Section 6.3.1.2, "Non-verbal clauses").

## 6.3.1.1. Verbal clauses

**Verbal clause** is such a clause the governing node of which is a finite verb form or other forms with the function of a verbal predicate. There are both dependent and independent verbal clauses.

The effective root node of an independent verbal clause has the PRED functor. If an independent verbal clause is a parenthesis (see Section 6.5, "Parenthesis"), its effective root has the PAR functor (see Section 8.1, "Functors for effective roots of independent clauses"). Effective roots of dependent verbal clauses are assigned functors on the basis of their relation to their governing node.

The governing node (predicate) of a verbal clause can be:

• finite verb form.

Otec <u>spi</u>.PRED (=Father is sleeping.)

• non-finite verb form.

Sparta poražena. PRED ! (=Sparta defeated!)

Nevíme, kam jít.PAT (=We don't know where to go.)

• contextual ellipsis of a predicate (see Section 6.6.1.1, "Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit").

Otec spí, matka taky. = Otec spí, matka taky { <u>spí</u>.PRED} (=Father is sleeping, mother too.)

• grammatical ellipsis of a predicate. Also those clauses are considered verbal in which there is no verb but which contain morphologically dependent forms of words. These are called constructions with an empty verb. Their governing node is a newly established node for an empty verb, i.e. a node with the t-lemma substitute #EmpVerb (see Section 6.6.1.1, "Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit").

{#EmpVerb.PRED} Vodu! (=Water!)

{#EmpVerb.PRED} V Praze, v pět hodin. (=In Praha, at five o'clock.) Fig. 6.18

• **punctuation mark**. A punctuation mark is the governing node of a verbal clause in those cases in which it occupies the place of the missing verb and has its function. It is always possible to insert a simple verb (like  $b\dot{y}t$ ) into the clause and it is also possible to determine the function of the individual lexical units with respect to the missing verb.

```
Doprava: vlastní. [#Colon.PRED] (=lit. Transport: own.) Fig. 6.17
```

• **three dots**. Three dots are the governing element of a verbal clause if they signal that the clause is not finished and the predicate is not expressed.

Jenže... {#Period3.PRED} (=But...)

• **interjection**. An interjection is the governing node of a verbal clause only if it plays the role of a verbal predicate (otherwise it is the governing node of an interjectional clause Section 6.3.1.2, "Non-verbal clauses").

Zajíc hop.PRED do jámy. (=lit. Hare jump.interjection to hole.)

## Figure 6.17. Verbal clauses with a punctuation mark in the role of the predicate

Doprava: vlastní. (=lit. Transport: own.)



## Figure 6.18. Verbal clauses with ellipsis of the predicate

V Praze, v pět hodin. (=lit. In Praha, at five o'clock.)



## 6.3.1.2. Non-verbal clauses

**Non-verbal clause** is a clause whose governing node is not a verb. Non-verbal clauses are usually independent. They are dependent only in specific cases. Non-verbal clauses:

a. **nominative clauses**. The governing node of a nominative clause is a noun in the nominative (and other forms with the same function).

If a nominative clause is independent, its effective root has the DENOM functor. If an independent nominative clause is a parenthesis (see Section 6.5, "Parenthesis"), its effective root has the PAR functor (see Section 8.1, "Functors for effective roots of independent clauses"). In those specific cases in which the nominative clause is dependent (direct speech, nominative of identity), its effective root has the functor according to its relation to the governing node.

Examples of nominative clauses:

Důležitá událost. DENOM (=An important event.) Fig. 6.19

<u>Vltavská</u>.DENOM

1989. DENOM

10 <u>let</u>.DENOM (=10 years)

<u>čtk</u>.denom

b. vocative clauses. The governing node of a vocative clause is a noun in the vocative.

The effective root of a vocative clause has always the VOCAT functor (see Section 8.1, "Functors for effective roots of independent clauses"); the only exception are cases in which the vocative clause stands in the position of the nominative of identity (see Section 7.3, "Identifying expressions").

Examples:

Jirko.VOCAT (= Jirka! VOC) Fig. 6.20

Zeptali se: <u>Občane</u>.VOCAT, chceš dýchat čistý vzduch a mít také teplo? (=They asked: Citizen, do you want to breathe fresh air.?)

nápis Občane.ID (=lit. Inscription: Citizen.VOC)

c. interjectional clauses. The governing node of an interjectional clause is an interjection or a yes-no particle.

The effective root of an interjectional clause has always the VOCAT functor (see Section 8.1, "Functors for effective roots of independent clauses"); the only exception are cases in which the vocative clause stands in the position of the nominative of identity (see Section 7.3, "Identifying expressions").

Examples:

Pardon.PARTL (=Pardon me.) Fig. 6.21

Ano.PARTL (=Yes)

nápis <u>Aha</u>.ID (=lit. inscription: I_see)

Figure 6.19. Nominative clauses	Figure 6.20. Vocative clauses	Figure 6.21. Interjectional clauses
Důležitá událost. (=lit. Important event.)	Jirko! (=lit. Jirka! VOC)	Pardon.
	0	0
vudálost enunc f_DENOM n.denot fem.sg	o Jirka.enunc f_VOCAT n.denot anim.sg person_name	o pardon.enunc t_PARTL atom
t_RSTR adj.denot pos.neg0		

# 6.3.2. Dependent and independent clauses (clause connecting)

Verbal and non-verbal clauses can be combined in two ways: either by a dependency relation or in a non-dependency connection. There are:

- **independent clauses**, i.e. clauses the governing node of which is not dependent on any other node (of any clause). Independent clauses are both verbal and non-verbal.
- **dependent clauses**, i.e. clauses the governing node of which is dependent on another node (of another clause). Dependent clauses are mostly verbal clauses. In specific case they can also be non-verbal.

Non-dependency. Several types of non-dependency connections of verbal and non-verbal clauses are distinguished:

a. **paratactic connection**. The following combinations of clauses are considered paratactic (i.e. coordination or apposition; for the annotation rules see Section 6.4, "Parataxis"):

verbal clause + verbal clause	Kočka je.PRED [is_member = 1] savec, ale savcem je.PRED [is_member = 1] i velryba. (=The cat is a mammal but the whale is a mammal too.)
nominative clause + nominative clause	Jan <u>Novák</u> .DENOM [is_member = 1], <u>Brno</u> .DENOM [is_member = 1] Fig. 6.22

vocative clause + vocative clause	<i>Milý <u>Jirko</u>.</i> VOCAT [is_member = 1], <i>milý <u>Petře</u>.</i> VOCAT [is_member = 1]! ( <i>=Dear Jirka, dear Petr!</i> )
interjectional clause + interjectional clause	<pre>Cha.PARTL[is_member = 1], cha.PARTL[is_member = 1]</pre>
verbal clause + nominative clause	<u>Recenze</u> .DENOM [is_member = 1] knihy: Novou knihou <u>jsou</u> .PRED [is_member = 1] Rozbité obrazy. (=Review: Rozbité obrazy is a new book.) Fig. 6.23
vocative clause + interjectional clause	<u>Ach</u> .PARTL[is_member=1], <u>Jirko</u> .VOCAT[is_member= 1]!(=Oh,Jirka!)

b. **specific non-dependency relations**. The combination of a verbal or nominative clause and an interjectional or vocative clause is not considered a paratactic connection but it is a specific non-dependency relation. The effective root of the interjectional or vocative clause is represented as dependent on the effective root of the verbal or nominative clause. The fact that this is not a dependency relation follows from the functor of the effective root of the interjectional or vocative clause, which is always PARTL or VOCAT. The following combinations are represented this way:

verbal clause + interjectional clause	<i>Ejhle</i> .PARTL, to <i>byla</i> .PRED právě ta kapička. (=Oh, this was the droplet.) Fig. 6.24
verbal clause + vocative clause	Pane.VOCAT, <u>nehodlám</u> .PRED tu zůstat déle. (=Sir, I'm not staying any longer.) Fig. 6.25
nominative clause + interjectional clause	<u>Ach</u> .PARTL, ta prožluklá <u>jména</u> .DENOM (=Oh, those bloody names!)
nominative clause + vocative clause	Zavolal: <u>Jirko</u> .VOCAT, <u>voda</u> .PAT! (=He called: Jirka, water!)

c. **parenthesis**. Another case of non-dependency relations is the case of syntactically non-incorporated parenthesis. For the annotation rules see Section 6.5, "Parenthesis".

Dependency. The basic type of combining two clauses in a dependency relation is:

a. **a complex sentence**. Complex sentences are combinations of two or more verbal clauses in a dependency relation. This means:

governing verbal clause + dependent verbal	<u>Nevím</u> .PRED, proč <u>odešel</u> .PAT (=I don't know why he left.)
clause	

The effective root of the dependent verbal clause has the functor corresponding to the type of dependency. For more rules regarding dependent verbal clauses see Section 6.3.3, "Dependent verbal clauses (complex sentences)".

Specific cases of dependency relations:

- **dependent direct speech**. All types of clauses can be used in the position of direct speech. For the annotation rules see Section 7.5, "Direct speech".
- **nominative of identity**. All types of clauses can occur in the position of the nominative of identity. The effective root of any clause in the position of the nominative of identity has the ID functor. For detailed rules see Section 7.3, "Identifying expressions".

# Figure 6.22. Paratactic connection of two nominative Figure 6.23. Paratactic connection of a nominative clauses clause and a verbal clause





Recenze knihy: Novou knihou jsou Rozbité obrazy. (=lit. Review: New book is Broken pictures.)



# Figure 6.24. Connection of an interjectional clause and a verbal clause

*Ejhle, to byla právě ta kapička. (=lit. Lo_and_behold, this was just the droplet.)* 



# Figure 6.25. Connection of a vocative clause and a verbal clause

Pane, nehodlám tu zůstat déle. (=lit. Sir, I am not willing here to stay any longer.)



Dependent clause	Definition	Connective	Examples
Content clause	It stands for an argument of a word (verb, event noun) in the governing clause. The effective root has an argument functor.	subordinating conjunction relative expression Relative elements introdu- cing content clauses have no coreferred elements.	Řekl, <u>&lt;že&gt; přijde</u> .EFF (=He said he would come.) Zeptal se, <u>kdopřijde</u> .PAT (=He asked who was com- ing.)
Relative clause	It further specifies, modifes a noun phrase in the governing clause The effective root of the dependent clause has the RSTR functor.	relative expression connective <i>co</i> Relative elements introdu- cing relative clauses corefer with the modified noun (see Section 9.2, "Grammatical coreference").	Otázka, <u>která nebyla zod-</u> <u>povězena</u> .RSTR, si žádá odpověď. (=The question that was not answered) Ten kluk, <u><co></co></u> ho.PAT Jirka potkal.RSTR, bydlí v naší ulici. (=The boy Jirka met lives in our street.)
Adverbial clause	It is a temporal, locative/directional, manner or other modification of an element in the governing clause. The effective root of a dependent clause has an adjunct functor.	subordinating conjunction relative expression	< <u>Když&gt; bude</u> .COND hezky, půjdeme ven. (=If it is nice we'll go out.) Šel, <u>kam</u> ho nohy <u>nesly</u> .DIR3 (=He went where his feet took him.)

Table 6.2. Types of dependent verbal clauses

## 6.3.3. Dependent verbal clauses (complex sentences)

In a complex sentence, the following is distinguished:

- governing clause, i.e. the clause (a part of) which is modified by another clause.
- dependent clause, i.e. a clause that modifies another clause or its part.

The effective root of a dependent clause always depends on the effective root of the modified element. If a dependent clause modifies the content of the whole governing clause, its effective root node depends on the effective root of the governing clause. Three types of dependent verbal clauses are to be distinguished in the annotation (see Table 6.2, "Types of dependent verbal clauses").

## 6.3.3.1. Dependent verbal clauses without a finite verb form

Dependent verbal clauses with no finite verb form include:

dependent infinitival constructions, dependent participial constructions, transgressival constructions.

If there is a non-finite verb form in a dependent clause (instead of the finite verb form; i.e. the infinitive, participle, transgressive) this non-finite form is the effective root of the dependent clause. A dependent clause without a finite verb form can be:

- a. **an argument**. It is the predicative-complement-like position (i.e. there is dual dependency involved, see Section 6.1.1, "Dual dependency").
- b. a predicative complement (for the annotation rules see Section 6.1.1.1, "Predicative complement").
- c. **an adverbial clause**. In some exceptional cases, a dependent verbal clause without a finite verb form can also have an adverbial meaning, especially when introduced by a subordinating conjunction.

Transgressival constructions are always analyzed as predicative complements.

Examples:

Profesor, <u>inspirován</u>.COMPL článkem, přednášel o nových problémech. (=The professor, inspired by the article, held a lecture on the new issues.) Fig. 6.28

Zůstává inspirován. PAT článkem. (=He stays inspired by the article.)

Dům, ač <u>zadlužen</u>.CNCS, byl prodán velmi rychle . (=The house, although indebted, was sold very quickly.)

Máš dvě možnosti, jak získat. PAT peníze. (=You have got two possibilities how to get money.) Fig. 6.26

<u>Nebýt</u>.COND vás, nebyl bych tady. (=If it were not for you I wouldn't be here.)

Odešel, maje.COMPL vztek na celý svět. (=He left, being mad at the whole world.)

**Frozen infinitival and transgressival constructions**. The verb form in frozen infinitival and transgressival constructions (the subject of the transgressive does not agree with the subject of the governing clause) is considered an adverb sempos = adv), which has kept part of its verbal valency. Frozen verbal constructions are often interpreted as non-verbal idioms (see Section 7.1.2, "Idioms"). The t-lemma of a node representing a frozen verb form is the actual frozen form. The node for the frozen verb form is assigned a functor according to its position in the sentence. For example:

<u>Soudě</u>.COND podle ministra zahraničí, je to špatný výkon. (=Judging with the Minister, it is a bad performance.) Fig. 6.29

*Přijdu, co. DPHR nevidět.* TWHEN (=I'll come very soon, lit. what not to see.)

**NB!** Certain transgressival constructions have petrified to such an extent that they are considered secondary prepositions, e.g.:

*Pozvali všechny příbuzné <i>scyjma* jeho *bratra*.RESTR (*=They invited all the relatives except for his brother.*)

**Non-agreeing participial constructions**. Non-agreeing participial constructions are analyzed as conditional clauses (for syntactically incorporated parentheses, see Section 6.5, "Parenthesis"). For example:

*Upřímně <u>řečeno</u>*.COND, vybrala si špatného partnera. [is_parenthesis = 1] (=Frankly speaking, she has chosen a wrong partner.) Fig. 6.27

## Figure 6.26. Dependent infinitival constructions

Máš dvě možnosti, jak získat peníze. (=lit. (You) have two possibilities how to get money.)



## Figure 6.27. Non-agreeing participial constructions

Upřímně řečeno, vybrala si špatného partnera. (=Frankly said, (she) has_chosen REFL wrong partner.)



## Figure 6.28. Dependent participial constructions

Profesor, inspirován článkem, přednášel o nových problémech. (=lit. Professor, inspired by_the_article, lectured on new issues.)



Soudě podle ministra zahraničí, je to špatný výkon. (=Judging according_to Minister (of) Foreign_affairs, is it bad performance.)





**Constructions with adjectives introduced by subordinating conjunctions.** If an adjective modifying some modification is introduced by a subordinating conjunction, this construction is analyzed as a dependent verbal clause in which the predicate is omitted. A new node for the missing predicate is added to the tree (#EmpVerb) with the functor corresponding to the meaning of the conjunction. The node for the adjective depends on the node for the empty verb as its Patient. The dependent clause modifies either another adjective or a(n entire) noun phrase. For example:

*Má svůj hluboký*, <u><přestože></u>{#EmpVerb.CNCS} <u>zkarikovaný</u>.PAT smysl. (=It has its deep, though twisted sense.) Fig. 6.30

*Měřit něco platným*, <u>*spt*</u> {#EmpVerb.CNCS} <u>*spleteným*</u>.PAT *zákonem*. (=*To judge something with respect to a valid, though confusing law.*)

### Figure 6.30. Adjective introduced by a subordinating conjunction

Má svůj hluboký, přestože zkarikovaný smysl. (=lit. (It) has its deep, though twisted sense.)



## 6.3.3.2. Supporting elements

**Supporting elements** are pronominal expressions (pronouns, pronominal adverbs) in the governing clause that refer to the dependent clause and signal its function (by overt morphology).

Supporting elements do not have a node of their own. They are referred to in the a/aux.rf attribute of the effective root of the dependent clause. The effective root of the dependent clause is assigned a functor according to the meaning of the supporting element and the connective and depends on the effective root node of the governing clause.

**Correlative pairs**. Supporting elements form correlative pairs with the connectives in the dependent clause. These correlative pairs are divided into two groups according to whether the connective in the dependent clause is a subordinating conjunction or a relative expression (a pronoun or an adverb):

- A. **supporting element + conjunction**. When a supporting element and a conjunction constitute a correlative pair, the entire correlative pair is hidden in the tectogrammatical tree. the a/aux.rf attribute of the effective root node of the dependent clause contains a reference both to the supporting element and the conjunction.
- B. **supporting element + relative expression**. If the connective is a relative expression, only the supporting element is hidden in the tree: the a/aux.rf attribute of the effective root node of the dependent clause contains a reference to it. Relative expressions are always represented by a separate node.

The basic types of correlative pairs are described in Table 6.3, "Types of supporting elements".

Correlative pair	Definition	Examples
<b>pronoun "ten"</b> + connective	The pronoun <i>ten</i> is considered a supporting element only when preceding a content or adverbial clause (not a relative clause).	<i>Neuměla vysvětlit <u><to></to></u>, <u>co</u>.PATudělala.PAT (=lit. (She) couldn't explain that what (she) did.)</i> Fig. 6.33
		Znepokojil se $\leq tim >$ , $\leq ze >$ nepřišla.MEANS (=He was worried by the fact (lit. that) that she hadn't come.)
		$\check{C}$ ím.DIFFje.DIFF víno starší, $\leq t$ ím> je lepší. (=The older the wine, the better it is; lit. By_what is wine older by_that is better.) Fig. 6.34
pronoun "takový" + connective	The pronoun <i>takový</i> can be a supporting ele- ment when preceding a predicate clause or a relative clause, which are introduced by the relative pronoun <i>jaký</i> .	Přidělili nám vedoucího <u><takového></takového></u> , jaký.ACT se jim hodil.RSTR (=They assigned us a boss that (lit. such which) was conveni- ent for them.) Fig. 6.31
pronominal adverb + connective	Pronominal adverbs ( <i>tam</i> , <i>odtud</i> , <i>tudy</i> , <i>tehdy</i> , <i>proto</i> ) are considered supporting elements if their function in the governing clause is the same as the function of the relative adverb ( <i>kde</i> , <i>kam</i> , <i>odkud</i> , <i>kdy</i> ) in the dependent clause or if the dependent clause is introduced by a conjunction.	Šel jen <u><tam< u="">&gt;, <u>kam</u>.DIR3 ho <u>pozvali</u>.DIR3 (=lit. (He) went only there where (they) him invited.) Fig. 6.32 Bydleli tam <u><odtehdy< u="">&gt;, <u>odkdy</u>.TSIN jim to <u>bylo dovoleno</u>.TSIN (=They lived there from the time (lit. from then from when) they were allowed to.)</odtehdy<></u></tam<></u>
		<i>Udělal něco <u>sproto</u>, <u>saby</u> přišla.</i> AIM (= <i>He did something for her to come.</i> )
		Dostal <u><tolik></tolik></u> , <u>kolik</u> .PAT chtěl.PAT (=He got as much as he wanted.)

Table 6.3. Types of supporting elements

### Figure 6.31. Supporting element "takový"

Přidělili nám vedoucího takového, jaký se jim hodil. (=lit. (They) assigned us boss such which REFL them suited.)



#### Figure 6.32. Pronominal adverb as a supporting element

*Šel jen tam, kam ho pozvali. (=lit. (He) went only there where (they) him invited.)* 



### Figure 6.33. Supporting element "ten"

## Figure 6.34. Supporting element "ten"

*Neuměla vysvětlit to, co udělala. (=lit. (She) couldn't explain that what (she) did.)* 

Čím je víno starší, tím je lepší. (=lit. By_what is wine older by_that is better.)



## 6.3.3.3. False dependent clauses

**False dependent clauses** are such clauses that have the form of a dependent clause but their semantic relation to the other (governing) clause is rather that of coordination. False dependent clauses are either relative or conjunctional clauses. By the use of a subordinating conjunction the speaker introduces a new meaning (purpose, condition) into the sentence, which is in fact not present between the clause contents. When analyzing constructions with false dependent clauses, the form and not the content is the criterion. The effective root of the dependent clause is assigned a functor according to the meaning of the connective and depends on the effective root node of the governing clause.

Examples:

Spadl pod vlak, který ho přejel.RSTR (=He fell under a train, which ran over him.)

Odešel, <u><aby></u> se už <u>nevrátil</u>.AIM (=He left not to come back again.)

<u><Jestliže></u> Sparta v první třetině <u>vyhrávala</u>.COND, nakonec prohrála. (=Sparta was leading in the first third only to lose in the end.)

**NB!** If the connective could be considered a coordinating conjunction, the construction is analyzed as paratactic. The following constructions are considered paratactic:

a. **constructions with the connectives** *což*, *přičemž*, *načež*, *pročež*, *začež*, *aniž*. The connectives *přičemž*, *načež*, *pročež*, *začež*, *aniž* are taken to be coordinating conjunctions. The connective *což* (also in oblique cases: *bez*
*čehož, čemuž, za což*) is often a valency modification in the clause it introduces. The root of the paratactic structure, then, is the node for the present punctuation mark. The effective root of the attached clause has the same functor as the other paratactically connected element. For example:

Nedohodli se, <u>pročež</u>.CSQ nastal nový boj. [nodetype=coap] (=They didn't find a solution, which is why new fights started.) Fig. 6.36

*Při reklamaci došlo k chybě, <u>za což</u>.PAT se vám omlouváme.* [#Comma.CONJ] (*=There was an error in processing the complaint, for which we apologize.*) Fig. 6.35

b. **constructions with the connectives** *kdežto* **and** *takže*. The conjunctions *kdežto* and *takže* are considered coordinating conjunctions and the clauses they connect are paratactically connected. For example:

Udělalo se hezky, <u>takže.CSQ</u> jsme mohli jít ven. [nodetype=coap] (=The weather turned better so we could go out.) Fig. 6.37

Svobodní mládenci mívají nepořádek kolem sebe, <u>kdežto</u>.CONFR ženatí mívají nepořádek v duši. [node-type=coap] (=Bachelors often have a mess all around them whereas married men have a mess in their souls.)

### Figure 6.35. Constructions with the connective "což"

Při reklamaci došlo k chybě, za což se vám omlouváme. (=lit. With complaint occured - error, for what REFL (we) you apologize.)



#### Figure 6.36. Constructions with the connective "pročež"

Nedohodli se, pročež nastal nový boj. (=lit. (They) did_not_find_a_solution REFL, which_is_why started new fight.)



Figure 6.37. Constructions with the connective "takže"

Udělalo se hezky, takže jsme mohli jít ven. (=lit. (It) became REFL nice, so that (we) AUX could go out.)



# 6.4. Parataxis

**Parataxis** is a non-dependency connection of two or more elements (modifications or clauses) that are on the same level and that depend on the same governing element (in the same way).

The tectogrammatical trees are two-dimensional and we do not introduce a third dimension for paratactic structures (which leads to the violation of the dependency principle; see also Section 6.1.2, "Non-dependency edges").

**Representing parataxis in the tectogrammatical trees**. Paratactic connections are represented by **a paratactic structure**(see Fig. 6.38 and Fig. 6.39). **Paratactic structure root node** is a node for the coordinating connective or operator. In those rare cases in which there is no coordinating connective nor punctuation mark present in the surface structure, the root node of the paratactic structure is a newly established node with the t-lemma #Separ. Paratactic structure root nodes are assigned the value coap (see Chapter 3, *Node types*) in the nodetype attribute.

A paratactic structure root node is an immediate daughter of the governing node of the effective roots of the paratactically connected elements (i.e. terminal members of the paratactic structure).

The root nodes of the paratactically connected elements are immediate daughters of the paratactic structure root node and the value of their is_member attribute (see Section A.2.12, "is_member") is 1. Paratactically connected elements are thus distinguished from nodes for shared modifiers. The root node of a shared modifier is also an immediate daughter of the paratactic structure root node but the value in its is member attribute is not 1.

A paratactically connected element can also be represented by **an embedded paratactic structure**. Further, direct and terminal members of paratactic structures are distinguished. **Terminal members** of a paratactic structure are all immediate daughters of the paratactic structure root node whose value in the is_member attribute is 1. A direct member of a paratactic structure can also be a terminal member but a direct member can also be represented by the root node of an embedded paratactic structure; the root node of a paratactic structure is never a terminal member.

An immediate daughter of the root of a paratactic structure (nodetype = coap) can be:

- a. the effective root of a paratactically connected element (i.e. the terminal member of the paratactic structure), whose value of the is member attribute is 1.
- b. the root of a(n embedded) paratactic structure, whose value in the is member attribute is 1.
- c. the root of a shared modifier, whose value in the is member attribute is 0.

**NB!** A shared modifier can also be instantiated by a paratactic structure. The root of the shared modifier is, then, a paratactic structure root node; its is member attribute is however assigned the value 0.

- d. a node for a rhematizer of a shared modifier, i.e. a node with the RHEM functor. The value in its is_member attribute is then 0.
- e. a node for a conjunction modifier, i.e. a node with the CM functor. The value in its is_member attribute is then 0.



### Figure 6.38. Paratactic structure I





**Shared modifiers**. A shared modifier is such a modification that relates to every paratactically connected terminal element and that is expressed only once at the surface level. Any kind of modification (i.e. both arguments and adjuncts) can be a shared modifier. Non-obligatory modifications are analyzed as shared modifiers only in unambiguous cases.

The root node of a shared modifier is represented as an immediate daughter of the root of that paratactic structure the terminal elements of which it modifies. It is distinguished from the paratactically connected elements by the value of the is_member attribute, which is 0. For example:

Marii jsem viděl a slyšel zpívat. (=I saw and heard Marie sing.) Fig. 6.40

<u>Petr</u> celý den pracoval na své disertaci a připravoval se na zkoušku z angličtiny, ale večer už nedělal nic. (=Petr worked the whole day on his dissertation.. but in the evening he did nothing.) Fig. 6.42

**NB!** If a potential shared modifier requires a different value in any attribute with respect to any of the terminal members (e.g. the functor or the value in the tfa attribute), it is not possible to represent the modification as a shared modifier but it has to be represented separately for every terminal member (with the help of newly established nodes). For example:

Přišel Jirka a posadil se. (=Jirka came and sat down.)
= Přišel Jirka.ACT [tfa=f] a {#PersPron.ACT [tfa=t]} posadil se.

**Principle of the simplest structure**. Generally, we represent paratactic structures as deep as possible in the tree structure and we make use of the possibility of shared modification. Therefore, it is usually not necessary to add new nodes into the tree for the elided modifications. The simplest possible structure is chosen, which means the parataxis of sentence parts is preferred over clausal parataxis.

Nevertheless, it is not always possible to represent the construction as parataxis of sentence parts. All cases which do not fullfil the conditions on the parataxis of sentence parts (agreement in form and function), are represented as clausal parataxis, i.e. new nodes for the governing predicates of the clauses are added to the tree (see Section 6.6.1.1, "Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit"). For example:

# Přišel Petr a asi i Pavel. (=Petr came and Pavel probably as well.)

= *Přišel Petr a asi přišel i Pavel. (=Petr came and Pavel probably came as well.)* The Actors *Petr* and *Pavel* cannot be captured as being in constituent coordination; the expression *asi* modifies the absent predicate. The construction is therefore represented as clausal coordination. Fig. 6.41.

**Functors for terminal members of paratactic structures**. Paratactic structures are usually formed by elements with the same functor. The functors of the terminal members of paratactic structures can also differ, but it holds that:

- a. the functors of all operands for expressing mathematical operations and intervals are always identical.
- b. the functors of the terminal members in the case of clausal parataxis are always identical.
- c. in the case of parataxis of sentence parts, the terminal members can only have differing functors if it is coordination or apposition of non-obligatory adjuncts. For example:

pracovní doba osmihodinová.RSTR [is_member = 1] a.CONJ bez přestávky.ACMP [is_member = 1]
(=lit. eight-hour working hours and without break)

*Udělali to <u>s úžasem</u>*.ACMP [is_member = 1] , *tedy <u>dobře</u>*.MANN [is_member = 1] [#Comma.APPS] (=They did it with astonishment, that is well.)

d. if the paratactic connection is mixed, the non-clausal modification is assigned a functor depending on its relation to the governing node. The clausal (verbal) terminal member has the same functor as the non-clausal terminal member; e.g.:

*O zajímavých <u>místech</u>*.PAT [is_member = 1], *jako*.APPS <u>je</u>.PAT [is_member = 1] *třeba Litomyšl, Kutná Hora nebo Český Krumlov, zahraniční turisti většinou nevědí. (=lit. About interesting places, as is e.g. L., K.H. or C.K...)* 

If the paratactic connection is a connection of a verbal clause nd a nominative clause, the functor assignment follows the rules in Section 6.3.1, "Verbal and non-verbal clauses". For example:

<u>*Téma*</u>.DENOM [is_member = 1] : Co právě <u>dělám</u>.PRED [is_member = 1] [#Colon.APPS] (=The topic: What I am doing at the moment.)

**Semantic types of paratactic connections**. The functor assigned to the root of a paratactic structure expresses the semantic relation between the connected elements. All functors (and their definitions) for paratactic structure root nodes are in Section 8.12, "Functors expressing the relations between members of paratactic structures".

# Figure 6.40. Paratactic structure

Marii jsem viděl a slyšel zpívat. (=lit. Marie (I) AUX saw and heard sing.)



# Figure 6.41. Paratactic structure

Přišel Petr a asi i Pavel. (=lit. Came Peter and maybe also Paul.)



# Figure 6.42. Paratactic structure

Petr celý den pracoval na své disertaci a připravoval se na zkoušku z angličtiny, ale večer už nedělal nic. (=lit. Petr whole day worked on his dissertation and prepared himself for exam from English but in_the_evening (he) already not_did nothing.)



# 6.4.1. Coordination, apposition, mathematical operations and intervals

The following cases are represented as paratactic structures:

coordination or apposition, mathematical operations and intervals.

**Coordination or apposition**. Only those combinations of two or more elements are considered coordination or apposition which are connected by a coordinating connective.

To be analyzed as apposition, the two elements have to be separated by a comma (e.g.: český král, Karel (=lit. Czech king, Karel); hlavní město, Praha (=lit. capital city, Praha)). If there is no comma (e.g.: český král Karel (=lit Czech king Karel); hlavní město Praha (=capital city Praha); stalo se to v Praze na Vyšehradě (=It happened in Praha at Vyšehrad); v únoru v roce 1999 (=lit. in February i year 1999)) the connection is not analyzed as apposition (see especially Section 6.1.3, "Ambiguous dependency").

Also some specific constructions are represented as coordination or apposition: constructions with the abbreviations *atd.*, *apod.*, *aj.* (*=etc.*); constructions in which a modification follows expressions like *a to*, *a sice* (*=i.e.*). Also constructions with *což* (*=which*) (see also Section 6.3.3.3, "False dependent clauses") and some other specific constructions like addresses etc. are analyzed as paratactic structures.

**Mathematical operations and intervals**. Constructions expressing mathematical operations and intervals are represented as paratactic structures even if the elements are connected by hypotactic means:

A. **mathematical operations** (addition, subtraction, multiplication, division) are analyzed exclusively by means of the OPER functor. For example:

Zápas skončil 5:0. (=lit. match ended 5:0.) Fig. 6.43

Prodáváme byt 4+1. (=lit. We are selling flat 4+1.)

<u>10 mínus 2 je</u> 8. (=Ten minus two is eight.)

- B. intervals (temporal, spatial and other) are represented in two ways:
  - a. with the help of appropriate temporal and locative/directional functors. For example:

Sněžilo <u>od Vánoc</u>.TSIN až <u>do Velikonoc</u>.TTILL (=It snowed from Christmas to Easter.)

*Stalo se to <u>mezi pondělkem</u>.*TWHEN [subfunctor=betw] *a <u>středou</u>*.TWHEN [subfunctor=betw] (=It happened between Monday and Wednesday.)

Znám to <u>od Aše</u>.DIR1 <u>přes Prahu</u>.DIR2 až <u>po Brno</u>.DIR3 (=I know it here from Aš to Praha and Brno.)

b. those temporal and spatial intervals in which the interval meaning would get lost in the annotation by means of temporal or locative/directional functors, and all other intervals (that have no temporal or spatial meaning) are represented as a paratactic structure, with the functor OPER at the root of the structure. For example:

V období 1995 až 1999 jsem studoval na gymnáziu. (=In the years 1995 - 1999...) Fig. 6.44

*Sledovali to všichni, <u>od dětí přes mládež až po dospělé</u>. (=Everybody watched it, from children to young people and adults.) Fig. 6.45* 

trest od tří do pěti let (=three to five year sentence)

Na trase Praha - Brno došlo k nehodě. (=On the road Praha -Brno...)

# Figure 6.43. Mathematical operations

Zápas skončil 5 : 0. (=lit. Match ended 5:0.)



#### Figure 6.44. Interval as a paratactic structure

0.

root

období

n.denot

neut.sg

až

1995

basic

f_RSTR_M adj.quant.def

OPER coap

c_TWHEN.basic

#### Figure 6.45. Interval as a paratactic structure

(=lit. Watched it everybody, from children through youth

V období 1995 až 1999 jsem studoval na gymnáziu. (=lit. Sledovali to všichni, od dětí přes mládež až po dospělé. In period 1995 to 1999 (I) AUX studied at secondary grammar school.)



up to adults.)

# 6.5. Parenthesis

Parenthesis is defined as those parts of the text that do not belong to its basic level but that rather interrupt it by inserting additional information, an ex-post explanation, evaluating comments etc. Parenthesis is usually signalled by graphic means (dashes or brackets).

**Representing parenthesis in the tectogrammatical trees.** Parenthesis is represented with the help of the attribute is_parenthesis (see Section A.2.14, "is_parenthesis"). All nodes that are part of parenthesis have the value 1 in this attribute. The reason for this are cases of the so called "discontinuous" parenthesis, e.g.:

Přišel tam Petr (a Pavel). (=Petr (and Pavel) came there.) Fig. 6.46

The following two types are distinguished:

parenthesis proper, lexicalized parenthesis

**Parenthesis proper** is a parenthesis used uniquely in a given situation. There are the following subtypes:

syntactically incorporated parenthesis. If a parenthesis is syntactically incorporated in the sentence (as one a. of its modifications) its effective root node is assigned a functor corresponding the type of dependency. For example:

Podmětem (jestliže vyjadřuje.COND činnost), může být i infinitiv. (=The subject can be - if it expresses an activity - also the infinitive.) Fig. 6.47

Vidím náš dům (a naši zahradu.PAT). (=I can see our house and our garden.)

syntactically non-incorporated parenthesis. Syntactically non-incorporated parenthesis corresponds - both semantically and in its form - to some kind of independent clause (see Section 6.3, "Clauses (governing, dependent, verbal, non-verbal)"). The effective root of a parenthesis is assigned a functor on the basis of what kind of independent clause it is, see Table 6.4, "Functors for syntactically non-incorporated parenthesis". The root of such a parenthesis is a direct daughter of the node it most closely relates to. For example:

Mužstvo skončilo až třetí (loni <u>bylo</u>.PAR první). (=The team was on the third place (last year it was the first place).) Fig. 6.48

Pavel Novák (Praha. PAR).

Zase nesehnal práci (ach.PARTL). (=Oh, he didn't get a job again.)

Table 6.4.	<b>Functors</b> for	r syntactically	non-incor	porated	parenthesis

Type of independent clause	Functor of the root of the parenthesis
verbal clause	PAR
nominative clause	PAR
vocative clause	VOCAT
interjectional clause	PARTL

Lexicalized parenthesis is a parenthesis fixed to such an extent hat it has become a particle. The lexicalized parenthesis is formed by a frozen finite verb form, which can keep part of the original valency.

The effective root of a lexicalized parenthesis is assigned the ATT functor (nodetype = atom). A multi-word lexicalized parenthesis is usually considered a non-verbal idiom (see Section 7.1.2, "Idioms"). The effective root of a lexicalized parenthesis is an immediate daughter of the effective root of the clause in which the parenthesis is inserted. For example:

Dnes je, myslím, středa. (=It's Wednesday today, I think.) Fig. 6.49

To se, <u>nedej bůh</u>, snad nestane. (=This will, hopefully, not happen.)

### Figure 6.46. Discontinuous parenthesis

Figure 6.47. Syntactically incorporated parenthesis proper

*Přišel tam Petr (a Pavel). (=lit. Came there Petr (and Pavel).)* 

Podmětem (jestliže vyjadřuje činnost), může být i infinitiv. (=lit. Subject.INSTR (if (if) expresses activity) can be also infinititive.)





# Figure 6.48. Syntactically non-incorporated parenthesis proper

Mužstvo skončilo až třetí (loni bylo první). (=lit. Team ended only third (last_year (it) was first).)



# Figure 6.49. Lexicalized parenthesis

Dnes je, myslím, středa. (=lit. Today is, I think, Wednesday.)



**Speaker's comments such as "aby bylo jasno"** Clauses introduced by the conjunction *aby* in constructions such as <u>Aby bylo jasno</u>, já jsem tu pánem (=Just to make things clear: I'm the boss here.) are in fact parentheses as they are comments of the speaker. It is assumed that such comments are fixed, lexicalized and therefore the dependent clause is represented as a syntactically non-incorporated parenthesis. For example:

### <u><Aby> bylo</u>.PAR jasno, já jsem tu pánem. (=Just to make things clear: I'm the boss here.)

**Clauses with a reversed syntactic relation**. Construction in which the syntactic relation between the clauses is reversed is such a construction in which the inserted clause (*Soud*, <u>zdá se</u>, nemyslí si o tom nic. (=The court, it seems, has no opinion on the subject)), or a clause introduced by the connective jak (*Soud*, <u>jak se zdá</u>, nemyslí si o tom nic. (=The court, as it seems, has no opinion on the subject)) is in fact (originally) the governing clause. One argument of the verb in the original governing clause is usually missing as it is expressed by the original content clause.

The original governing clause is represented as a syntactically non-incorporated parenthesis. The missing argument of the verb in the original governing clause is represented by a newly established node with the t-lemma substitute #PersPron and the appropriate functor. There is a textual coreference relation between the newly established node and the effective root of the original content clause. The connective *jak*, if present, is assigned no node in the tree. For example:

Soud, <u><jak> se</u> mi <u>zdá</u>.PAR, nemyslí si o tom nic. / Soud, <u>zdá se</u>.PAR mi, nemyslí si o tom nic. Fig. 6.50

### Figure 6.50. Clauses with a reversed syntactic relation

Soud, jak se mi zdá, nemyslí si o tom nic. (=lit. Court, as REFL to_me seems, does_not_think REFL about it nothing.)



# 6.6. Ellipsis

Newly established nodes are necessary for a complete representation of the meaning of the sentence.

Every newly established node is assigned the value 1 in the attribute is_generated (see Section A.2.11, "is_generated").

### Types of newly established nodes:

- a. nodes for omitted meaning units (see Section 6.6.1, "Ellipsis"),
- b. auxiliary nodes for representing more complex syntactic structures (see Section 6.6.2, "Newly established nodes in more complex syntactic structures"),
- c. nodes representing negation with verbs (see Section 6.6.3, "Nodes representing negation with verbs").

Newly established nodes are added to the structure in two ways. There are:

A. **copied nodes**, i.e. newly established nodes that have the values of certain atributes the same as some other node. A node is copied as a lexical unit, which is represented by its t-lemma, some grammatemes and a valency frame. The following attributes remain the same when a node is copied:

```
t_lemma
a/lex.rf
val_frame.rf
is_name_of_person
gram/gender
gram/aspect
gram/iterativeness
```

```
gram/negation
gram/indeftype
gram/numertype
A copied node does
```

A copied node does not have to be present in the same tree as the original one. Copied nodes are used for representing ellipsis of governing elements (see Section 6.6.1.1, "Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit").

B. **newly established node with a t-lemma substitute**, i.e. a newly established node with one of the following t-lemma substitutes:

#EmpNoun	Grammatical ellipsis of governing meaning units
#EmpVerb	(see Section 6.6.1.1, "Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit")
#AsMuch	Ellipsis of governing meaning units in specific constructions
#Equal #Total	(see Section 6.6.1.3, "Specific elliptical constructions")
#Benef	Ellipsis of dependent meaning units
#Cor	(see Section 6.6.1.2 "Ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit")
#Gen	(bee beenen e.e.r.2, Employ of a dependent meaning and )
#Oblfm	
#PersPron	
#QCor	
#Rcp	
#Some	
#Unsp	
#Forn	Auxiliary nodes for representing more complex syntactic structures
#Idph	(see Section 6.6.2 "Newly established nodes in more complex syntactic structures")
#Separ	
#Neg	Verbal negation by means of the morpheme <i>ne</i> -
	(see Section 6.6.3, "Nodes representing negation with verbs")

# 6.6.1. Ellipsis

**Ellipsis** is omission of a meaning unit at the surface level. Such a meaning unit is however necessary for the semantic interpretation of the sentence. Depending on the dependency relations, we distinguish:

ellipsis of a governing meaning unit (see Section 6.6.1.1, "Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit"), ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit (see Section 6.6.1.2, "Ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit").

# 6.6.1.1. Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit

**Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit** is such ellipsis when the surface form of the sentence does not contain a meaning unit governing the present modifications or clauses. There are the following subtypes:

- a. ellipsis of the governing predicate, i.e. omission of the governing predicate in verbal clauses.
- b. ellipsis of the governing noun, i.e. omission of the governing noun with adjectival modifications.
- c. **ellipsis of the governing clause**, i.e. omission of the governing clause in cases where there is a dependent clause.
- d. **specific types of ellipsis of a governing meaning unit**, i.e. omission of a meaning unit that has to be represented in the deep structure in order to represent properly the meaning of some more complex constructions. These are:

ellipsis of the lexical unit expressing the degree of similarity/agreement/disagreement, which is the governing node for a dependent comparative clause,

ellipsis of the totalizer governing a dependent restrictive clause,

ellipsis of the lexical unit expressing the extent, which is the governing node for consecutive clauses.

The following types are distinguished:

a. **contextual ellipsis**, tj. such ellipsis when the lexical content of the omitted element is clear from the context and easily recoverable. The element was elided since it is not necessary to repeat it for full interpretation.

b. **grammatical ellipsis**, i.e. such ellipsis when the elided element cannot be recovered from the context but when it is necessary for grammatical and semantic reasons.

**Representing ellipsis of a governing meaning unit**. For a survey of individual types of ellipsis of a governing meaning unit, see Table 6.5, "**Representing ellipsis of a governing meaning unit**".

Table 6.5. Representing ellipsis of a governing meaning unit

Types of ellipsis		Contextual ellipsis	Grammatical ellipsis
Ellipsis of the predicate		copied node	#EmpVerb
Ellipsis of the gov	verning noun	copied node	#EmpNoun
Ellipsis of the governing clause	for a dependent content or adverbial clause	copied node	#EmpVerb
	for a dependent relative clause	copied node	#EmpNoun
Specific types of ellipsis	Ellipsis of the element governing a dependent comparative clause	#E	qual
	Ellipsis of a totalizer governing a dependent re- strictive clause	#Total	
	Ellipsis of the element expressing the extent, governing a dependent consecutive clause	#AsMuch	

Examples of ellipsis of the predicate:

(Jirka navštívil Marii.) Honza Jiřinu.=(Jirka visited Marie.) Honza Jiřina.) = (Jirka navštívil Marii.) Honza navštívil Jiřinu. (=(Jirka visited Marie.) Honza visited Jiřina.) Fig. 6.51

Nač {#EmpVerb.PRED} ten spěch? (=Why the rush?) Fig. 6.52

{#EmpVerb.PRED} *Samozřejmě. (=Of course.)* 

Examples of ellipsis of the governing noun:

Modré pantofle jsou maminky, zelené jsou bratrovy. (=The blue slippers are my mother's, the green ones my brother's.) = Modré pantofle jsou maminky, zelené pantofle jsou bratrovy. (=The blue slippers are my mother's, the green ones are my brother's.) Fig. 6.53

Přišli jen {#EmpNoun.ACT} mladší. (=Only the younger ones came.) Fig. 6.54

Examples of ellipsis of the governing clause:

(Neodešla.) Protože by to nestihla. (=(She didn't leave.) Because she wouldn't be there in time.) Fig. 6.55

(Čtu.všechny knihy). Které jsou dobrodružné. (=(I read all books) Which are full of adventure.) Fig. 6.56

# Figure 6.51. Contextual ellipsis of the governing predicate

Figure 6.52. Grammatical ellipsis of the governing predicate

(Jirka navštívil Marii.) Honza Jiřinu. (=lit. (Jirka visited Nač ten spěch? (=lit. Why the rush?) Marie) Honza Jiřina.)



# Figure 6.53. Contextual ellipsis of the governing noun Figure 6.54. Grammatical ellipsis of the governing

noun

Modré pantofle jsou maminky, zelené bratrovy. (=lit. Blue slippers are mother's, green brother's.)

Přišli jen mladší. (=lit. Came only younger.)





# Figure 6.55. Ellipsis of the clause governing an adverbial clause

(Neodešla.) Protože by to nestihla. (=lit. ((She) did_not_leave.) Because (she) would it not_make.)



### Figure 6.56. Ellipsis of the clause governing a relative clause

(Čtu všechny knihy). Které jsou dobrodružné. (=lit. ((I) read all books) Which are adventurous.)



**Contextual ellipsis of a multi-word predicate**. In the case a multi-word predicate is elided, both its parts are copied. For example:

(Jirkovi běhá mráz po zádech.) Honzovi také. (=(It's giving Jirka the creeps) Honza too.) = (Jirkovi běhá mráz po zádech.) Honzovi také běhá mráz po zádech.

(Jirka má zájem studovat.) Honza také. (=(Jirka is interested in studying) Honza too.) = (Jirka má zájem studovat.) Honza má také zájem studovat. **Contextual ellipsis of a full verb (following a modal or auxiliary verb)**. Cases in which a full verb following a modal or auxiliary verb is elided also belong to cases of elided governing predicates. The whole predicate is represented by a single copied node, the meaning of the modal or auxiliary verb is captured by appropriate grammatemes. For example:

(Budeš se učit?) Budu. (=(Will you be learning?) I will.) = (Budeš se učit?) Budu se učit.

(Musíš už jít?) Musím. (=(Do you have to go?) I do.) = (Musíš už jít?) Musím jít.

**Contextual ellipsis of the governing noun in constituent coordination**. Ellipsis in constituent coordination is also a case of ellipsis of the governing noun. These are those cases of constituent coordination (parataxis of sentence parts) in which the governing noun is the same in both (all) coordinates and therefore not repeated. It has to be clear that there are really two or more different entities and not just one entity described by several modifiers. For example:

Koupil červené a bílé víno. (=He bought red and white wines.) = Koupil červené víno a bílé víno. Fig. 6.57

ministerstvo vnitra a zdravotnictví (=Ministries of the Interior and Health) = ministerstvo vnitra a ministerstvo zdravotnictví

# Figure 6.57. Contextual ellipsis of the governing noun in constituent coordination

Koupil červené a bílé víno. (=lit. (He) bought red and white wine.)



# 6.6.1.2. Ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit

**Ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit** is such ellipsis when a dependent meaning unit is omitted at the surface level but it is present in the meaning of the sentence.

**Representing ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit**. Ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit is represented with the help of newly established nodes with t-lemma substitutes. Various types of ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit are distinguished by the use of different t-lemma substitutes. A survey of individual types of ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit and the relevant t-lemma substitutes is to be found in Table 6.6, "**Representing ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit**".

Types of ellipsis		T-lemma of the newly established node
Ellipsis	Contextual ellipsis of an argument	#PersPron
of an obligatory	Controlled argument	#Cor
mounication	Quasi-controlled argument	#QCor
	Valency modification missing due to the presence of reciprocity	#Rcp
	General argument	#Gen
	Unspecified Actor	#Unsp
	Obligatory adjunct	#Oblfm
Ellipsis of a non-obligat- ory modification	Non-expressed Beneficiary in control constructions	#Benef
Specific type	Non-verbal part of an elided verbonominal predicate (in a de- pendent comparative clause), which cannot be represented by a copied node due to semantic reasons	#Some

### Table 6.6. Representing ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit

For more on control see Section 9.2.1, "Control". For more on quasi-control see Section 7.1.1.4.1, "Quasi-control with complex predicates" For more on comparative constructions see Section 6.6.1.3.1, "Comparative constructions: comparison of two events".

**Contextual ellipsis of an argument** is such a case of ellipsis in which the lexical content of the omitted argument is clear from the context and easily recoverable. There is a textual coreference involved (see Section 9.3, "Textual coreference"). Examples:

(Zabalil prodavač už tu knihu?) Zabalil {#PersPron.ACT} {#PersPron.PAT} (=(Has the shop assistant wrapped the book?) He has.) Fig. 6.58

(Firma měla doručit zboží zákazníkovi.) Doručení {#PersPron.ACT} {#PersPron.PAT} {#PersPron.ADDR} se však neuskutečnilo. (=(The company was supposed to deliver the goods to the customer) The delivery did not take place, however.)

{#PersPron.ACT} Jsi zlý. (=You are mean.)

Ellipsis of an obligatory adjunct: Example:

Vedoucí podniku odcestoval {#Oblfm.DIR1} (=The boss has left.) Fig. 6.59

**General argument (#Gen)** is a term used for such non-expressed arguments that refer to a type of modification usual in a given position, rather than a particular lexical unit (as is the case with contextual ellipsis). The lexical content of an argument has to be the usual one for the given verb (noun, adjective) in the given position in order for the argument to become general. A general argument refers to the "usual", "typical entity". The t-lemma substitute for general arguments is #Gen. For example:

Domy se stavějí z cihel. {#Gen.ACT} (=Houses are built from bricks.) Fig. 6.60

V téhle troubě se mi dobře peče. {#Gen.PAT} (=This oven is good for baking.)

**Unspecified Actor (#Unsp)**. Apart from cases of contextual ellipsis on the one hand and general arguments on the other, there is also a transitory case: the so called unspecified Actor. This involves cases when a modification absent at the surface level denotes an entity more or less known from the context which is, however, not explicitly referred to. The entity with the same reference as the non-expressed Actor cannot be really specified; the absent Actor refers rather to the preceding context than to a particular lexical unit; nevertheless, the choice of the referent is not free and it is in general possible to narrow down the possibilities. The t-lemma substitute for unspecified Actors is #Unsp. For example:

Hlásili to v rozhlase. {#Unsp.ACT} (=They announced it on the radio.) Fig. 6.61

**Reciprocity (#Rcp)**. The term reciprocity is used for the syntactic operation on valency frames that puts two different valency modifications (arguments or obligatory adjuncts) in a symmetric relation, which can be expressed in the following way:

Jan a Marie se setkali. (=Jan and Marie met.) = Jan se setkal s Marií a (zároveň) Marie se setkala s Janem. (=Jan met Marie and (simultaneously) Marie met Jan.)

As a result of the presence of a reciprocal relation in the sentence, one of the obligatory modification positions is lost at the surface level. The other position involved is occupied by both modifications standing in the reciprocal relation at the same time (coordination, plural). Semantically, however, they correspond to two different valency positions. The fact that there is a reciprocal meaning in the sentence is usually signalled by the presence of *se* (*k sobě*, *mezi sebou*), nevertheless it is not a necessary condition for the construction to be interpreted as involving reciprocity.

**Representing reciprocity in the tectogrammatical trees**. Reciprocity is represented by means of inserting a newly established node with the #RCp t-lemma into the structure, in the position of the valency modification that was left out. The newly established node has the functor corresponding to the unoccupied valency position. The relation between the newly established node and the valency modification containing both members of the relation is indicated in the tree as a case of grammatical coreference (see Section 9.2, "Grammatical coreference"). *Se*, possibly present at the surface level, is not represented by a separate node at the tectogrammatical level; the reference to the relevant analytical node(s) is included in the a attribute of the newly established node with the #RCp t-lemma.

Examples:

Jan.ACT a Marie.ACT <se> libali. {#Rcp.PAT} (=Jan and Marie kissed.) Fig. 6.62

<u>Státy</u>.ACT Evropské unie <mezi sebou> obchodují. {#Rcp.ADDR} (=EU states trade with each other.)

Porovnávali <u>Německo</u>.PAT a <u>Koreu</u>.PAT {#Rcp.EFF} (=They compared Germany and Korea.)

*jednání* <u>Petra</u>.ACT a <u>Pavla</u>.ACT o prodeji domu trvalo několik hodin. {#Rcp.ADDR} (=negotiations between Petr and Pavel...)

# Figure 6.58. Contextual ellipsis of<br/>an obligatory argumentFigure 6.59. Ellipsis of an<br/>obligatory adjunct

(Zabalil prodavač už tu knihu?) Zaba- Vedoucí podniku odcestoval. (=lit. lil. (=lit. (Has_wrapped shop_assist- Manager (of) company left.) ant already the book?) (He) has_wrapped)









### Figure 6.61. Unspecified Actor

# Figure 6.62. Reciprocity

Hlásili to v rozhlase. (=lit. (They) announced it on radio.) Jan a Marie se líbali. (=Jan and Marie REFL kissed.)





# 6.6.1.3. Specific elliptical constructions

Special cases of ellipsis of a governing meaning unit are:

comparative constructions (comparison of two events, see Section 6.6.1.3.1, "Comparative constructions: comparison of two events"),

constructions with the meaning of a restriction (see Section 6.6.1.3.2, "Constructions with the meaning of a restriction"),

constructions with consecutive clauses (see Section 6.6.1.3.3, "Constructions with consecutive clauses").

# 6.6.1.3.1. Comparative constructions: comparison of two events

**Constructions with the meaning of comparison of two events** are constructions in which two events or states are compared. There is always a property or degree of agreement/disagreement/similarity with respect to which the events are compared.

Two basic types are distinguished, depending on the form of comparison:

- a. comparison based on similarity or identity, by means of the conjunction *jako* (*Pavel běhá stejně rychle, jako běhá Honza.* (=*Pavel runs as fast as Honza.*)),
- b. comparison on the basis of dissimilarity, by means of the conjunction *než* (*Dorazil dříve, než dorazil Jirka*. (=*He arrived earlier than Jirka*.)).

**Representing constructions with the meaning of comparison of two events**. There is a governing comparative clause and a dependent comparative clause. The governing comparative clause contains an element expressing the degree of agreement/disagreement/similarity/dissimilarity. The effective root of the dependent clause is assigned the CPR functor. In regular cases, it depends on the expression referring to the degree of agreement/disagreement/similarity/dissimilarity of the way comparative constructions are analyzed, see Fig. 6.63.

Figure 6.63. Comparative constructions: comparison of two events



(=lit. (He) did it fast, like it did Tonda.)

dependent comparative clause

**Ellipsis of the expression referring to the degree of agreement / disagreement / similarity / dissimilarity**. If the expression referring to the degree of agreement/disagreement/similarity/dissimilarity is omitted at the surface level (constructions with *jako*), a new node is added into the structure, with the t-lemma substitute #Equal. For example:

Udělal to {#Equal.RSTR}, jako to udělal Tonda. (=He did it like Tonda.)

= Udělal to stejně/stejným způsobem, jako to udělal Tonda. (=He did it the same way Tonda did it.)

**Ellipsis of the predicate in the dependent clause**. The predicate of the dependent comparative clause is often omitted in the surface form of the sentence. This ellipsis results from the identity of the verbs in the governing and the dependent clauses: their lexical values are identical (see Section 6.6.1.1, "Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit"). If the governing verb of the dependent clause is not present in the surface structure of the sentence, a new node with the functor CPR is inserted into the dependent clause on the position of the effective root of the clause (following the rules in Section 6.6.1.1, "Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit"). For example:

Udělal to jako Tonda. (=He did it like Tonda.)

= Udělal to stejně/stejným způsobem, jako to udělal Tonda. (=He did it the same way Tonda did it.) Fig. 6.64

Dorazil dříve než Jirka. (=He arrived earlier than Jirka.)

= Dorazil dříve, než dorazil Jirka. (=He arrived earlier than Jirka did.)

Je zdravý jako ryba. (=He is fit as a fiddle; lit. as fish.)

= Je zdravý, jako je zdravá ryba. (=lit. (he) is fit as is fit fish.) Fig. 6.65

**Node with the t-lemma substitute #Some**. A node with the t-lemma substitute #Some is added to the structure on the position of the non-verbal part of the elided verbonominal predicate in the dependent comparative clause if it is impossible (due to semantic reasons) to copy the corresponding node from the governing clause (where this can be e.g. *stejný (=the same)*, *podobný (=similar)*, *jiný (=other)*, *více (=more)*, *méně (=less)*, *jiný (=different)*). For example:

Je stejný jako já. (=He's the same as me.)

= Je stejný, jako já jsem "nějaký". (=He's the same as I am such-and-such.) Fig. 6.66

Situace v armádě je jiná než na ministerstvu. (=The situation in the army is different than the one at the Ministry.)

= Situace v armádě je jiná, než je situace na ministerstvu "nějaká". (=The situation in the army is different than the situation at the Ministry is such-and-such.)

#### Figure 6.64. Comparative constructions: comparison of two events

Udělal to jako Tonda. (=lit. (He) did it like Tonda.)



Figure 6.65. Comparative constructions: comparison Figure 6.66. Comparative constructions: comparison of two events



# 6.6.1.3.2. Constructions with the meaning of a restriction

**Constructions with the meaning of a restriction** (restrictive constructions) are such constructions that restrict the validity of a totalizer like *každý* (=*every*), *celý* (=*whole*), *všechen* (=*all*), *nic* (=*nothing*), *nikam* (=*nowhere*) etc., or introduce an exception to a regular state.

**Representing constructions with the meaning of a restriction**. There is a governing clause and a dependent clause in restrictive constructions. The governing clause contains a totalizer or an expression referring to a regular state etc. The effective root of the dependent restrictive clause is assigned the RESTR functor and depends on the node representing the totalizer. For an illustration of the way restrictive constructions are analyzed, see Fig. 6.67.

**Ellipsis of the totalizer**. If the totalizer is not present at the surface level, a new node with the t-lemma substitute #Total is added to the tectogrammatical tree. The node with the t-lemma substitute #Total stands for any absent positive totaliser (*všichni* (=all), *všechno* (=everything), *každý* (=each), *všude* (=everywhere), *vždycky* (=always) or a negative totalizer (*nic* (=nothing), *nikdo* (=nobody), *žádný* (=no/none), *nikam* (=nowhere), *nikdy* (=never)). The added node for the totaliser has a functor corresponding to the position in which it was added. For example:

*Mimo datum*.RESTR *se píší* {#Total.RSTR} *řadové číslice slovy.* (=*Except for dates, the ordinals are written in words.*)

= Mimo datum se píší všechny řadové číslice slovy (=Except for dates, all ordinals are written in words.) Fig. 6.68

# Figure 6.67. Constructions with the meaning of a restriction



dependent restrictive construction

Mimo tebe nepřišel nikdo. (=lit. Apart_from you, not_came nobody.)

**Effective root of a restrictive construction**. The effective root of a restrictive clause (with the RESTR functor) is determined depending on what means are used for expressing the restriction:

- A. **restrictive construction introduced by a preposition**. As for constructions introduced by prepositions (*až na, vyjma, kromě, mimo (=except), vedle (=beside)*), there are several types:
  - a. **direct restriction**: the following noun is in the required case. The effective root node of the restrictive construction is the node representing the governing (syntactic) noun in the prepositional phrase. For example:

Kromě tohoto týdne.RESTR budu učit pravidelně. (=Except for this week, I'll be teaching regularly.)

b. **restriction with ellipsis of the verb**. the noun following the preposition is in a case different from that required by the preposition or the preposition is followed by another prepositional phrase. The effective root of the restrictive clause is a newly established node for a verb (see the rules in Section 6.6.1.1, "Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit"). For example:

Kromě do Prahy chtěli jet všude. (=Except for Prague, they wanted to go everywhere.)

= Kromě toho, že nechtěli jet do Prahy, chtěli jet všude. (=Apart from the fact that they did not want to go to Prague, they wanted to go everywhere.) Fig. 6.69

c. restrictive construction introduced by "kromě / vedle / mimo toho, že (=except for/apart from the fact that)". The effective root of the restrictive constructions is the effective root of the dependent clause. *Toho* is a supporting element. For example:

 $\leq$  Kromě toho, že $\geq$  krásně <u>zpívá</u>.RESTR, neumí nic.. (=Apart from the fact that he/she sings beautifully, he/she is not capable of anything.) Fig. 6.70

B. **restrictive construction introduced by a connective**. Restrictive constructions introduced by connectives (*než*, *ledaže*) are always interpreted as dependent verbal clauses. If there is no governing verb present at the surface level in the restrictive construction, a new node is added to the tree in place of this absent predicate (following the rules in Section 6.6.1.1, "Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit"). For example:

Nepůjdu nikam než do Prahy. (=I won't go anywhere but to Praha.) = Nepůjdu nikam, než půjdu do Prahy. Fig. 6.71

Nezbývá mi nic <u>než doufat</u>.RESTR (=I can only hope.)

**Constructions with the meaning of exceptional conjoining**. Constructions with the meaning of exceptional conjoining are different from standard restrictive constructions (with the prepositions *kromě, mimo, vedle*); they do not express a simple restriction nor simple conjoining. Both conjoined constituents are semantically more closely defined: one has the meaning of the "commonplace, self-evident", the other conjoined constituent, by contrast, has the meaning of the "unusual, exceptional".

Annotation rules are similar to those for restrictive constructions (the effective root of these constructions is also assigned the RESTR functor). The difference is that the effective root of the construction with the meaning of exceptional conjoining depends on the node for the predicate of the governing clause. For example:

Kromě do Říma chtěli jet i do Benátek. (=Except for Rome, they also wanted to go to Venice.) = Kromě toho, že chtěli jet do Říma, chtěli jet i do Benátek. Fig. 6.72

Kromě ní.RESTR tam byl i Mirek. (=Except for her, also Mirek was there.)

<u><Kromě toho, že> byl</u>.RESTR učitelem, byl i dobrým člověkem. (=Except for the fact that he was a teacher he was a good person too.)

# Figure 6.68. Constructions with the meaning of a restriction

Mimo datum se píší řadové číslice slovy (=lit. Except date REFL write ordinal numerals in_words.)



# Figure 6.69. Constructions with the meaning of a restriction

Kromě do Prahy chtěli jet všude. (=lit. Except to Prague (they) wanted to_go everywhere.)



# Figure 6.70. Constructions with the meaning of a restriction

Figure 6.71. Constructions with the meaning of a restriction

Kromě toho, že krásně zpívá, neumí nic. (=lit. Except that that (he) beautifully sings, (he) cannot nothing.)

Nepůjdu nikam než do Prahy. (=lit. (I) will_not_go nowhere but to Praha.)



#### Figure 6.72. Constructions with the meaning of exceptional conjoining

Kromě do Říma chtěli jet i do Benátek. (=lit. Except to Rome (they) wanted to_go also to Venice.)



# 6.6.1.3.3. Constructions with consecutive clauses

**Dependent consecutive clause** is such a dependent clause that expresses the effect that comes about as a result of the extent of some aspect of the governing event. The governing clause contains an expression like *tolik* (=so much), natolik (=enough), příliš (=too much), tak (=so), takový (=such), dost (=enough), dostatečně (=enough), do té míry (=to such a degree) that introduces the consecutive clause.

**Representing constructions with consecutive clauses**. The node for the expression referring to the degree of some aspect of the governing event is assigned a functor according to its position; if it is a non-valency modification, it is usually assigned the EXT functor. The effective root of the consecutive clause has the RESL functor and depends on the expression referring to the degree of some aspect of the governing event. An illustration of the way consecutive clauses are analyzed can be found in Fig. 6.73.





Byl příliš zodpovědný, než aby spekuloval. (=lit. (He) was too responsible than so_that (he) speculated.)

**Ellipsis of the expression referring to the degree of some aspect of the governing event**. The expression referring to the degree of some aspect of the governing event can be omitted in the surface structure; then, a new node with the t-lemma substitute #AsMuch and an appropriate functor (usually EXT) is inserted in its position. The node with the t-lemma #AsMuch stands for both a large and small degree of an aspect of the event (e.g.: *tak málo (=so little), tak špatně (=so badly), tak dobře (=so well), tak hodně (=so much), tak moc (=so much)*. For example:

*Opravil nám televizor, že za dva dny nefungoval*.RESL (=*He repaired the TV set so badly that it didn't work in two days again.*)

= Opravil nám televizor tak špatně, že za dva dny nefungoval. Fig. 6.74

# Figure 6.74. Dependent consecutive clause

Opravil nám televizor, že za dva dny nefungoval. (=lit. (He) repaired us TV_set that in two days (it) did_not_work.)



# 6.6.2. Newly established nodes in more complex syntactic structures

In order to represent properly certain more complex structures, some auxiliary nodes are needed. If there is no surface-level expression suitable for the purpose, a new node is added to the tree. The newly established node has always a t-lemma substitute. Different t-lemma substitutes distinguish between various subtypes of auxiliary nodes (see Table 6.7, "Newly established nodes in more complex syntactic structures").

Type of newly established node	T-lemma of the newly established node
paratactic structure root node	#Separ
root node of a list structure for foreign-language expressions	#Forn
root node of an identification structure	#Idph

For more on paratactic structures, see Section 6.4, "Parataxis". For more on list structures for foreign-language expressions see Section 7.4, "Foreign-language expressions". For more on identification structures, see Section 7.3.1, "Identification structure".

# 6.6.3. Nodes representing negation with verbs

A specific type of newly established node is a node for negation expressed by the verbal morpheme *ne*-. The morpheme *ne*- with negated verbs is represented by a newly established node with the t-lemma substitute #Neg (the node is either a rhematizer (functor = RHEM; see Section 10.4, "Rhematizers"), or conjunction modifier (functor = CM; see Section 7.1, "Multi-word lexical units")). The t-lemma of the node for the verb is in its non-negated form. The node with the t-lemma substitute #Neg is added also under copied nodes if necessary.

Example:

Petr neparkoval na parkovišti. {#Neg} (=Petr didn't park in the parking lot.) Fig. 6.75

# Figure 6.75. Nodes representing negation with verbs

Petr neparkoval na parkovišti. (=lit. Petr not_parked in parking_lot.)



# 6.6.4. Survey of newly established nodes

The following table (Table 6.8, "**Survey of newly established nodes**") offers a survey of newly established nodes (they are listed in the alphabetical order according to their t-lemmas).

T-lemma	Type of newly established node	Example
#AsMuch	ellipsis of the expression referring to the degree of an aspect of the governing event, which governs a consecutive clause	<i>Opravil nám televizor</i> {#AsMuch.EXT}, <i>že za dva dny nefungoval</i> .RESL (=He repaired the TV set in such a way that it didn't work in two days again.)
#Benef	ellipsis of the Beneficiary in control con- structions	Je vhodné {#Benef.BEN} odejít. (=It's good to leave.)
#Cor	controlled element	Rozhodl se {#Cor.ACT}odejít (=He decided to leave)
#EmpNoun	grammatical ellipsis of the governing noun	<i>Přišli jen</i> {#EmpNoun.ACT} <i>mladší. (=Only the younger ones came.)</i>
#EmpVerb	grammatical ellipsis of the predicate	{#EmpVerb.PRED} <i>Nač ten spěch? (=Why the rush?)</i>
#Equal	ellipsis of the expression referring to the degree of similarity/agreement/disagree- ment, which is the governing node for a dependent comparative clause	<i>Udělal to</i> {#Equal.MANN}, <i>jako to udělal</i> .CPR <i>Tonda. (=He did it just like Tonda.)</i>
#Forn	root node of a list structure for foreign-lan- guage expressions	Nenahraditelný je pro sledování {#Forn.PAT} cash flow. (=Irreplaceable for cash flow monitor- ing.)
#Gen	general argument	V téhle troubě se mi dobře peče{#Gen.PAT} (=apprx. This oven is good for baking.)
#Idph	root node of an identification structure	Čtu {#Idph.PAT} Proti všem. (=I'm reading Proti všem.)
#Neg	verbal negation (the morpheme <i>ne-</i> )	{#Neg.RHEM} <i>Neodejdu. (=I'm not leaving.)</i>
#PersPron	contextual ellipsis of an obligatory argument	{#PersPron.ACT} Rozhodl se odejít. (=He de- cided to leave.)
#QCor	quasi-controlled element	Mám {#QCor.ACT} plán studovat. (=He is plan- ning to study.)
#Rcp	obligatory modification missing due to its participation in a reciprocal relation	Poslanci jednali o novém zákoně {#Rcp.ADDR} (=The members of the Parliament discussed the new law.)
#Separ	paratactic structure root node	{#Separ.CONJ} střed nákup prodej (=middle sell buy)
#Some	non-verbal part of an elided verbonominal predicate, which cannot be represented by a copied node due to semantic reasons	Je stejný jako {být} {#Some.PAT} já. (=He is just like me.)
#Total	ellipsis of a totalizer governing a dependent restrictive clause	Mimo datum.RESTR se píší {#Total.RSTR} řadové číslovky slovy. (=Except for dates, the or- dinals are written in words.)
#Unsp	unspecified Actor	{#Unsp.ACT} Hlásili to v rozhlase. (=They an- nounced it on the radio.)
copied node (verb)	grammatical ellipsis of the predicate	(Pavel navštivil Janu.) David {navštívit.PRED} Jiřinu. (=(P. visited J.) David Jiřina.)
<b>copied node</b> (noun)	contextual ellipsis of the governing noun	Má rád červené {víno.PAT} i bílé víno. (=He likes both red and white wine.)

Table 6.8. Survey of newly established nodes

# **Chapter 7. Specific phenomena**

# 7.1. Multi-word lexical units

**Multi-word lexical units** are such collocations of two (or more) words that have a single lexical meaning. Multi-word lexical units are represented in several ways:

- A. **single node + multi-word t-lemma**: the multi-word lexical unit is represented by a single node and all its parts are contained in the t-lemma. Such a t-lemma is called multi-word t-lemma. Multi-word lexical units represented in this way are listed in Section 4.3, "Multi-word t-lemma".
- B. **single node + grammateme**: the multi-word lexical unit is represented by a single node whose t-lemma corresponds to one of the components of the lexical unit. The information regarding other components of the unit is encoded in the values of various grammatemes. This holds for:

modal predicates (the deontmod grammateme; see Section 7.1.1.1, "Modal predicates")

C. **more than one node+ special functor**: the multi-word lexical unit is represented by several nodes and the fact that these form a single unit is captured by using a special functor. This holds for:

**complex predicates** (the CPHR functor; see Section 7.1.1.4, "Complex predicates") **idioms** (the DPHR functor; see Section 7.1.2, "Idioms") **complex (coordinating) connectives** (the CM functor)

**Complex co-ordinating connectives.** When analyzing coordinating connectives, the following two are distinguished: **coordinating conjunction** and **conjunction modifier**. A complex co-ordinating connective is represented by at least two nodes: a node for the conjunction (nodetype = coap); see also Section 6.4, "Parataxis") and a node for the conjunction modifier. The node for the conjunction modifier is an immediate daughter of the root of a paratactic structure and has the CM functor. For example:

Dostavil se jediný člověk, a navíc.CM nespecialista. (=Only one person turned up, a layman to boot.) Fig. 7.1

D. **more than one node+ special structure**: the multi-word lexical unit is represented by several nodes and the fact that they form a unit is expressed by assigning them a special structure. This holds for:

non-inflected titles (see Section 7.3.1, "Identification structure")

# Figure 7.1. Multi-word coordinating connective

Dostavil se jediný člověk, a navíc nespecialista. (=lit. Arrived REFL only_one person, and moreover layman.)



# 7.1.1. Multi-word predicates

**Multi-word predicates** are defined as cases where the predicate as a lexical unit is represented at the surface level of the sentence not only by a finite verb form but where the predicate additionally incorporates the meaning of other words - verbs, nouns, adverbs. Multi-word predicates include:

modal predicates (see Section 7.1.1.1, "Modal predicates"), phase predicates (see Section 7.1.1.2, "Phase predicates"), quasi-modal and quasi-phase predicates (see Section 7.1.1.3, "Quasi-modal and quasi-phase predicates"), complex predicates (see Section 7.1.1.4, "Complex predicates"), verbonominal predicates (see Section 7.1.1.5, "Verbonominal predicates (the copula *být*)"), verbal idioms (see Section 7.1.2, "Idioms").

# 7.1.1.1. Modal predicates

**Modal predicates** are defined as multi-word predicates comprising a modal verb which expresses (in addition to the finite verbal meanings) the modal meaning of the predicate, and the infinitive of a full verb, carrying the main lexical meaning of the expression as a whole.

The following are distinguished:

- modal verb: dát se (=be possible); dovést (=be able); hodlat (=be willing); chtít (=want); mít (=have an obligation); moci / moct (=can); muset (=must); smět (=may); umět (can).
- infinitive of a full verb.

The basic annotation of modal predicates. A modal predicate is represented by a single node with the t-lemma of the full verb. Information on the modality of this predicate expressed by the modal verb is contained in the value of the deontic modality grammateme (deontmod; see Section A.2.8.3, "gram/deontmod"). The following combinations are represented in the basic way (by copying the nodes) :

(negated) modal verb + non-negated infinitive of a full verb.	Petr <u><chce> přijít</chce></u> na koncert. [deontmod = vol] (=Petr wants to come to the concert.) Fig. 7.2
(negated) modal verb + paratactic connection of non-negated infinitives of full verbs	Petr <u><nechce> přijít</nechce></u> [deontmod = vol] a <u>zůstat</u> [deontmod = vol]. (=Petr doesn't want to come and stay.) Fig. 7.3
paratactic connection of (negated) modal verbs + non-negated infinitive of a full verb.	Petr nemohl a nemůže přijít na koncert. (=Petr could not, and can- not, come to the concert.) = Petr <u><nemohl> {přijít}</nemohl></u> [deontmod = poss] a <u><nemůže> přijít</nemůže></u> [deontmod = poss] na koncert. Fig. 7.4
paratactic connection of (negated) modal verbs + paratactic connection of non-negated infinitives of full verbs	Takže to nemohli a nemohou potvrdit ani vyvrátit. (=So they couldnot and cannot confirm or deny it.) = Takže to <a href="mailto:seam:employed">seam: {potvrdit}</a> [deontmod=poss] a <a href="mailto:seam:employed">nemohou&gt; potvrdit</a> [deontmod=poss] a <a href="mailto:seam:employed">seam:employed</a> ani <a href="mailto:seam:employed">tyvrátit</a> [deontmod=poss] a <a href="mailto:seam:employed">seam:employed</a> ani <a href="mailto:seam:employed">tyvrátit</a> [deontmod=poss] a <a href="mailto:seam:employed">seam:employed</a>

The basic annotation cannot be used for modal predicates with a negated infinitive of the full verb and cases of more modal meanings within one modal predicate (layering of modal meanings). This concerns the following combinations:

(negated) modal verb + negated infinitive of a full verb	<i>Karel <u>může</u> <u>nepřijít</u> na koncert. (=Karel may not come to the concert.)</i>
	<i>Karel <u>nemůže</u> <u>nepřijít</u> na koncert. (=Karel cannot not come to the concert.)</i> Fig. 7.5
	Petr <u>mohl</u> a <u>může nepřijít</u> na koncert. (=Petr could and can not come to the concert.) Fig. 7.6
modal verb + modal verb + infinitive of a full verb	<pre>Petr <u>může</u> [deontmod = decl] &lt;<u>chtít&gt; přijít</u>.PAT [deontmod = vol] na koncert. (=Petr may want to come to the concert.) Fig. 7.7</pre>
	Petr <u>nemůžechtít</u> .PAT <u>nepřijít</u> .PAT na koncert. (=Petr cannot want not to come to the concert.)

**Modal predicate with a negated infinitive of a full verb**. In cases in which the infinitive of the full verb is negated both the modal verb and the infinitive of the full verb are represented by separate nodes. The grammateme deont-mod is assigned the value decl at both nodes. The node representing the infinitive of the full verb has the functor PAT and is dependent on the node representing the modal verb.

Layering of modal meanings within one modal predicate. In cases of layering of modal meanings, the first modal verb in the sequence is always represented by a separate node with the t-lemma corresponding to the infinitive of this modal verb. In the deontic modality grammateme the value decl is entered at that node (the modal meaning of the first modal verb is not represented by a grammateme, but by the lexical meaning of the node of the given modal verb). The second modal verb in the sequence and the infinitive of the full verb are represented by a single node (unless the infinitive is negated in which case they would be represented by separate nodes).

### Figure 7.2. Modal predicates

### Figure 7.3. Modal predicates

*Petr chce přijít na koncert. (=lit. Petr wants to_come to (the) concert.)* 



Petr nechce přijít a zůstat. (=lit. Petr does_not_want to_come and stay.)



### Figure 7.4. Modal predicates

#### Figure 7.5. Modal predicates

Petr nemohl a nemůže přijít na koncert (=lit. Petr could_not Karel nemůže nepřijít na koncert. (=lit. Karel canand cannot come to (the) concert.) not not_come to (the) concert)



### Figure 7.6. Modal predicates

Petr mohl a může nepřijít na koncert. (=lit. Petr could and can not_to_come to (the) concert.)



# Figure 7.7. Layering of modal meanings

Petr může chtít přijít na koncert. (=lit. Petr may want to_come to (the) concert.)



# 7.1.1.2. Phase predicates

**Phase predicate** is a multi-word predicate consisting of a phase verb (*začít* (=*begin*), *zahájit* (=*start*), *přestat* (=*stop*), *skončit* (=*finish*) etc.), which, beside the grammatical meanings, refers to the phase of the event, and the infinitive of a full verb, which carries the main lexical meaning of the predicate as a whole.

No grammatemes have been established to represent the phase of an event. Phase predicates are therefore always represented by two nodes: a node representing the phase verb and a node representing the infinitive of the full verb. The node for the full verb has the functor PAT and is dependent on the node for the phase verb. For example:

Začnu. PRED pracovat. PAT v pondělí. (=I will start work on Monday.) Fig. 7.8

# Figure 7.8. Phase predicate

Začnu pracovat v pondělí. (=lit. (I) will_start work on Monday.)



# 7.1.1.3. Quasi-modal and quasi-phase predicates

**Quasi-modal predicates** and **quasi-phase predicates** are defined as combinations of a quasi-modal or quasi-phase verb (expressing the grammatical meanings and modal or phase meaning) with the infinitive of a full verb, carrying the main lexical meaning of the predicate. A quasi-modal or quasi-phase predicate is formed by:

a. **a quasi-modal or quasi-phase verb**, i.e. by a multi-word synonym of a modal verb (semantically empty verb + noun or adverb with a modal meaning). In addition to the modality, also a phasal meaning can be present with quasi-modal verbs.

Depending on the means of expression of the verbal and non-verbal components, three groups of quasimodal and quasi-phase verbs are identified, distinguished in the annotation rather due to the gradual development of the annotation rules than to satisfy a need to distinguish the respective groups; see Table 7.1, "**Representing quasi-modal and quasi-phase verbs**".

b. **the infinitive of a full verb**. The infinitive of a full verb may also be nominalised and the main lexical meaning of the predicate is then expressed by a noun (frequently in a prepositional case). Cf:

*začít pracovat (=to start working)*  $\rightarrow$  *dostat chuť pracovat (=to get an appetite to work)*  $\rightarrow$  *dostat chuť k práci (=to get an appetite for work)* 

The infinitive of the full verb (or its nominalization) is usually a valency modification of the non-verbal part of the quasi-modal or quasi-phase verb. The fact that the three components form a single predicate is not represented in any way. The infinitive is not assigned any deontic modality grammateme.
Definition of the group	Representation	Examples
verb (not $b\dot{y}t$ ) + noun	following the same rules as in the case of complex predic- ates (see Section 7.1.1.4, "Complex predicates")	mít plán (=have a plan) dát se do práce (=start work) dostat nápad (=get an idea)
$b\acute{y}t$ + adjective or noun	following the same rules as in the case of verbonominal predicates (see Section 7.1.1.5, "Verbonominal predicates (the copula $b\dot{y}t$ )")	být schopen (=be able) být nutné (=be necessary) být povinností (=be obliged)
$b\dot{y}t$ + predicative adverb	the CPHR functor is used (it is assigned to the predicative adverb)	být nutno (=be necessary) být třeba (=be necessary)

 Table 7.1. Representing quasi-modal and quasi-phase verbs

Example:

Má povinnost. CPHR odejít. (=He has an obligation to leave.) Fig. 7.9

#### Figure 7.9. Quasi-modal predicate

Má povinnost odejít. (=lit. (He) has (an) obligation to leave.)



#### 7.1.1.4. Complex predicates

**Complex predicates** are multi-word predicates consisting of a semantically empty verb which expresses the grammatical meanings in the sentence, and a noun (frequently denoting an event or a state), which carries the main lexical meaning of the entire predicate. A complex predicate forms a single multi-word lexical unit for which an appropriate synonymous expression can usually be found in the form of a one-word predicate. Cf.:

hovořit (=talk)  $\rightarrow$  vést rozhovor (=have a talk/conversation) plánovat (=to plan)  $\rightarrow$  mít plán (=to have a plan) nárokovat si (=to claim)  $\rightarrow$  činit si nárok (=to make a claim) připravovat se (=to prepare (oneself))  $\rightarrow$  dělat přípravy (=to make preparations) omezit (=to limit)  $\rightarrow$  provést omezení (=to impose a limitation) zajímat se (=to be interested)  $\rightarrow$  projevit zájem (=to show interest) The existence of an adequate synonymous one-word expression is not however necessary for considering a certain collocation of a semantically empty verb and a meaning-bearing noun as a complex predicate.

The following parts of complex predicates are distinguished:

- the verbal part of a complex predicate.
- **the nominal part of a complex predicate**, i.e. the dependent noun, which carries the main lexical meaning of the entire predicate.

**Basic annotation rules for complex predicates**. A complex predicate is represented in the tectogrammatical tree by two nodes: by a node representing the verbal component of the complex predicate and by a node representing the nominal component of the complex predicate. The node representing the verbal part is assigned a functor according to the function of the entire complex predicate in the sentence structure. The nominal part is assigned the CPHR functor, which signals that it is a part of a multi-word predicate. The node is represented as an immediate daughter of the node for the verbal component. For example:

Vyvolala u něho nadšení.CPHR (=She aroused his enthusiasm.) Fig. 7.10

#### Figure 7.10. Complex predicate

Vyvolala u něho nadšení. (=lit. (She) aroused at him enthusiasm.)



#### 7.1.1.4.1. Quasi-control with complex predicates

The fact that a complex predicate is semantically a single unit has the consequence that certain valency modifications of the verbal and nominal parts are identical in reference. In other words, the nominal and verbal parts of complex predicates share certain valency modifications. This is called **quasi-control**, a specific type of grammatical coreference (see Section 9.2, "Grammatical coreference").

**Representing quasi-control with complex predicates**. This referentially identical (shared) valency modification is expressed only once at the surface level. The annotators must first of all determine whether the given valency modification belongs to the verbal or the nominal part of the complex predicate. If the valency modification occurs in the valency frames of both parts of the complex predicate but the form corresponds only to one of them, it is represented by a node dependent on the part that determines the form. However, those cases are problematic where the expressed valency modification occurs in the same form in the valency frames of both parts of the complex predicate. A simple convention has been accepted for these cases: the shared modification is represented by a node dependent on the verbal part.

In place of the other (shared) valency modification that is omitted at the surface level (as a rule it is a valency modification of the nominal part of the complex predicate), a new node is added to the tectogrammatical tree, with the t-lemma substitute #QCor. In addition to the special t-lemma, referential identity is also indicated by the

#### Specific phenomena

grammatical co-reference relation leading from this added node to the node for the second shared valency modification. If the shared valency modification is not expressed at the surface level at all, it is represented in the nominal component of the complex predicate by a newly established node with the t-lemma #QCor; in the verbal component, the newly established node for this modification has a t-lemma substitute depending on the type of elision (for the rules see Section 6.6.1.2, "Ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit"); thus: #Gen, #PersPron, possibly #Unsp.

Examples:

Petr dostal {#Gen.ORIG} {#QCor.ACT} rozkaz přijít. (=Petr got the order to come.) Fig. 7.11

<u>Pavel</u>.ACT dal <u>Petrovi</u>.ADDR {#QCor.ACT} {#QCor.ADDR} radu.CPHR (=Pavel gave Petr a piece of advice.) Fig. 7.12

#### Figure 7.11. Quasi-control with complex predicates

Petr dostal rozkaz přijít. (=lit. Petr got order to_come.)



#### Figure 7.12. Quasi-control with complex predicates

Pavel dal Petrovi radu. (=lit. Pavel gave Petr advice.)



#### 7.1.1.5. Verbonominal predicates (the copula být)

**Verbonominal predicate** is a collocation of the verb  $b\dot{y}t$  (=be) (whose function is primarily to carry the grammatical meanings) and another word, carrying the main lexical meaning of the predicate. Verbonominal predicates can express a wide range of meanings: identity of the Actor and Patient, description, classification or quantification. With verbonominal predicates, we distinguish:

- the verbal part, i.e. the copula být.
- **the non-verbal part**, i.e. the semantic adjective or noun in the nominative or instrumental. The non-verbal part can also be expressed by a noun in the genitive, infinitive, dependent clause, adverb or interjection.

**Representing verbonominal predicates in the tectogrammatical trees**. Verbonominal predicates are represented by two nodes: one for the verbal and one for the non-verbal part. The node representing the verbal part is assigned a functor depending on the function of the whole predicate in the sentence structure. The node for the non-verbal part is assigned the PAT functor (which stands for a wide range of meanings) and is an immediate daughter of the node for the verbal part. The fact that the two parts form a single predicate is not indicated in any way (except for the valency frame of the verb  $b\dot{y}t$ ). For example:

Kočka je savec.PAT (=The cat is a mammal.) Fig. 7.13

Jíst <u>je obřad</u>.PAT (=Eating is a ritual.) Jirka <u>je hodný</u>.PAT (=Jirka is kind.)

Dětí je pět.PAT (=There are five children.)

To je fuk.PAT (=It doesn't matter.)

#### Figure 7.13. Verbonominal predicate

Kočka je savec. (=lit. Cat is mammal.)



### 7.1.2. Idioms

**Idiom (idiomatic expression)** is a collocation of two or more words with a fixed lexical content that form a single lexical unit, which has a metaphorical meaning (as a whole; the meaning cannot be computed from its parts). Idiomatic expressions have two parts:

- the governing element, i.e. the syntactically governing part of the idiom.
- the dependent part, i.e. all other expressions that are part of the idiom.

Further, we distinguish:

- a. **non-verbal idioms**, i.e. idioms whose governing node is not a verb with a regular paradigm. The governing node of a non-verbal idiom can be a verb, but this verb never has a complete paradigm, it is always a more or less fixed verb form (e.g.: *stůj co stůj (=at any cost)*; *chtě nechtě (=willy nilly)*). If it is not possible to determine unequivocally the governing element of the idiom, it is the first expression in the sequence.
- b. verbal idioms, i.e. idioms the governing node of which is a verb with a full paradigm.

**Representing idioms in the tectogrammatical trees**. Idiomatic expressions are represented by two nodes: a mother node and its immediate daughter. The mother node is the governing node of the idiom and has a functor depending on the position of the whole idiom in the structure. The dependent part is represented by a single node with the functor DPHR (nodetype = dphr), which indicates that the node forms an idiom with its mother node. The t-lemma with the functor DPHR consists of all the dependent parts of the idiom (incl. prepositions), linked by the underscore character, the order being identical to the surface word order (see also Section 4.3, "Multi-word t-lemma").

Examples of non-verbal idioms:

Hledá investici šitou.COMPL na míru.DPHR (=..made to measure) Fig. 7.14

Zavřeli mě pro nic.CAUS za nic.DPHR (=They arrested me for no reason.)

<u>Široko.LOC</u> <u>daleko</u>.DPHR nebylo vidět žádnou policii. (=For miles around, there was no police to be seen.)

Examples of verbal idioms:

Házeli. PRED nám klacky pod nohy. DPHR (=They put obstacles in his way.) Fig. 7.15

<u>Dával</u>.PRED mi neustále <u>najevo</u>.DPHR svou převahu. (=He made me feel his superiority.)

<u>Běhal</u>.PRED mu <u>mráz po zádech</u>.DPHR (=It was giving him the creeps.)

#### Figure 7.14. Non-verbal idiom

#### Figure 7.15. Verbal idiom

*Hledá investici šitou na míru. (=lit. (He) is_looking_for Házeli nám klacky pod nohy. (=lit. (They) were_throwing investment sewn to measure.) us bows under legs.)* 



### 7.2. Numbers and numerals

There are several groups of **numerals** and they are distinguished in the annotation:

a. **numerals in the role of an attribute (RSTR)**: numerals in the combination with the counted object (except for the numerals with the function of a container). The governing node is the node for the counted noun; the numeral depends on it. The node for the numeral is assigned the RSTR functor. For example:

Mám pět.RSTR domů a tři.RSTR auta. (=I've got five houses and three cars.) Fig. 7.16

náš <u>druhý</u>.RSTR nejlepší hráč (=our second best player)

b. **cardinal numerals without the counted object**. The numeral is considered a syntactic noun. The node for the numeral can get different functors. For example:

Zvolili tři.PAT z pěti místopředsedů. (=They elected three out of five vice-chairs.) Fig. 7.20

Kolik.PAT mi dáš? (=How much will you give me?)

c. numerals with the function of a "container": numeral expressions: milion (=million), (and other ending with -ion), miliarda (=billion), polovina (=half), polovice (=half), půl(e) (=half), třetina (=(one) third), čtvrt (=quarter), čtvrtina (=quarter), tisícina (=(one) thousandth), tucet (=dozen), veletucet (=twelve dozen), kopa (=heap), řada (=row), spousta (=a lot), hromada (=pile), zástup (=crowd), dav (=crowd), dvojice (=couple), trojice (=trio), sto (=hundred), tisíc (=thousand), trocha/u (=a bit) with the counted object. The governing node is the node for the numeral-container, the node for the counted object depends on it. The node for the counted object is assigned the functor MAT. For example:

Žije tu jeden.RSTR milion lidí.MAT (=One million people live here.) Fig. 7.17

d. **numerals used as "labels"**: definite cardinal numerals (mostly written in digits) that are used to number/label objects (e.g. phone/fax numbers, house numbers, postal codes, product codes, numbers in product names). The node for the numeral is usually assigned the RSTR functor (in addresses). For example:

Nový Golf <u>500</u>.RSTR už je na trhu. (=New Golf 500 is already on the market.) Fig. 7.18

e. **numerals with adverbial meanings**: numerals: *pětkrát (=five times), několikrát (=several times), jednou (=once), podruhé (=for the second time)* etc. The node for the numeral is assigned an adjunct functor corresponding to the position in the structure. For example:

Vyhráli jsme jen dvakrát. THO (=We only won twice.) Fig. 7.19

**NB!** Adjectival numerals and numeral expressions *hodně* (=a lot), více (=more), méně (=less), mnoho (=much/many), málo (=little/few), stejně (=the same), plno (=plenty), dost (=enough) used separately, without a counted object, are considered syntactic adjectives (attribute function). A new node for the governing noun is added to the structure, following the rules in Section 6.6.1.1, "Ellipsis of a governing meaning unit". For example:

<u>Třetí.RSTR {#EmpNoun.PAT}</u> už jsme nestihli. (=We missed the third one.) Fig. 7.21

*Má <u>hodně</u>*.RSTR {#EmpNoun.PAT} (=*He's got a lot.*)

**Numerals written in digits**. For the annotation of numerals written in digits essentially the same rules apply. If a complex numeral expression is written in digits, it is represented by a single node; e.g.:

Mám <u>38 234</u>.RSTR <u>korun</u>.PAT (=I've got 38 234 crowns.)

Numeral expression of the type "sto čtyřicet tisíc lidí". Complex numeral expressions are not assigned any inner structure

a. if the numeral expression contains one or more numerals with the function of a container, the one with the highest value is the governing node of the whole expression. All other parts of the numeral expression are dependent on this node (as sisters with respect to each other) and they have the functor RSTR. For example:

sto.RSTR čtyřicet.RSTR tisíc židů.MAT (=one hundred and forty thousand Jews.) Fig. 7.22

b. if there is no numeral with the function of a container present, the governing node is the node for the counted object and all parts of the numeral expression depend on it (as sisters) and have the RSTR functor. For example:

*třicet*.RSTR *osm*.RSTR *žáků* (=thirty-eight pupils)

**Numeral expression of the type "tyč dlouhá 2 m 10 cm 4 mm"**. Combinations of numerals and measure units are not assigned any inner structure. The measure unit with the highest value is considered the governing node of the whole collocation. The nodes for the other measure units depend on this node (as sisters) and are assigned the RSTR functor; the nodes for the numerals depend on their respective measure units and also have the RSTR functor. For example:

*Tyč je dlouhá <u>2</u> m*.EXT <u>10 cm</u>.RSTR <u>4 mm</u>.RSTR (=*The pole is 2m 10cm and 4mm long.*) Fig. 7.23

Žije tu jeden milión lidí. (=lit. Lives here one million (of)



Mám pět domů a tři auta. (=lit. (I) have five houses and three cars.)



#### Figure 7.18. Numeral with the function of a "label" Figure 7.19. Numerals with adverbial meanings

Nový Golf 500 už je na trhu. (=lit. New Golf 500 already Vyhráli jsme jen dvakrát. (=lit. (We) won AUX only is on market.) twice.)



### Figure 7.20. Cardinal numerals without the counted Figure 7.21. Adjectival numerals without the counted object object

Zvolili tři z pěti místopředsedů. (=lit. (They) elected three Třetí už jsme nestihli. (=lit. The_third (we) already AUX out_of five vice-chairs.) missed.)



#### Figure 7.22. Complex numeral expressions

sto čtyřicet tisíc židů (=lit. hundred forty thousand Jews.)



#### Figure 7.23. Complex numeral expressions

Tyč je dlouhá 2 m 10 cm 4 mm. (=lit. Pole is long 2 m 10 cm 4 mm.)



## 7.3. Identifying expressions

Identifying expressions are expressions used for identification (proper names, and titles, meta-language use).

Identifying expressions are divided into two basic groups and these are distinguished in the annotation

a. **identifying expressions with the governing element inflected regularly**. The effective root of an identifying expression (the inflected noun) has a functor according its position in the structure. Nodes dependent on the effective root are analyzed according to the standard annotation rules. The root of the modifier of an identifying expression is always an immediate daughter node of the root of the identification structure. For example:

*Čtu <u>Babičku</u>*.EFF (=I'm reading Babička.)

<u>Organizace</u>.DENOM <u>Spojených</u>.RSTR <u>národů</u>.APP <u>pro výchovu</u>.BEN , <u>vědu</u>.BEN <u>a</u>.CONJ <u>kulturu</u>.BEN (=The United Nations Organization for Education, Science and Culture) Fig. 7.24

Jeho.APP Máj.ACT je otrhaný. (=His Máj is all torn.) Fig. 7.25

b. **other identifying expressions**: identifying expressions that do not conform to the criteria for being included in the first group (their governing node is not a regularly inflected noun). These are represented as identification structures (see Section 7.3.1, "Identification structure"). For example:

kniha <u>Obsluhoval jsem</u>.ID <u>anglického</u>.RSTR <u>krále</u>.PAT (=the book Obsluhoval jsem anglického krále)

#### Specific phenomena

**Nominative of identity**: The nominative of identity is a modification of a (common) noun introducing a proper noun, title, an expression used metalinguistically or an expression quoted word for word. Both groups of identifying expressions can occupy the position of the nominative of identity. When in the position of the nominative of identity, the identifying expression is always represented as an identification structure (see Section 7.3.1, "Identification structure"). For example:

*hory <u>Krkonoše</u>.ID (=the Krkonoše mountains)* 

symfonická báseň <u>Z českých</u>.RSTR <u>luhů</u>.ID a <u>hájů</u>.ID (=the symphonic poem Z českých luhů a hájů)

**Explicative genitive**: an expression in the genitive modifying a common noun while the following transformation is applicable: *trest smrti (=death penalty, lit. penalty of_death)*  $\rightarrow$  *smrt je (druhem) trest(u) (=death is a kind of punishment)*. This specific type of identifying expressions is analyzed with the help of an identification structure (see Section 7.3.1, "Identification structure"). For example:

pojem <u>času</u>.ID (=the concept of time)

otázka laickosti.ID (=the laicism issue)

osoba V. <u>Klause</u>.ID (=lit. person (of) VK)

**Proper names of people (the is_name_of_person attribute)**. At all nodes representing expressions which are constituents of proper names of people (nodes representing first name or surname) the value 1 is entered in the attribute is_name_of_person (see Section A.2.13, "is_name_of_person").

## Figure 7.24. Identifying expression with the inflected governing Figure 7.25. Identifying expression with the inflected governing noun

Organizace Spojených národů pro výchovu, vědu a kulturu. (=lit. Organization (of) United Nations for Education, Science and Culture)

Jeho Máj je otrhaný. (=lit. His Máj is torn.)





### 7.3.1. Identification structure

Identification structure is illustrated in Fig. 7.26 and Fig. 7.27.

#### Figure 7.26. Identification structure I



Figure 7.27. Identification structure II



**Root node of an identification structure**. The root of an identification structure is the node representing the common noun. If the identifying expression occurs at the surface level without its common noun, a new node is added to the tectogrammatical tree in the position of the root of the identification structure, with the t-lemma substitute #Idph. The root node of an identification structure is assigned a functor depending on its position in the sentence structure.

**Effective root node of an identifying expression**. The effective root nodes of the identifying expression, which all have the functor ID are dependent on the root of the identification structure. The effective roots of an identifying expression are nodes representing expressed governing nodes of the identifying expression not dependent on any other node. This means that a possible case of ellipsis of the governing element is not represented with identifying expressions.

The effective root of an identifying expression is usually identical to **the root of an identifying expression**. The effective root nodes of an identifying expression are not identical with the root of the identifying expression only in cases where the identifying expression has more governing nodes, which are paratactically connected. Identifying expressions can also have more roots: in cases in which the identifying expression has more governing elements (effective roots), which are not paratactically connected.

**Items of an identifying expression**. All nodes representing the individual words of an identifying expression are the items of the identifying expression. The structure of identifying expressions undergoes further analysis. Unless

stated otherwise, the rule is that the elements dependent on the effective root nodes of an identifying expression are annotated according to the standard annotation rules and their functor is assigned according to the nature of their dependency.

**Modifiers of identification structures**. Identifying expressions can be further modified (as a whole). The root of the modifier is always an immediate daughter node of the root of the identification structure. Examples:

cedule s nápisem. ACMP "Romy neobsluhujeme.ID." (=the notice We do not serve Romanies) Fig. 7.28

{#Idph.ACT} V sobotu.ID v poledne.ID je hezký film. (=V sobotu v poledne is a good film.) Fig. 7.29

Jiráskovo {#Idph.DENOM} Proti všem.ID (=Jirásek's Proti všem) Fig. 7.30

#### Figure 7.28. Identification structure

cedule s nápisem "Romy neobsluhujeme." (=lit. board with inscription Romanies (we) do_not_serve)



#### **Figure 7.29. Identification structure**

#### Figure 7.30. Identification structure

V sobotu v poledne je hezký film. (=lit. On Saturday at Jiráskovo Proti všem. (=lit. Jirásek's Proti všem) noon is nice film.)



## 7.4. Foreign-language expressions

Foreign-language expressions those text segments that are written in a language other than Czech.

List structure for foreign-language expressions. Foreign-language expressions are represented as a list structure (see Fig. 7.31 and Fig. 7.32). The root of a list structure for foreign-language expressions is a newly established node with the t-lemma substitute #Forn (nodetype = list). The root of a list structure is assigned a functor corresponding to the function of the foreign-language expression (as a whole) in the sentence structure. All expressions of the foreign-language text segment as well as all punctuation marks and other symbols are represented by separate nodes in the tree as immediate daughters of the root of the list structure. Thus, they are represented as sister nodes, in the surface word order. These nodes (representing the items of the list) are assigned the FPHR functor (nodetype = fphr). The t-lemmas of these nodes are the unchanged forms of the foreign-language words.

**Modifiers of a list**: Foreign-language expressions can be further modified (as a whole) by a Czech expression. The root of the modifier is always an immediate daughter node of the root of the list structure.

Figure 7.31. List structure for foreign-language expressions I



Figure 7.32. List structure for foreign-language expressions II



Přispíval do britských Financial Times. (=lit. (He) was_contributing to British Financial Times.)

Examples:

*firma* {#Forn.ID} <u>*Eagle*.FPHR <u>*Group*</u>.FPHR <u>*V*</u>.FPHR [#Period.FPHR] <u>*A*</u>.FPHR [#Period.FPHR] (=the EGVA company) Fig. 7.33</u>

Nenahraditelný je pro sledování {#Forn.PAT} cash.FPHR flow.FPHR (=important for cash flow monitoring)

*v deniku* {#Forn.ID} *<u>Financial</u>.FPFR <u><i>Times*</u>.FPFR (=in the FT newspaper)

**NB**!Common loan words (even if indeclinable) and foreign-language words with Czech morphology are not represented by means of a list structure (*Vyprávěl o včerejší <u>extra.RSTR show.PAT</u> (=He was telling us about the yesterday extra show)*); the same holds for European proper names and one-word foreign-language titles in the nominative of identity (*časopis <u>Times.ID</u> (=the Times magazine)*).

#### Figure 7.33. Foreign-language expression

firma Eagle Group V. A. (=lit. company Eagle Group V. A.)



### 7.5. Direct speech

By **direct speech** we mean quoted spoken or written speech that is not formally integrated into the structure. The entire original utterance is quoted, including the original grammatical tenses and persons.

**Representing direct speech**. At the root node of the sub-tree representing direct speech, the value 1, is entered in the attribute is_dsp_root (see Section A.2.10, "is_dsp_root"), even if the direct speech is not graphically marked. Nodes for expressions that are part of graphically marked direct speech have the quot/type attribute filled with the value dsp (see Section 7.6, "Text segments marked by graphic symbol").

Annotation rules for direct speech vary according to whether the direct speech is independent or introduced by a reporting clause:

A. **independent direct speech**. If the direct speech is independent (i.e. not introduced by a reporting clause), the direct speech is annotated according to the rules described in Section 6.3, "Clauses (governing, dependent, verbal, non-verbal)"; i.e. it is represented as a verbal or non-verbal clause. For example:

"Máme.PRED několik set členů." [is dsp root=1] (="We have several hundred members.")

"*Pardon*.PARTL"[is dsp root=1]

"*Rozchod*.DENOM !" [is dsp root=1] (="Dismiss!")

- B. direct speech introduced by a reporting clause. The basic ways of direct speech representation are:
  - a. **the direct speech is an argument of a verb or adjective**. If the direct speech is an argument of a verb (or adjective) in the reporting clause and unless the given valency position is already occupied by another modification, the effective root node of the direct speech has an appropriate argument functor and depends on the verb. For example:

Jeho odpověď byla: "<u>Přijdu</u>.PAT" (=His answer was: I will come.)

*Řekl, že nepřijde*.EFF[is_member=1]: "*Určitě <u>se nedostavím</u>.*EFF[is_member=1]." (=He said he wouldn't come: I will certainly not arrive.) Fig. 7.34

*Trenér Sparty: "<u>Nehráli jsme</u>.*PAT *špatně."* [#Colon.PRED] *(=The coach: We didn't play too badly.)* Fig. 7.35 b. **direct speech is a modification of a noun** Direct speech may be an argument of a noun, or, if the noun introducing the direct speech has no valency, it is represented as a non-valency modification with the functor RSTR. For example:

*Nesdílím <u>názor</u> trenéra: "Hráč pro zranění <u>nenastoupí</u>.PAT ." (=I don't share the view of the coach: The player will not play.)* 

Jeho slova "Závidím.RSTR mu." nás překvapila. (=His words I envy him surprised us.) Fig. 7.38

If the direct speech cannot be interpreted as a modification of a word in the reporting clause, one of the following representations is used:

c. if it is possible to add a verb of saying as an argument of the verb in the reporting clause (e.g. *říkat*), a **new node for this verb of saying is inserted into the tree**, a node with the t-lemma substitute #EmpVerb and an appropriate functor. The effective root node of the direct speech is dependent on the newly added node and it has the functor EFF. For example:

*Posadil se a začal*{#EmpVerb.PAT} : "*Nejdříve mi <u>vysvětlete</u>*.EFF, co se stalo." (=He sat down and started: First of all tell me what happened.)

d. if it is possible to attach the direct speech to the reporting clause with the help of a transgressive (gerund) of a verb of saying (the subject of the verb in the reporting clause and the subject of the added transgressive are identical), then **a new node for the transgressive is added to the tree**, with the t-lemma substitute #EmpVerb and the functor COMPL (the node stands for expressions like *řka* (=*saying*) etc. The effective root node of the direct speech is dependent on the newly established node and it has the functor EFF. For example:

*Nepřesvědčivý výkon vysvětloval trenér* {#EmpVerb.COMPL} : "<u>Hráli jsme</u>.EFF jen napůl." (=The coach was explaining the failure: We didn't play well enough.) Fig. 7.36

*Vtrhl do dveří* {#EmpVerb.COMPL} : "*Kdy* <u>bude</u>.EFF večeře?" (=*He rushed into the door: When will the dinner be ready*?)

e. if the direct speech is not a modification of the reporting clause, we represent it as **paratactically connected to the reporting clause**. The root node of the paratactic structure is usually a node representing a colon. For example:

*V jednotce se <u>lepší</u>*.PRED [is_member = 1] *nálada: "Porazíme*.PRED [is_member = 1] *je." (=The mood is getting better: We will beat them.)* Fig. 7.37

**NB!** In case the direct speech is a verbal or nominative clause, the argument (or another) functor is assigned directly to the effective root node of this nominative or verbal clause. If the direct speech is an (independent) vocative or interjectional clause, the effective root node of the direct speech is a newly established node for an empty verb (#EmpVerb) and the effective root node of the interjectional or vocative clause is represented as dependent on this newly established node. For example:

Zavelel: "<u>Rozchod</u>.PAT !" [is_dsp_root=1] (=He issued a command: Dismiss!)

*Řekl:* " {#EmpVerb.EFF [is_dsp_root=1]} *Pardon*.PARTL " (=*He said: Pardon.*)

#### Figure 7.34. Direct speech as an argument of the reporting verb

Řekl, že nepřijde: "Určitě se nedostavím." (=lit. (He) said that (he) will_not_come: Certainly (I) REFL will_not_arrive.)



Figure 7.35. Direct speech as an argument of the reporting verb

Trenér Sparty: "Nehráli jsme špatně." (=lit. Coach (of) Sparta: (We) did_not_play AUX badly.)



#### Figure 7.36. Direct speech as an argument of the reporting verb

Nepřesvědčivý výkon vysvětloval trenér: "Hráli jsme jen napůl." (=Unconvincing performance.ACC explained coach.NOM: (We) played AUX only halfway.)



Figure 7.37. Direct speech is not a modification of the reporting clause

V jednotce se lepší nálada: "Porazíme je." (=lit. In unit REFL is getting better mood: (We) will beat them.)



#### Figure 7.38. Direct speech as a modification of a noun

Jeho slova "Závidím mu." nás překvapila. (=lit. His words "(I) envy him" us surprised.)



## 7.6. Text segments marked by graphic symbol

Text segments marked by graphical symbols are text segments in quotation marks, brackets or between dashes.

**Quotation marks**. When analyzing text segments in quotation marks (double or simple) ("text segment in quotation marks") two kinds of information are specified (in the quot attribute (see Section A.2.17, "quot"), which is a list every item of which is a structure of attributes quot/type and quot/set id):

a. **scope of the quotation marks**, i.e. which part of the tree represents the expressions within the quotation marks.

For each text segment in quotation marks a unique identifier is selected. For all nodes representing expressions in the given text segment within quotation marks this unique identifier is encoded in the attribute quot/set_id. A node can be a member of one or more sets of such marked nodes (embedded quotation), or of none.

b. type of use, i.e. in which function the quotation marks have been used (direct speech, title, quotation).

Information on the type of use of the quotation marks is encoded in the quot/type attribute. Every node representing an expression that is part of a text segment in quotation marks is, apart from the quot/set_id attribute, which gathers the nodes of the text segment together, assigned also a value of the attribute quot/type. The type of use of the quotation marks is specified for the whole text segment. Therefore, it holds that nodes with the same identifier in the quot/set_id attribute have also the same value in the quot/type attribute. The quot/type attribute distinguishes four types of quotation mark use; see Table 7.2, "Types of quotation mark use".

Туре	quot/type	Definition	Examples
quotation	citation	a text segment representing a quoted speech, which is formally integrated in the construction. The quoted segment is not complete; usually just a part of utterance is quoted (even one word).	Dodal, že SRN se nechce s Japonskem " <u>tlačit</u> ", nýbrž " <u>podporovat</u> ". (=He added that the FRG did not want to "pressurise" Japan but to "be supportive") Řekl, že " <u>to není nutné</u> ." (=He said that "it is not necessary")
direct speech	dsp	direct speech (see Section 7.5, "Direct speech"); the quoted speech is a coherent (complete) unit	" <u>Máme několik set členů</u> ." (="We have several hundreds of members") Vhodnost investic obhajuje slovy: <u>"Velká část budov</u> je v zanedbaném stavu." (=He defends the invest- ments: "A big number of the buildings are in a bad condition")
meta- language	meta	expressions used metalinguistic- ally, i.e. expressions in which the words are not used in the usual way; the words themselves are the topic, i.e. their meaning or form	cedule s nápisem " <u>Romy neobsluhujeme</u> " (=the no- tice "We do not serve Romanies") Germanismus klika se užívá ve významu " <u>štěstí</u> ". (=The word klika is used in the meaning "luck") Potetovaná kůže znamená " <u>Já jsem tady kápo</u> ". (=Skin full of tatoos means: "I am the boss here")
title	title	proper name, title (identifying expression)	Lépe než " <u>Otvíráme</u> " měl pan ředitel svůj text nazvat " <u>otevřená dlaň</u> ". (=The text should have been named "open palm" rather than "we are opening") Do města přijeli vyzváni motem " <u>Kdo nebyl v</u> <u>Poděbradech, nemá rád tenis</u> ". (=They came, in- spired by the motto "Who wasn't in Podebrady, doesn't like tennis)
other cases	other	other types of use (irony, metaphor etc.)	Firma respektuje vlivy " <u>vyšší moci</u> ". (=The company respects the "higher forces") Jedna městská čtvrť " <u>váží</u> " sto kilogramů. (=One town quarter "weighs" 100 kilograms)

Table 7.2. Types of quotation mark use

**Text segments in brackets and between dashes** are not marked in any special way. **Brackets** are interpreted as signalling parenthesis. Text segments in brackets are annotated according to the rules in Section 6.5, "Parenthesis". The function of **dashes** delimiting a text segment can vary. In a number of cases text segments between dashes are treated as parenthesis (for the rules, see Section 6.5, "Parenthesis"); in other cases the dashes are treated as co-ordinating connectives.

## **Chapter 8. Functors and subfunctors**

**Functors** are semantic values of syntactic dependency relations. The information regarding the functor of each node is contained in the attribute functor (see Section A.2.7, "functor").

Subfunctors are described in Section 8.13, "Further specification of the meaning of a functor".

# 8.1. Functors for effective roots of independent clauses

**Functors for effective roots of independent clauses** are functors that indicate the clause type and signal that the clause is independent. There are different functors for verbal, nominative, interjectional and vocative clauses, as well as for parenthesis. For a survey of the functors for effective roots of independent clauses and their definitions see Table 8.1, "**Functors for effective roots of independent clauses**".

	Table 8.1.	Functors for	effective	roots of	independ	dent clauses
--	------------	--------------	-----------	----------	----------	--------------

Functor	Definition	Example
DENOM	effective root node of an independent nominative clause (which is not parenthetical)	<u>Názory</u> čtenářů. (=Our readers' opinions.)
PAR	effective root node of an independent verbal or nominat- ive clause (parenthesis)	Přijedu 13. prosince ( <u>pátek</u> ). (=I'll arrive on December 13th (Friday).)
PARTL	effective root node of an independent interjectional clause	Pozor! (=Watch out!)
PRED	effective root node of an independent verbal clause (which is not parenthetical)	Pavel <u>dal</u> kytku Marii. (=P. gave a flower to M.)
VOCAT	effective root node of an independent vocative clause	Pane <u>majore</u> , hodlám zavést nové prvky. (=Major, I intend to introduce some new elements.)

## 8.2. Argument functors

The basic definitions of the arguments are in Section 6.2, "Valency". For a brief survey of argument functors and their definitions see Table 8.2, "**Argument functors**".

**NB!** The modification with the MAT functor is also an argument; it is described in Section 8.10, "Specific adnominal functors".

Table 8.2. Argument functors

Functor	Definition	Examples
ACT	functor for the first argument In those cases when there is no argument shifting, the modification with the ACT	Ten <u>román</u> mě oslovil. (=The novel appealed to me.)
	functor refers to the human or non-human originator of the event, the bearer of the event or a quality/property or the experiencer.	Je <u>mi</u> smutno. (=I feel sad.) zločiny <u>mafie</u> na Sicílii (=mafia's crimes on Sicily)
		<u>jeho</u> výkon (=his performance) <u>K nehodě</u> došlo v noci na včerejšek. (=The accident took place the night before the last night.)

ADDR	functor used for arguments with the cognitive role of the recipient of the event. In those cases when the argument shifting does apply, the modification with the ADDR functor is assigned if the verb (noun, adjective) has at least three arguments.	Dal <u>dítěti</u> hračku. (=He gave the child a toy.) Vzal <u>dítěti</u> hračku. (=He took the toy from the child.) Obrátil se <u>na soud</u> s problémem. (=He turned to the court with a problem.) Albertyn vede <u>před Puzarem</u> . (=A. is leading before P.) projev necitlivosti <u>vůči mrtvým</u> (=insensitivity towards the dead)
EFF	functor used for arguments with the cognitive role of the effect/result of the event. In those cases when the argument shifting does apply, the modification with the EFF functor is assigned if the verb (noun, adjective) has at least three arguments.	Považoval Pavla <u>za odborníka</u> . (=He con- sidered P. a professional.) Činili si život <u>snesitelným</u> . (=They made each other's life bearable.) Změnila účes z kudrn <u>na</u> rovné <u>vlasy</u> . (=She changed her hairstyle from curly hair to straight hair.) Slyšet hodiny <u>tikat</u> . (=to hear the clock tick) Řekl, <u>že nepřijde.</u> (=He said he wouldn't come.)
ORIG	functor used for arguments with the cognitive role of the source of the event. In those cases when the argument shifting does apply, the modification with the ORIG functor is assigned if the verb (noun, adjective) has at least three arguments.	Vyráběli <u>ze dřeva</u> nábytek. (=They produced furniture made out of wood.) <u>Na</u> malých <u>kroužcích</u> založili novou organ- izaci. (=They build a new organization on small groups.) Slyšel o neštěstí <u>od učitele</u> . (=He heard about the accident from the teacher.) Zdražili vstupenky <u>z 500</u> na 550 Kč. (=The price of the tickets rose from 500 to 550Kc.) kaluž <u>z</u> tajícího <u>sněhu</u> (=a puddle from melt- ing snow)
PAT	functor for the second argument In those cases when there is no argument shifting, the modification with the PAT functor refers to the affected object (in the broad sense of the word).	Postavili <u>stany</u> . (=They pitched the tents.) Žasl jsem <u>nad kvalitou</u> sýrů. (=I was aston- ished at the quality of the cheeses.) Zapomněli jsme <u>dýchat</u> . (=We forgot to breathe.) Doporučují, <u>aby</u> stejnou studii <u>udělali</u> pro ostatní závody. (=They recommend to organ- ize a competition.) kniha <u>o dinosaurech</u> (=a book on dinosaurs) lidé odpovědní <u>za deportace</u> Židů (=the people responsible for the deportations of Jews.)

## 8.3. Temporal functors

**Temporal functors** represent a set of semantically differentiated functors for adjuncts that denote various time points or intervals to which the content of the governing node is related.

The individual temporal functors are differentiated according to which question about time they answer. For a survey of the temporal functors and their definitions see Table 8.3, "**Temporal functors**".

Functor	Definition	Examples
TFHL	for how long?	Přijel <u>na</u> dva <u>dny</u> . (=He came for two days.)
		Navždy vám budu vděčný. (=I'll be forever grateful.)
		kontejnery určené k <u>dlouhodobému</u> uskladňování vyhořelého paliva (=containers used for long-time storage of burn-out fuel)
		1

#### Table 8.3. Temporal functors

TFRWH	from when?	cukroví <u>od Vánoc</u> (=sweets from Christmas)
		<u>Z dětství</u> si nic nepamatuji. (=I don't remember anything from my childhood.)
		Zápisy jsou <u>odvčera</u> . (=The registration has been in progress since yesterday.)

THL	how long?	Dělal to <u>po</u> tři <u>léta</u> . (=He was doing it for three years.)
	in what time?	Napsal to <u>za</u> dvě <u>hodiny</u> . (=He wrote it in two hours.)
		Psal ten úkol dvě <u>hodiny</u> . (=He was writing the homework for two hours.)
		Dlouho se neviděli. (=They haven't seen each other for a long time.)
		Pracoval, <u>dokud měl</u> síly. (=He was working until he felt tired.)
		<u>třičtvrtěhodinové</u> vystoupení (=forty-five minute performance.)

тно	how often? how many times?	Rakouští přepravci musejí stavět <u>po</u> každých šesti <u>hodinách</u> . (=In Austria, the drivers have to stop every six hours.)
		Každé čtyři <u>hodiny</u> si musím vzít prášek. (=Every four hours I have to take a pill.)
		Scházeli se <u>denně</u> . (=They met every day.)
		každoroční vyúčtování nákladů (=annual accounts.)

тоwн	to when?	Svolal schůzi <u>na</u> šestou <u>hodinu</u> . (=He called the meeting for six o'clock.)
		<u>Pro</u> nejbližší <u>období</u> plánuje ODA setkání se slovenskými poslanci. (=In the next few months, ODA is planning)
		Odložíme to <u>napříště</u> . (=We'll put it off until next time.)

TPAR	during what time?	<u>Během</u> naší <u>dovolené</u> ani jednou nepršelo. (=During our holiday it didn't rain
	in parallel with what?	once.)
		Souběžně s přednáškou probíhaly semináře. (=Simultaneously with the lecture,
		there were some seminars.)
		<u>Cestou</u> do Norimberku jsme třikrát stavěli. (=On our way to Nuernberg, we stopped three times.)
		Zatímco spala, přemýšlel jsem. (=While she was sleeping, I was thinking.)

TSIN	since when?	Od soboty nepršelo. (=It didn't rain since Saturday.)
		<u>Počínaje snídaní</u> nic nejedl. (=He didn't eat anything since breakfast.)
		Odjakživa jsem neměl rád tohle město. (=I never liked this town.)
		<u>Co odešli</u> , je tu klid. (=Since they left, it is calm here.)

TTILL	till when?	<u>Do večera</u> budu v Praze. (=I'll be in Praha by the evening.)	
		<u><i>K</i></u> tomuto <u>termínu</u> bylo podáno 2173 žádostí. (=By this date, 2173 applications were submitted.)	
		<u>Dodnes</u> nevím, kde je. (=Until today I don't know where it is.)	
		Udělej to, <u>než se vrátím</u> . (=Do it before I come back.)	

TWHEN	when?	Přijdu <u>k večeru</u> . (=I'll come in the evening.)
		Uvidíme se <u>koncem roku</u> . (=We'll see each other at the end of the year.)
		<u><i>V</i> období dešťů</u> sloni zůstávají v horách. (=In the rainy period, the elephants stay in the mountains.)
		<u>Před týdnem</u> přestala dojíždět za prací. (=A week ago, she stopped commuting to work.)
		Sejdeme se 2. <u>února</u> . (=We'll meet on February 2nd.)
		Dočkáme se <u>brzy</u> rychlé dopravy. (=There will soon be a faster means of transport.)
		Sotva se naučil jeden jazyk, už se učí další. (=As soon as he learned one lan- guage, he started another one.)
		budoucí zvyšování výroby (=the future production increase)

## 8.4. Locative and directional functors

**Locative and directional functors** represent a set of semantically differentiated functors for adjuncts that - in a broader sense - denote places to which the content of the governing node is related.

The individual functors are differentiated according to which question about location or direction they answer. For a survey of the locative and directional functors and their definitions see Table 8.4, "Locative and directional functors".

Functor	Definition	Examples	
DIR1	where from?	Ustoupil <u>od stěny</u> . (=He made a step from the wall.)	
		Směrem od Prahy jede víc aut. (=In the direction from Praha, there are more cars.)	
		jeden <u>z chlapců</u> (=one of the boys)	
		Zevnitř se ozval hluk. (=There was some noise coming from inside.)	
DIR2	which way?	Prošli <u>blízko</u> toho <u>domu</u> . (=They passed near the house.)	
		Souběžně s železnicí vede silnice. (=The road is parallel with the railway.)	
		Využila pohledu <u>skrz okno</u> . (=through the window)	
		Maršál Rommel ustupoval <u>údolím</u> řeky Vardaru. (=through the valley)	
		<u>Tudy</u> cesta nevede. (=We can't go this way.)	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
DIR3	where to?	<i>Lidé to mají <u>do sběren</u> daleko. (=It is far to the collecting places.)</i>	
		Přiměla ho k návratu <u>za moře</u> . (=She made him to come back over the sea.)	
		Hleděl <u>tváří v tvář problému</u> . (=He faced the problem.)	
		Nikam se nepůjde. (=We are not going anywhere.)	
		z <u>pětné</u> získání celého podílu (=getting the share back)	
		·	
LOC	where?	Nebezpečí může být všude <u>kolem nás</u> . (=Danger can be everywhere around us.)	
		V oblasti vzdělávání máme velké mezery. (=In the area of education)	
		Za vyřešenými <u>problémy</u> se objevily další. (=In place of the solved problems, there some new again.)	
		Studentku přepadl <u>poblíž stadionu</u> neznámý mladík. (=near the stadium)	
		Zůstaň <u>doma</u> . (=Stay at home.)	
		zahraniční utkání (=a match in a different country.)	

Table 8.4. Locative and directional functors

### 8.5. Functors for causal relations

**Functors for causal relations** represent a set of semantically differentiated functors that are assigned to adjuncts referring to different causal relations among events or states. Modifications with these functors refer to something with respect to what the governing event is assessed, explained etc.

Functors for causal relations are differentiated depending on the type of relation between the two events, states etc.; i.e. depending on whether it is a cause, purpose, condition etc. For a survey of the functors for causal relations and their definitions see Table 8.5, "**Functors for causal relations**".

|--|

Functor	Definition	Examples	
AIM	purpose, aim	Jsem tu, <u>abych</u> vám <u>pomohl</u> . (=I am here to help you.)	
		Domek byl zastaven už dříve <u>ke krytí</u> půjčky. (= in order to cover the <i>loan</i> )	
		<u>V zájmu zkvalitnění</u> legislativního procesu by měl každý zákon projít oběma komorami. (=For the improvement of the process it is neces- sary)	
		Pracoval jen <u>za účelem výdělku</u> . (=in order to make some money)	

CAUS	cause proper	Nepovím vám to, <u>protože byste</u> mi stejně <u>nevěřili</u> . (=I am not going to tell you because you wouldn't believe me anyway.)
		<u>Kvůli tobě</u> mám teď po dobré náladě. (=Because of you I'm in a bad mood.)
		Z důvodu nemoci zavřeno. (=Closed for illness.)
		Radoval se <u>nad dárkem</u> jako malé dítě. (=He was delighted because of the present.)
		Zemřel <u>hladem</u> . (=He died with hunger.)

CNCS	concession	<i>Vyhrál, <u>přestože nepatřil</u> mezi favority. (=Although nobody expected it, he won.)</i>
		<u>Navzdory</u> velkým studijním <u>úspěchům</u> se v praxi neuplatnil. (=Although he wasn't very successful)
		<u><i>Přes</i></u> své dobré <u>vychování</u> se nezachoval nejlépe. (=Although his manners are normally good)

COND	condition	Jestliže nepůjde dobrovolně, použijeme násilí. (=If he fights, we'll use the force.)	
		<u><i>V případě, že se nedostaví, schůzi rozpustíme. (=If he doesn't arrive there will be no meeting.)</i></u>	
		<u>Nebýt</u> vás, nebyl bych tady. (=If it were not for you I wouldn't be here.)	
INTT	intention	Návštěvníci sem přijíždějí <u>lyžovat</u> . (=The people are coming here for ski- ing.)	
		Spěchal <u>zmáčknout</u> spoušť svého nikonu. (=He rushed to make the picture.)	
		Pozvali ho <u>k dialogu</u> . (=They invited him to the dialogue.)	
		Izraelský premiér je v Praze <u>na návštěvě</u> . (=the Izraeli Prime Minister on the visit.)	

# 8.6. Functors for expressing manner and its specific variants

**Functors for expressing manner and its specific variants** are a rather heterogeneous group of adjunct functors that express all kinds of inner characteristics of events, i.e. the manner in which the event (state) is carried out.

Manner can be expressed in different ways - by comparison, by specifying the result or instrument used for accomplishing the event, by expressing quantity etc.; these different ways of expressing manner correspond to different (manner) functors. For a survey of the functors for expressing manner and its specific variants and their definitions see Table 8.6, "**Functors for expressing manner and its specific variants**".

Functor	Definition	Examples	
ACMP	accompaniment	Pracuje <u>bez brýlí</u> . (=He works without his glasses.)	
		tatinek <u>s maminkou</u> (=Father with Mother)	
		<u><i>V</i> souvislosti s růstem</u> mezd se zvýšila poptávka. (=In the connection to the growth of the wages)	
		Koupili dvě sady lega <u>s tím, že dají</u> každému synovi jednu. (=with the idea that they'll give each to one of the sons.)	

Table 8.6.	<b>Functors for</b>	expressing	manner and	its specific variants
		1 0		1

CPR	comparison	Musíme udělat nepochybně menší a snazší manévr, <u>než byl</u> ten minulý. (=smaller and easier than the last one.)
		Podmínky jsou až nebezpečně příznivé, podobně <u>jako</u> tomu <u>bylo</u> v Americe. (=just like it was in America.)
		<u>V porovnání s tebou</u> budu vždycky lepší. (=When compared to you I'll always be better.)

CRIT	criterion	Posuzuje lidi <u>dle obleku</u> . (=He is judging people on the basis of their clothes.)
		Po vzoru Německa bude firma pořádat fotbalové turnaje. (=Following Germany)
		<u>Ve světle</u> těchto <u>faktů</u> se hroutí i tato představa. (=In the light of these facts)
		Tento svazek nebyl <u>v rozporu s</u> platnými <u>předpisy</u> registrován. (=This was not re- gistered which is not in accordance with the rules.)

DIFF	difference	Tuzemský výrobce dodal hlavy <u>o</u> čtyři <u>dny</u> později. (=four days later.)
		$\check{C}$ ím <u>je</u> víno starší, <u>tím</u> je lepší. (=The older the wine the better it is.)

EXT	extent	Cesta je dlouhá <u>přes</u> dvacet <u>kilometrů</u> . (=more than twenty km.)			
		<i>Obyvatelstvo proudí <u>po tisících</u>. (=Thousands of people are coming.)</i>			
		Náklady na palivo činily téměř <u>miliardu</u> korun. (=The costs were almost one billion crowns.)			
		Dnes je <u>docela</u> hezky. (=The weather is quite nice today.)			

MANN	manner proper	Pracuje <u>pomalu</u> . (=He works slowly.)
		Hráči si vychutnali radost z vítězství <u>o samotě</u> . (=They enjoyed the victory alone.)
		Dochovala se <u>v podobě</u> iluminovaného <u>rukopisu</u> . (= in the form of an illuminated manuscript.)
		Jednal, jak mu ukládá zákon. (=He acted as the law required.)
		<u>elektrické</u> ovládání (=electric control)

MEANS	means	<i>Výstražnými <u>výstřely</u> zahnala pobřežní hlídka rybářské lodě. (=using the warning shots.)</i>				
		Zloděj odjel <u>na bicyklu</u> . (=The thief left on the bike.)				
		Investor může prodat akcie <u>prostřednictvím</u> makléřské <u>firmy</u> . (=through a broking house.)				
		<u>Časopisecky</u> jsem povídky představil již v roce 1965. (=In magazines, I published the stories already in 1965.)				
REG	regard	Stanoviště je třeba upravit <u>se zřetelem k množství</u> účastníků. (=It is necessary to prepare the spot with regard to the number of participants.)				
		$\underline{U}$ celního <u>zákona</u> však s hlasováním po jménech nikdo nepřišel. (=As for this law, nobody proposed voting)				
		<i>školy pro <u>sluchově</u> postižené (=schools for people with a hearing defect; lit. aurally handicapped.)</i>				
		Co <u>se týče</u> odhadu budoucího vývoje, ten je již usměrněn ekonomickými faktory. (=As for the future development)				
		penzijní připojištění (=a contributory pension scheme.)				
RESL	result	maso upečené <u>do zlatova</u> (=roasted until golden brown)				
		Ukradenými auty zaútočili na vůz tak, že havaroval v příkopu. (=they pushed the				

RESTR	exception/restric-	<u>deficitní</u> hospodaření (=deficit economy) <u>Kromě</u> dosavadních <u>úkolů</u> bude úřad vydávat licence pro podnikání na dráze. (=Apart
		Autor se snažil napsat povídku tak, <u>aby</u> si ji <u>mohl přečíst</u> každý. (=The author is trying to write it in such a way that anybody can read it.)

RESTR	tion	$\frac{Krome}{from}$ assavaanich <u>ukotu</u> bude uraa vyaavat ticence pro poantkant na araze. ( $-Apart$ from its current job, the office is going to issue)
		<i>Veřejnost není zlá <u>až na</u> některé jedince. (=The society is not evil, except for certain individuals.)</i>
		<i>S tim nelze <u>než souhlasit</u>. (=One can only agree.)</i>

## 8.7. Functors for rhematizers, sentence, linking and modal adverbials

**Functors for rhematizers, sentence, linking and modal adverbials** are functors of atomic nodes representing adjuncts that have the rhematizing function, that link the sentence to the preceding text or express various modal and attitudinal characteristics. For a survey of the functors for rhematizers, sentence, linking and modal adverbials and their definitions see Table 8.7, "**Functors for rhematizers, sentence, linking and modal adverbials**".

<b>Fable 8.7. Functors for rhematizers</b>	s, sentence, linking and	modal adverbials
--------------------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------

Functor	Definition	Examples
ATT	evaluating or emotional attitude of the	Je to <u>bohudíky</u> za námi. (=fortunately)
	speaker to the content of the utterance	Taková je <u>bez nadsázky</u> Honda Prelude 3.2. (=without exaggeration)
		<u>Když</u> ale vy to nevidíte dobře. (=But you can't see it clearly.)
		takzvané podnikání (=so called business)

INTF	expression intenzifying the subject (so called	<u>On</u> Jirka ještě spí. (=lit. Well, Jirka still is_asleep.)			
	"false subject")	To Karel nepřišel. (=lit. That Karel did not come.)			
		<u>Ono</u> táhne. (=lit. There is_(a)_draught.)			
		<u>To</u> hučí letadla. (=lit. That are_buzzing (the) planes.)			
MOD	modal characteristics of the content of the	<u>Pravděpodobně</u> přijdeme. (=We'll probably come.)			
	utterance	<i>Vedlo by to <u>zřejmě</u> ke zničujícímu rozkolu. (=Apparently, this would lead to)</i>			
		Takoví pracovníci <u>v zásadě</u> neexistují. (=In principle, such workers do not exist.)			
		<u>možné</u> selhání (=possible failure)			
PREC	expression linking the clause to the preceding	Jsem <u>tedy</u> šťasten. (=Hence, I'm happy.)			
	text	<u>Ale</u> to zatím není náš případ. (=But this is not our case.)			
		Izolovaný výzkum <u>však</u> nemůže přinášet výsledky.			
		(=However, isolated research cannot have good results.)			
RHEM	rhematizer	<u>Jen</u> on o tom nevěděl nic. (=Only he didn't know any- thing.)			
		<u>Teprve</u> před týdnem přestala za prací do Púchova dojíždět. (=Just a week ago)			

## 8.8. Functors for multi-word lexical units and foreign-language expressions

**Functors for multi-word lexical units and foreign-language expressions** are functors assigned to nodes representing a foreign-language expression (see Section 7.4, "Foreign-language expressions"), or the dependent part of a multi-word predicate (see Section 7.1.1, "Multi-word predicates"). For a survey of the functors for multi-word lexical units and foreign-language expressions and their definitions see Table 8.8, "Functors for multi-word lexical units and foreign-language expressions".

Functor	Definition	Examples
CPHR	nominal part of complex predicates or a pre- dicative adverb with quasi-modal verbs	Dostali <u>rozkaz</u> nevycházet ze stanů. (=They got the order not to leave the tents.)
		Je <u>třeba</u> odejít. (=It is necessary to leave.)
DPHR	the dependent part of an idiomatic expression	Jde mi <u>na nervy</u> . (=He's getting on my nerves.)
		křížem <u>krážem</u> (=criss cross)
FPHR	foreign-language expression	<i>Cizinec zvolal:</i> " <u>This is not</u> <u>true</u> ." (=The foreigner called:)

T 11 00		C 14*	11 *	1 • 4	16 1		•
I anie X X	Hunctore	for multi	-word levic	al unite an	d foreign_lg	nguage ei	nreccionc
14010 0.00	i unctors	IVI munu	- 11 01 0 10 10 10	ai units an	u 101 01211-16	mzuaze ez	101 03310113

## 8.9. Functors for some specific (new) modifications

The present section is devoted to the description of functors that are traditionally not included in grammar books. These functors are not classified as being members of different semantic groups yet. For a survey of the functors for specific (new) modifications and their definitions see Table 8.9, "Functors for some specific (new) modifications".

Functor	Definition	Examples
BEN	adjunct expressing to whose advantage or disadvantage something happens	Peníze zůstanou <u>klientovi</u> dále na účtě. (=The money will stay on the client's account.)
		Bylo by to <u>ku prospěchu věci</u> . (=This would be for the good.)
		šance <u>pro</u> movité <u>nájemníky</u> (=a chance for rich tenants)
		<i>To je další argument <u>proti tomu, aby</u> mzdová regulace</i> <u>existovala</u> . (= <i>This is another argument against the exist-</i> <i>ence</i> )
CONTRD	adjunct expressing a contrasting background (confrontation) for the governing event	Zatímco dříve <u>se dotovaly</u> byty, které ovšem zdaleka ne- dostávali ti nejpotřebnější, napříště by měly peníze směřovat přímo k lidem, tedy k nájemníkům. (=While in the past, in the future)
HER	adjunct referring to a person (group of people, institution, time) which is the source of an	<u>Po</u> Juliu <u>Fučíkovi</u> pobírala 320 korun vdovské penze. (=She got a pension after JF.)
	inherited object	Jmenovala se Barbora <u>podle patronky</u> horníků. (=Her name was B., after the patron of miners.)
SUBS	adjunct expressing that something was substi- tuted for something else	<i>Libuše Śroubková <u>jménem firmy</u> Inreka předala základní škole deset nových tříd. (=in the name of the company)</i>
		Do učeben zasednou otcové <u>místo</u> svých <u>synů</u> . (=fathers instead of their sons.)
		Za otce jednal strýc. (=In place of my father)

 Table 8.9. Functors for some specific (new) modifications

## 8.10. Specific adnominal functors

**Specific adnominal functors** are functors for modifications exclusively modifying (semantic) nouns. These adnominal modifications have specific functions that cannot be found with adverbal modifications. For a survey of the specific adnominal functors and their definitions see Table 8.10, "**Specific adnominal functors**".

laughing at us.)

Svobodná inteligence musí spolupracovat a pomáhat, <u>místo aby se posmívala</u>. (=...they should help instead of

Functor	Definition	Examples
APP	adjunct referring to the person or thing something or someone belongs to	organizace <u>neslyšících</u> (=organization of the hearing- impaired)
		<u>můj</u> klobouk (=my hat)
AUTH	author, creator, originator of artefacts	deset básnických sbírek mladých <u>básníků</u> (=young poets' poetry books)
		<u>Svěrákův</u> Akumulátor 1 (=Svěrák's Akumulátor 1)
		skladba Svatý Václave <u>od</u> Marty <u>Jiráčkové</u> (=MJ's Svatý Václave)

 Table 8.10. Specific adnominal functors

ID	effective root of an identifying expression represented by an identification structure	v případu <u>Kott</u> (=in the Kott case) pojem <u>času</u> (= notion of time)
		v pražské Galerii <u>U Řečických</u> (=the gallery U Řečick- ých)
MAT	adnominal argument referring to the content	balik <u>papiru</u> (=a box of paper)
	(material etc.) of something	polovina <u>dortu</u> (=one half of the cake)
RSTR	adnominal adjunct more closely specifying	drsné počasí (=harsh weather)
	its governing noun	<u>pět</u> dětí (=five children)
		<u>rozhodčí</u> Severýn (=referee Severýn)
		lod' <u>o výtlaku</u> 9700 tun (=a vessel with a displacement of 9700 tons)
		Udeřil i člověka, který si to nezasloužil.(=He hit a man

# 8.11. Functor for the predicative complement (COMPL)

who didn't deserve it.)

Due to the specific properties of predicative complements, which cannot be attributed to any other adjuncts, we take this functor to be a special functor that does not belong to any group of functors. The functor for the predicative complement has the value COMPL (see Table 8.11, "**Functor for the predicative complement**").

Table 8.11. Functor for the predicative complement

Functor	Definition	Examples
COMPL	predicative complement	Našli kamaráda <u>nemocného</u> . (=They found their friend ill.)
		Hráči odcházeli ze hřiště <u>nepřemoženi</u> . (=The players were leaving the field undefeated.)
		Sledoval ho, jak <u>se chová</u> k mladším spolužákům. (=He watched him how he behaved to his younger classmates.)

## 8.12. Functors expressing the relations between members of paratactic structures

**Functors expressing the relations between members of paratactic structures** present a special group of functors. These functors do not express a kind of dependency; they rather capture the relation between members of paratactic structures (either clauses or modifications). They are functors that are assigned to the root nodes of paratactic structures (see Section 6.4, "Parataxis"). For a survey of the functor for relations between members of paratactic structures see Table 8.12, "Functors for coordination", Table 8.13, "Functor for apposition" and Table 8.14, "Functor for mathematical operations and intervals".

Apart from the functors assigned directly to the roots, there is also a specific functor CM, which is assigned to nodes representing conjunction modifiers - see Table 8.15, "**Functor for conjunction modifiers**".

Functor	Relation between the coordinated ele- ments	Examples
ADVS	adversative	<i>Vypadalo to, že bude hezky, <u>ale</u> začalo pršet. (=The weather seemed nice but it started raining.)</i>
		V dalších řádcích nebude odkaz na žádný zákon, <u>a</u> přesto půjde o záležitost aktuální. (=There will be no reference to a law, and still it will be highly relev- ant)
		Přijel do Prahy, nikoli do Brna. (=He came to Praha, not to Brno.)

CONFR	confrontational	Bristol je v Anglii, <u>kdežto</u> Glasgow je ve Skotsku. (=B. is in England, while G. is in Scotland.)
		Svobodní mládenci mívají nepořádek kolem sebe, <u>ale</u> ženatí naopak mívají nepořádek v duši. (=Bachelors often have a mess all around them but married men, on the other hand, have a mess in their souls.)

CONJ	simple conjoining	<i>Mezi smysly patří zrak <u>a</u> sluch <u>a</u> hmat. (=Eyesight and hearing and touch belong to the senses.)</i>
		<i>Vyniká jak svědomitostí, tak houževnatostí. (=She stands out due to her thor- oughness, as well as her stringiness.)</i>

CONTRA	coordination of two	akademie věd kontra vysoké školství (=Academy of Science contra universities)
	fighting, competing	Bukač <u>versus</u> Hlinka očima Jana Horáka (=Bukač versus Hlinka in JH's eyes)
	Subjects	utkání Rusko - Švédsko (=Russia - Sweden)

CSQ	consecutive	Pracoval nezodpovědně, <u>a</u> proto dostal výpověď. (=He was irresponsible, therefore he was fired.)
		Byl nemocný, <u>a</u> tudíž nepřišel. (=He was sick so that's why he didn't come.)
		Potřebujete jen 1/4 vody, <u>takže</u> sprcha vás stojí 0,46 Kč. (=You only need 1/4 of the water so a shower costs 0.46 Kc.)

DISJ	disjunctive	<i>Vysloví se <u>buď</u> pro, <u>nebo</u> proti návrhu. (=They will be either for or against the proposal.)</i>
		<i>Měl dvě možnosti – nechat se předstihnout, <u>nebo</u> způsobit havárii. (=He had two possibilities - to be outrun, or to cause a crash.)</i>
		Mají, <u>či</u> nemají pravdu? (=Are they right, or not?)
		Na konzultaci musí přijít alespoň jeden z rodičů, <u>nebo</u> alespoň někdo z rodiny. (=At least one parent has to come, or at least a member of the family.)

GRAD	gradation	Stát neposkytne na nákup bytů žádné slevy, <u>ani</u> žádnou jinou finanční pomoc. (The state will not offer a discount, not even any other financial help.) Nestavíme jen domy, <u>nýbrž</u> budujeme i dětská hřiště. (=Not only do we build
		houses, we also build playgrounds.) Závod plán splnil, <u>ba</u> dokonce jej překročil. (=The factory fulfilled the plan; it even overfulfilled it.)
REAS	causal	Tento krok je nebezpečný, <u>neboť</u> se do ekonomiky zanáší nesmírná džungle. (=This step is dangerous since it lets the jungle.into our economy.)

Functor	Relation between the appositioned ele- ments	Examples
APPSappositionBožena Němcová, autor		Božena Němcová, autorka Babičky (=BN, the author of Babička)
		jeden rok, <u>neboli</u> dva semestry (=one year, or two semesters)
		půdní režim, <u>to znamená</u> půdní vláha (=soil environment, i.e. soil humidity)

Tabla 9 14	Functor	for	mathamatical	anarations	and	intomo	
1able 0.14	. runctor	10r	mathematical	operations	anu	mierva	12

Functor	Definition	Examples
OPER	paratactic connection	poměr deset <u>ku</u> jedné (=10:1)
	of operands of math- ematical operations or	ve věku 34 - 44 let (=at the age of 34-44)
	intervals	<u>od</u> hlavních bodů <u>přes</u> příklady <u>do</u> nejmenších detailů (=from the main points to the tiniest details)

Table 8.15. Functor for conjunction modifiers

Functor	Definition	Examples
СМ	expressions modify- ing coordinating con- nectives	Rozpočet <u>nejenže</u> není přebytkový, ale <u>dokonce</u> je skrytě deficitní. (=The budget not only is not surplus, but it is even covertly deficit.)

## 8.13. Further specification of the meaning of a functor

Two attributes are used to specify the meaning of certain modifications in a more detailed way:

the subfunctor attribute (see Section 8.13.1, "Subfunctors"); the is_state attribute (see Section 8.13.2, "Attribute for the meaning of "state"").

### 8.13.1. Subfunctors

**Subfunctors** describe semantic variations within a particular functor, they provide closer specification of the meaning of the functor, and they specify more precisely the semantic relation between the modification and the governing word. These various differences within one functor are expressed by various (prepositional) cases, or by conjuctions.

The information on the subfunctor is carried by the attribute subfunctor (see Section A.2.19, "subfunctor").

Subfunctors are not assigned to all PDT functors that would need a more detailed specification of their meaning but rather to a limited group of functors. Subfunctors are assigned to nodes with the following functors:

ACMP (see Table 8.16, "Subfunctors for the functor ACMP") BEN (see Table 8.17, "Subfunctors for the functor BEN") CPR (see Table 8.18, "Subfunctors for the functor CPR") DIR1 (see Table 8.19, "Subfunctors for the functor DIR1") DIR2 (see Table 8.20, "Subfunctors for the functor DIR2") DIR3 (see Table 8.21, "Subfunctors for the functor DIR3") EXT (see Table 8.24, "Subfunctors for the functor EXT") LOC (see Table 8.22, "Subfunctors for the functor LOC") TWHEN (see Table 8.23, "Subfunctors for the functor TWHEN")

Subfunctors were assigned to the functors in PDT on the basis of the surface form of the modification with the given functor.

For every functor (out of the selected ones), there is a subfunctor with the values basic and nr:

• **basic**. Each functor has its set of forms that express the basic meaning of the functor. A modification realized by one of these forms has the attribute subfunctor filled with the value basic.

• **nr**. Modifications in a surface form that has not been assigned to any subfunctor have the attribute subfunctor filled with the value nr (not recognised).

Subfunctor	Meaning	Possible forms	Examples
basic	positive accompani- ment	s+7 spolu s+7 společně s+7 v čele s+7 ve spojení s+7 zároveň s+7 ruku v ruce s+7	tatínek <u>s maminkou</u> (=Father with Mother) celá rodina <u>v čele s otcem</u> (=the whole family with the Father in the lead) <u>Zároveň s hovorem</u> dostane potřebné inform- ace o volajícím zákazníkovi. (=Together with the call)
circ	vague circumstance	bez toho, aby+vfin s tím+vfin s tím, že+vfin v souvislosti s+7 v souvislosti s tím, že+vfin	Tenis může být podívanou i <u>bez toho, aby</u> po kurtě <u>chodily</u> polonahé děvy. (=Tennis can be a good show even without half-naked girls walking on the tennis court) Vyšetřovatelé si <u>v souvislosti s</u> korupčním <u>skandálem</u> přišli vyslechnout i dalšího svědka. (=in the connection with the scan- dal)
incl	inclusion	včetně+2	Ročně by tedy zaplatila na pojistném <u>včetně</u> úrazového <u>připojištění</u> 4104 korun. (=acci- dent insurance including.)
wout	negative accompani- ment	bez+2	pokoj <u>bez</u> vlastního <u>příslušenství</u> (=a room without conveniences)

 Table 8.16. Subfunctors for the functor ACMP

#### Table 8.17. Subfunctors for the functor BEN

Subfunctor	Meaning	Possible forms	Examples
basic	benefit	ku prospěchu+2 pro+4 ve prospěch+2 v zájmu+2	Profit připravuje <u>pro</u> své <u>čtenáře</u> poradnu. (=for the readers) Zříkají se kariéry <u>ve prospěch dětí</u> . (=for the benefit of their children)
agst	disadvantage/detri- ment	na úkor+2 proti+3 proti tomu, aby+vfin v neprospěch+2	Hlavní překážkou státu fungovat <u>na úkor</u> <u>jednotlivce</u> je demokratický systém. (=at the expense of an individual) válečný vývoj <u>v neprospěch Německa</u> (=to the disadvantage of Germany)

Subfunctor	Meaning	Possible forms	Examples
basic	similarity	jako jak	Sloni jsou jako Angličani. (=Elephants are like Englishmen.)
			Jenže stejně rychle, <u>jak</u> naděje <u>svitla</u> , tak rychle pohasla. (=The hope was gone as fast as it came.)
than	difference	genitive než nežli	To byla otázka pro 982 respondentů starších 14 <u>let</u> . (=respondents older than 14 years) Vyrobili více <u>než</u> 495 <u>milionů</u> metrů krychlových pitné vody. (=They produced more than 495 million)
wrt	"the entity something is compared to"	naproti+3 na rozdíl od+2 proti+3 oproti+3 ve srovnání s+7 ve srovnání s tím+vfin v porovnání k+3 v porovnání s+7	Proti dřívějšku se však zase objevili novízájemci. (=In comparison to the past)ČR je v tomto ohledu výjimečná ve srovnánís okolními zeměmi střední Evropy. (=Whencompared to the other countries of CentralEurope)Na rozdíl od hotelůnemohly lázně využívatzisku tak dobře. (=Unlike the hotels, the spascouldn't)

#### Table 8.18. Subfunctors for the functor CPR

#### Table 8.19. Subfunctors for the functor DIR1

Subfunctor	Meaning	Possible forms	Examples
basic	"where from"	adverb od+2 z+2	Zezadu se prodral ke stříbru domácí miláček. (=From behind) <u>Ze zahraničí</u> k nám začali jezdit chudší tur- isté. (From abroad)

#### Table 8.20. Subfunctors for the functor DIR2

Subfunctor	Meaning	Possible forms	Examples
basic	"which way"	adverb instrumental	Musí ovládat umění nabízet své výrobky přímo ze dvora třeba turistovi, který projde <u>okolo</u> . (=a turist who is going past him.) přenos dat vzduchem (=air transmission)
across	"across"	napříč+7 přes+4	Přibližování satelitů k Atlantické alianci spouští novou železnou oponu <u>napříč kontin-</u> <u>entem</u> . (=across the continent) přeprava transportů <u>přes</u> Českou <u>republiku</u>
along	"along"	<i>po</i> +6	(=via the Czech republic) V roce 1997 pravděpodobně projedou první
		podél+2	vozidla <u>po dálnici</u> Praha -Plzeň. (=on the highway Praha -Plzeň.)
			Jel jsem <u>podél něj</u> . (=I went along it.)
around	"around"	kolem+2 okolo+2	<u>Kolem</u> právní <u>ochrany</u> software se u nás chodí v širokých kruzích. (=lit. Around legal protection)
betw	"between"	mezi+7	Plechové krabičky aut se plazí po výmolech hliněných cest <u>mezi</u> nevzrušenou <u>zvěří</u> . (=between the uninterested animals.)
near	"near"	vedle+2	A tak se naše věda stala popelkou, jen nes- měle kráčející <u>vedle</u> civilizovaného <u>světa</u> . (=shyly walking beside the civilized world.)

Subfunctor	Meaning	Possible forms	Examples
basic	"where to"	adverb do+2 do čela+2 na+4 směrem na+4 směrem do+2 směrem k+3	Soused v tichosti vyčkal mezi větvemi a pak se odplížil <u>domů</u> . (=he crawled home.) Máme zaměstnance, které občas vysíláme <u>na</u> služební <u>cestu</u> . (=sending the employess to business trips.) Včera odpoledne byl na Nuselském mostě pruh <u>směrem do centra</u> normálně průjezdný. (=to the center)
above	"above"	nad+4	Už odpoledne se vrátila zpět <u>nad hranici</u> 1100 lir za marku. (=It is back above the 1100 lires for a mark level.)
behind	"behind"	<i>za</i> +4	Že se <u>za</u> tento <u>plášť</u> schová leccos dalšího, netřeba připomínat. (=can be hidden under this coat)
below	"below"	pod+4	Dostal se <u>pod auto</u> . (=He got under the car.)
betw	"between"	mezi+4	Dal to <u>mezi</u> ty <u>drobnosti</u> . (=He put it among those little things.)
elsew	"outside something"	mimo+4	Postavil se <u>mimo</u> hrací <u>plochu</u> . (=He stood outside the playing field.)
ext	"extent"	<i>po</i> +4	Čs. vojsko nikdy neobsadilo celé Těšínsko až <u>po Bílsko</u> . (=The army never occupied the whole region up to Bílsko.)
front	"in front of"	před+4	<i>Tím mi ulehčili práci a já mohl předstoupit <u>před lidi</u>. (=so I could face the people; lit. come in_front_of people.)</i>
near	"near"	vedle+2	Řadí tradiční <u>vedle banálního</u> , kříží vysoký i nízký styl. (=He puts the traditional next to the banal,)
орр	"opposite"	proti+3	Útok nebyl namířen <u>proti lidem</u> . (=The attack was not aimed at the people.)
target	target	<i>po</i> +6	Házel <u>po něm</u> kamením. (=He was throwing stones at him.)
to	"to"	<i>k</i> +3 <i>za</i> +7	Dotyčný půjde jinam, <u>ke konkurenci</u> . (=He will go somewhere else, to the competition.)
			Zašli jsme do galerie <u>za Petrem</u> . (=We went to the gallery to see Petr.)

Table 8.21.	Subfunctors	for the	functor	DIR3
-------------	-------------	---------	---------	------
Subfunctor	Meaning	Possible forms	Examples	
------------	------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	
basic	"where"	adverb adjective <i>na</i> +6 <i>v</i> +6	Z <u>de</u> už můžeme váhat. (=Here, we can be unsure.) první <u>pražské</u> jednání (=first negotiations in Praha)	
			ubytování <u>v hotelu</u> (=hotel accomodation)	
above	"above"	nad+7	V neprofesionálním prostředí si lidé opálí jehlu <u>nad plaménkem</u> v karmě. (=above the flame)	
abstr	"in the area/field of"	v oblasti+2 v oboru+2	Podle Redla je Hradišťan <u>v oblasti folkloru</u> kapelou ostře sledovanou. (=a band closely watched in the area of folklore.)	
along	"along"	podél+2 podle+2	<i>Epicentrum otřesů bylo lokalizováno <u>podél</u> západního <u>pobřeží</u> ostrova. (=along the west coast.)</i>	
around	"around"	kolem+2 okolo+2	Z pálení ukradených peněženek se <u>kolem</u> jejich <u>chalupy</u> linul čmoud. (=around their cottage)	
behind	"behind"	<i>za</i> +7	Po kolizi jezdili závodníci pět okruhů <u>za</u> vodícím <u>vozem</u> . (=behind the car.)	
below	"below"	pod+7	<i>Moc často jsem tu dírku - v umyvadle, <u>pod</u> <u>vodou</u> - hledal a pak lepil. (=under the water)</i>	
betw	"between"	mezi+7	Byl <u>mezi</u> dvěma <u>ohni</u> . (=between two fires)	
elsew	"outside something"	mimo+4 stranou+2 vně+2	<u>Stranou strkanice</u> nezůstává ani tisk. (=outside the conflict.) <u>vně</u> složitých <u>diskuzí</u> (=outside the complic- ated discussions)	
front	"in front of"	před+7 tváří v tvář+3	<i>Tykal mu <u>před lidmi</u>. (=in front of people)</i> <i>Stojí <u>tváří v tvář problému</u>. (=facing the problem)</i>	
in	"inside"	uvnitř+2	jednání <u>uvnitř koncernu</u> (=negotiations within the concern)	
mid	"in the middle"	prostřed+2 uprostřed+2 vprostřed+2	Biskup sloužil mši v táborové kapli, kterou se stal indiánský stan teepee <u>uprostřed</u> <u>tábora</u> . (=in the middle of the camp)	
near	"near"	blizko+2 blizko+3 k+3 nedaleko+2 pobliž+2 po boku+2 při+6 u+2 v blizkosti+2 vedle+2	<ul> <li>Hrát se má u Alžbětina mostu, <u>blízko zastávky</u> autobusu č 78. (=near the bus stop)</li> <li>spor o oboru <u>poblíž Hrádečku</u> (=a dispute over a park near Hrádeček)</li> <li>V poslední době vystupujete často <u>po boku</u> <u>ministrů</u>. (=next to the ministers)</li> <li>na jednom z ostrovů <u>při</u> jižním <u>pobřeží</u> Al- jašky (=by the south coast of Alaska)</li> <li>Procházíme po odkrytém prostranství <u>nedaleko hotelu</u>. (=near the hotel)</li> </ul>	
opp	"opposite"	naproti+3 proti+3 přes+4	Leží <u>proti oknu</u> . (=It is situated opposite the window) Bydlí <u>přes dvůr</u> . (=across the yard)	

 Table 8.22. Subfunctors for the functor LOC

Subfunctor	Meaning	Possible forms	Examples
basic	"when"	adverb genitive accusative temporal modifications	Uvedená cena bude předána laureátům <u>dne</u> 19. září 1994 v Hotelu Hilton. (=on September 19th 1994) Autor (nar. <u>1943</u> ) je hispanista, překladatel,
		whiled a clase $působí v Ceském rozhlase. (=bck+3přisobí v Ceském rozhlase. (=bckdyž+vfinPřijdu k ránu. (=at daybreak)na+4Na jaře skončí výroba. (=In sprinna+6Rota nováčků při příležitosti sjezeo+6Rota nováčků při příležitosti sjezepři+6vojenský prostor. (=at the occas)při příležitosti+2Jejich genocida v době druhé svěv+4uzavřela pokusy o společné souživ+6the time of the WW II)$	<ul> <li>Autor (nar. <u>1945</u>) je nispanista, přektadatel,</li> <li>působí v Českém rozhlase. (=born 1943)</li> <li>Přijdu <u>k ránu</u>. (=at daybreak)</li> <li><u>Na jaře</u> skončí výroba. (=In spring)</li> <li>Rota nováčků <u>při příležitosti sjezdu</u> vyčistila</li> <li>vojenský prostor. (=at the occasion of the congress)</li> <li>Jejich genocida <u>v době</u> druhé světové <u>války</u></li> <li>uzavřela pokusy o společné soužití. (=in</li> <li>the time of the WW II)</li> </ul>
after	"after"	v dobe+2 v obdobi+2 za+2 po+6 poté, co+vfin	Stát ceny schválí <u>poté, co</u> je <u>prověří</u> . (=after thev are examined)
		<i>za</i> +4	Za chvíli přišel pan Mitrofanov. (=In a short while, Mr. M. came.)
approx	"approximately"	kolem+2 okolo+2	<u>Okolo přelomu</u> roku je relativní klid. (=Around the end of the year it is calm here.)
before	"before"	<i>než</i> +vfin <i>před</i> +vfin	Jakou povahu jsi měl, <u>než</u> jsi <u>přišel</u> o nohu? (=before you lost your leg?)
begin	"at the beginning"	počátkem+2 začátkem+2	Olejomalbu jste mohli <u>začátkem února</u> koupit za 34 600 korun. (=at the beginning of February)
betw	"between"	mezi+7	hudba v přestávkách <u>mezi gamy</u> (=music between the games)
end	"at the end"	koncem+2 na závěr+2 v závěru+2 závěrem+2	<u>Koncem roku</u> bylo bez práce 185 000 osob. (=At the end of the year) <u>V závěru poločasu</u> dala Sparta branku. (=Towards the end of the half)
flow	"in the course"	postupem+2 v průběhu+2	Omezování centrální cenové regulace bude <u>v průběhu roku</u> zřejmě pokračovat. (=in the course of the year)
mid	"in the middle"	uprostřed+2	Ještě <u>uprostřed</u> minulého <u>týdne</u> jsem si nebyla jistá, zda budu vůbec startovat. (=in the middle of the last week)

 Table 8.23. Subfunctors for the functor TWHEN

Subfunctor	Meaning	Possible forms	Examples
basic	the basic notion of ex- tent	adverb do+2 na+4 po+6 v+6 za+4	Bez soukromého vlastnictví pozbývá kapitálový trh <u>částečně</u> smyslu. (= loses its sense to a certain degree.) I zanedbatelná záležitost naroste v dětské mysli <u>do</u> tragických <u>rozměrů</u> . (=grows into tragical dimensions.) Obyvatelstvo proudí <u>po tisících</u> . (=Thousands of people are streaming)
approx	"approximately"	kolem+2 okolo+2	Letos by se měl obrat pohybovat <u>okolo</u> 1,2 <u>miliardy</u> korun. (=around 1.2 billion crowns.)
less	"less than"	pod+4	<i>Lidí s IQ <u>pod 50</u> jsou již jen desetiny pro-</i> <i>centa. (=with the IQ less than 50)</i>
more	"more than"	přes+4 nad+4	Výnosy činily <u>přes</u> 16 <u>miliard</u> korun. (=The sales were more than 16 milliard CZK.)

 Table 8.24. Subfunctors for the functor EXT

### 8.13.2. Attribute for the meaning of "state"

The verb  $b\acute{yt}$ , but also full verbs and nouns are often modified by a number of prepositional phrases that have a very general meaning of state. Together with the governing verb, they express meanings like "being in some state" or "getting into some state". For the time being, the solution is that the prepositional phrase is assigned a functor closest in the meaning (usually LOC, TWHEN, MANN) and a new attribute is used, called is_state, with the values 0 and 1 (see Section A.2.15, "is_state"). Those modifications that have the meaning of state are assigned the value 1. The is_state attribute is specified for all nodes with adjunct functors.

Examples:

Je <u>v krizi</u>.LOC [is_state=1] (=He is in crisis.)

Byl po operaci. TWHEN [is state=1] (=He was after operation.)

*člověk <u>ve</u> špatné <u>náladě</u>.*RSTR [is_state=1] (=person in a bad mood.)

```
Zůstal <u>na živu</u>.MANN [is_state=1] (=He stayed alive.)
```

```
Upadl do nesnází.DIR3 [is state=1] (=He fell into trouble.)
```

# **Chapter 9. Coreference**

The term **coreference** is used both for cases of endophoric and exophoric (deictic) reference.

When referring to a preceding element we speak of **anaphoric reference**. The expression to which it is referred is called **antecedent**. **Cataphoric reference** refers to certain following utterances or their parts; which are called **postcedent**. Apart from these already established tems, also the pair of terms **coreferring element - coreferred element** are used. It is more general and it ignores the relative location of the words in the text - as both the antecedent and postcedent can be coreferred elements.

There is:

grammatical coreference (see Section 9.2, "Grammatical coreference"), textual coreference (see Section 9.3, "Textual coreference").

# 9.1. Representing coreference in the tectogrammatical trees

Three attributes have been introduced for coreference representation:

- a. attribute of the type reference coref_gram.rf (see Section A.2.3, "coref_gram.rf") that serves for indicating grammatical coreference (see Section 9.2, "Grammatical coreference").
- b. attribute of the type reference coref_text.rf (see Section A.2.5, "coref_text.rf") that serves for indicating textual coreference in cases the coreference element is overt (viz Section 9.3, "Textual coreference").
- c. enumerative attribute coref_special (see Section A.2.4, "coref_special" that serves for representing special types of textual coreference in which the coreferred node is not a particular node or subtree of a tree. These are cases of exophoric reference and reference to a segment (see Section 9.3, "Textual coreference").

A node for a coreferred element is assigned a value in one of these attributes.

Types of reference There are several types of reference:

a. **reference to a leaf (of a tree)**. The coref_gram.rf or coref_text.rf attribute contains the identifier of the target leaf. For example:

<u>Vlasta</u> šla do divadla, kde <u>na ni</u> čekal Marek. (=Vlasta went to the theatre where Mirek was already waiting for her.)

b. **reference to a root of a subtree**. The coref_gram.rf or coref_text.rf attribute contains the identifier of the target root of a subtree. It is assumed that the coreferred element is not just this single node but the whole subtree. For example:

<u>Můj o dva roky mladší bratr</u>, <u>kterého</u> ještě neznáš, přijde zítra. (=My two years younger brother, which you don't know yet, is coming tomorrow.)

c. reference to more than one node (of a tree). The coref_gram.rf or coref_text.rf attribute contains several identifiers. For example:

<u>Marie</u> vzala <u>Vlastu</u> do divadla, kde <u>na ně</u> čekal Marek. (=Marie took Vlasta to the theatre, where Marek was waiting for them.)

d. **reference to a segment**. The coref_special attribute is assigned the value segm. The coreferred node is a larger segment of the text, which is not further specified. For example:

<u>Rozprava o podobě reformy veřejných financí bude zahájena ve středu. Všechna jednání proběhnou za zavřenými dveřmi.</u> Lidovým novinám <u>to</u> sdělil včera ministr financí. (=The discussion.. will be started on Wednesday....The minister of Finance told this to LN.)

e. **extratextual reference**. The coref_special attribute is assigned the value exoph. The coreferred element is an unspecified phenomenon outside the text. For example:

V období vrcholícího léta roku 1939 již málokdo v Evropě mohl uvěřit nadějeplným slovům britského ministerského předsedy Chamberlaina, proneseným z balkonu Buckinghamského paláce po návratu z Mnichova: Myslím, že je <u>to</u> mír na celou naši dobu. (=... I think this would mean peace...)

# 9.2. Grammatical coreference

**Grammatical coreference** is such a kind of coreference in which it is possible to pinpoint the coreferred expression on the basis of grammatical rules.

**Types of grammatical coreference**. For a survey of types of grammatical coreference see Table 9.1, "**Types of grammatical coreference**". (For more on relative clauses see Section 6.3.3, "Dependent verbal clauses (complex sentences)". For more details on constructions with the relative pronoun *což* see Section 6.3.3.3, "False dependent clauses". For more on the predicative complement see Section 6.1.1.1, "Predicative complement". For more on control see Section 9.2.1, "Control". For more on quasi-control see Section 7.1.1.4.1, "Quasi-control with complex predicates". For more reciprocity see Section 6.6.1.2, "Ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit").

Туре	Rule	T-lemma	Example
Reflexive pronouns	Reflexive pronouns corefer with the modification in the closest subject pos- ition.	#Per- sPron	Neschopnost opozičních stran {#Cor.ACT} vzdorovat <u>své</u> vlastní lenosti. (=Inability of the opposition parties to resist their (lit. self's) own laziness.) Fig. 9.1 <u>Pavla se</u> prohlíží v zrcadle. (=Pavla watches herself in the mirror.)
Relative elements	Relative elements corefer with the noun modified by the relative clause.	který jaký kdo co kde kam kdy	<u>Ti, co</u> kroutí hlavami, nerozumí a nechápou, zároveň instinktivně varují. (=Those who shake their heads) Fig. 9.2
Relative element <i>což</i>	<i>Což</i> corefers with that part of the sentence which is paratactically connected to the clause introduced by <i>což</i> .	со	Mužstvo získalo tři <u>body</u> , <u>což</u> je maximum. (=The team got three points, which is the maximum.) Fig. 9.3
Predicative complement expressed by a verb form	There is a grammatical coreference re- lation between an argument (correspond- ing to the subject) of the predicative complement (expressed by a verb form) and the noun such that the predicative complement is in the second depend- ency relation with it.	#Cor	<pre>{#PersPron.ACT} Odcházela poražena.COMPL {#Cor.PAT} (=She was leaving defeated.) Fig. 9.4</pre>
Argument expressed by a verb form in the position parallel to that of the pre- dicative complement	There is a grammatical coreference re- lation between an argument (correspond- ing to the subject) of the Patient or Ef- fect (expressed by a verb form) and the noun such that the Patient/Effect agrees with it.	#Cor	<u>Mužstvo</u> zůstává neporaženo.PAT {#Cor.PAT} i po tomto napínavém zápase. (=The team stays undefeated also after this match.)
Control	Control is an obligatory or optional coreference relation between clearly defined modifications of certain verbs (control verbs).	#Cor	Podnik plánoval zvýšit {#Cor.ACT} výrobu. (=The company planned to increase the production.)

Table 9.1. Types of grammatical coreference

Quasi-control	Quasi-control is a coreference relation between a valency modification of the nominal part and a valency modifica- tion of the verbal part of a multi-word predicate.	#QCor	<u>Karel</u> podal {#QCor.ACT} stižnost policii. (=K. submitted a complaint.)
Reciprocity	A valency modification missing as a result of taking part in a reciprocal rela- tion corefers with the valency position in which both the modifications are ex- pressed simultaneously.	#Rcp	<u>Sultáni</u> se vystřídali {#Rcp.PAT} na trůnu. (=lit. Sultans REFL changed on throne.) Fig. 9.5

#### Figure 9.1. Coreference with reflexive pronouns

Neschopnost opozičních stran vzdorovat své vlastní lenosti. (=lit. Inability (of) oppositional parties to_resist self's own laziness.)



#### Figure 9.2. Coreference in relative clauses

*Ti, co kroutí hlavami, nerozumí a nechápou, zároveň instinktivně varují. (=lit. Those, who shake heads, not_under-stand and not_comprehend, simultaneously instinctively warn.)* 



Figure 9.3. Coreference of the relative element což

Mužstvo získalo tři body, což je maximum. (=lit. Team got three points, which is maximum.)



#### Figure 9.4. Coreference with the predicative complement **Figure 9.5. Coreference in reciprocal** expressed by a verb form constructions

*Odcházela poražena. (=lit. (She) was leaving defeated.)* 

v decl.disp0.ind

proc.it0.res0.ant

oorazit

#Cor

t PAT gcomplex gcomplex

COMPL

cpl.it0.res0.tense:nil

v decl.dispmod:nil.verbmod:nil

#Oblfm

t_DIR1.nr

gcomplex

гí

#Gen t ACT

### Sultáni se vystřídali na trůnu. (=lit. Sultans REFL changed on throne.) 0 root odcházet.enunc PRED_P vystřídat se.en unc

PRED

t_LOC.basic

n.denot

inan.sg

trūn

Ľ

#Rcp

t_PAT

qcomplex

sultán

t_ACT

n.denot

anim.pl

v decl.disp0.ind cpl.it0.res0.ant

### 9.2.1. Control

0

root

#PersPron

n.pron.def.pers

fem.sg.3.basic

t_ACT

Control is a type of grammatical coreference that arises with certain verbs, called control verbs. It is an either obligatory or optional coreference relation between the controller and controllee and it holds that:

controlling element (controller) is one of the members of the valency frame of the governing control verb: ACT, PAT, ADDR, ORIG, or an obligatory adjunct with the LOC functor; in certain constructions in which the infinitive depends on the verbal part of a verbonominal (control) predicate as its Actor, the controller is an adjunct with the BEN functor.

An adjunct as a controller is a specific type of modification. The node for such a modification is always inserted in the tree if not present at the surface level. It is the only case when a non-obligatory adjunct is added to a tectogrammatical tree. Since it is always a Beneficiary, a special t-lemma substitute was introduced for such a controller: #Benef.

- controlled element (controllee) is a member of the valency frame of the infinitive (or deverbal noun) dependent on the control verb. It is usually the non-expressed subject of the infinitive (i.e. the Actor with active infinitives and Patient or Addressee with passive infinitives). The controllee's reference is obligatorily identical to that of the controller and it cannot be expressed at the surface level.
- infinitive (or a deverbal noun), a valency modification (subject) of which is controlled is a valency (or typical) modification of the control verb. Usually, it has an argument functor (most often PAT), however, adjunct functors are sometimes also possible (most often INTT).

It is possible to find control also in constructions in which the control verb or the infinitive is nominalized. Nominalized control verbs are control nouns and control adjectives.

Representing control in the tectogrammatical trees. The controllee is assigned the t-lemma substitute #Cor. The grammatical coreference between the controller and controllee is marked in the following way: the coref gram.rf attribute of the controllee contains the identifier of the controller.

For an illustration of the way control is represented in tectogrammatical trees, see Fig. 9.6.





Podnik plánoval zvýšit výrobu. (=lit. Company planned to_increase production.)

Examples:

Rodiče ho.PAT poslali {#Cor.ACT} nakoupit. (=His parents told him to go shopping.) Fig. 9.7

Je škoda {#Benef.BEN} {#Cor.ACT} ochudit se o tolik vzácných látek. (=It is a pity to be losing so many valuable substances.) Fig. 9.8

{#PersPron.ACT} Potřebujete poradit {#Cor.ADDR} ? (=Do you need a piece of advice?) Fig. 9.9

#### Figure 9.7. Control

Rodiče ho poslali nakoupit. (=lit. Parents him sent to_shop.)



#### Figure 9.8. Control

#### Figure 9.9. Control

Je škoda ochudit se o tolik vzácných látek. (=lit. Is pity Potřebujete poradit? (=lit. (Do you) need to_advise?) to_lose REFL - so_many valuable substances.)



**Control verbs**. Both one-word and multi-word predicates can be control predicates (see Section 7.1.1, "Multi-word predicates"). As for multi-word predicates, there are some complex predicates that belong to control predicates (see Section 7.1.1.4, "Complex predicates"), as well as some verbonominal predicates (see Section 7.1.1.5, "Verbonominal predicates (the copula  $b\dot{y}t$ )") and verbal idioms (phrasemes) (see Section 7.1.2, "Idioms"). Control is given by the lexical properties (meaning) of the main verb. It is necessary to distinguish between the individual meanings of the verb in question. Control is always connected to certain meanings of the verb (represented by different valency frames).

Cf.:

#### Dala dítě spát. (=She put the child to sleep.)

The valency frame for this meaning of  $d\dot{a}t$ : ACT(.1) PAT(.4) EFF(.f) In this meaning,  $d\dot{a}t$  is a control verb. The Actor of the infinitive *spát* is controlled by the Patient of the verb  $d\dot{a}t$ .

#### Dala dítě léčit. (=She had the child treated/sent the child to the doctor.)

The valency frame for this meaning of  $d\dot{a}t$ : ACT(.1) PAT(.f) In this meaning, the verb  $d\dot{a}t$  is not a control verb (the noun in the accusative belongs to the valency frame of the dependent infinitive).

**Subject of the infinitive**. The controllee is usually the non-expressed subject of the infinitive. The controllee has obligatorily the same reference as the controller and it is also obligatorily non-expressed. Therefore, not every subject of an infinitive is a controllee. Non-expressed subjects of infinitives can also have the t-lemma substitute #Gen or #PersPron. Moreover, subjects of infinitives can sometimes be expressed at the surface level. For a survey of possible t-lemmas of expressed as well as non-expressed subjects of infinitives, see Table 9.2, "Subjects of infinitives: possible t-lemmas".

T-lemma	Surface form	Definition	Example
#Cor	cannot be expressed	The subject of the infinitive is in a control rela- tion with a modification of the governing verb	Rodiče <u>ho</u> poslali {#Cor.ACT} na- koupit. (=His parents told him to go shopping.)
#Gen	not expressed	The subject of the infinitive is a general argument (see Section 6.6.1.2, "Ellipsis of a dependent meaning unit").	Je co číst {#Gen.ACT} . (=There are things to read.)
#PersPron	not expressed	It is possible to find the coreferred element (antecedent) of the subject but it is not gram- matical but rather textual coreference (see Section 9.3, "Textual coreference").	{#PersPron.ACT} Čte si. (=He is reading.)
noun #PersPron	expressed	Specific cases of infinitives expressing a con- dition.	<u>Já</u> tam být, nestalo se to. (=If I had been there this wouldn't have happened.)

Table 9.2. Subjects of infinitives: possible t-lemmas

**NB!** The t-lemma substitute #Cor was introduced primarily for the controllee in control constructions. So far, it has been used also for non-expressed subjects of non-finite verb forms in constructions with dual dependency. Such constructions involve grammatical coreference but not control since the dependent non-finite verb form is neither a valency nor typical modification of the governing verb.

### 9.2.1.1. Types of control constructions

In most cases of control constructions, it is possible to nominalize the governing verb, as well as the infinitive. The following types of control constructions can be found:

1. an infinitive depends on a verbal control predicate.

Subtypes:

an infinitive depends on the nominal part of a complex control predicate,

an infinitive depends on a verbal idiom (which is a control predicate),

an infinitive depends on the non-verbal part of a verbonominal control predicate,

an infinitive depends on the verbal part of a verbonominal control predicate,

an infinitive depends on the predicate lze; control in the constructions of the type Je vidět Sněžku.

- 2. an infinitive depends on the nominalized control predicate, i.e. on a deverbal noun or adjective.
- 3. a deverbal noun (a modification of which is controlled) depends on a verbal control predicate.
- 4. a deverbal noun (a modification of which is controlled) depends on a nominalized control predicate.

Most control verbs can be found in all the four types of construction. Cf.:

*<u>Slíbil napsat</u> dopis. (=He promised to write a letter.)* 

The infinitive depends on a verbal control predicate (type 1).

*slib napsat dopis (=the promise to write a letter)* 

The infinitive depends on a nominalized control predicate, i.e. on a deverbal noun (type 2).

<u>Slíbil napsání</u> dopisu. (=He promised to write a letter; lit. writing.)

The deverbal noun, i.e. a nominalized infinitive depends on a verbal control predicate (type 3).

<u>slib</u> <u>napsání</u> dopisu (=the promise to write a letter; lit. of_writing of_letter.)

The deverbal noun, i.e. a nominalized infinitive, depends on a nominalized control predicate (type 4).

Some control verbs (e.g.: *stihat (=prosecute), podezirat (=suspect), obvinit (=accuse), omluvit se (=apologise), přisoudit (=ascribe/attribute), osočit (=smear/malign)*) cannot be modified by an infinitive at all. However, they are modified by a prepositional phrase with a deverbal noun one valency modification of which is controlled by a valency modification of the governing verb. They can only be found in constructions of type 3 and 4; e.g.:

Stihaji ho.PAT profalšování.CAUS { #Cor.ACT } dokladů. (=They are prosecuting him for falsifying the documents.)

The Actor of the noun falšování (which is in the position of CAUS) is controlled by the Patient of the verb stihat.

In exceptional cases, no nominalization of the infinitive (a modification of which is controlled) is possible and no nominalization of the governing verb either. Such control verbs can, then, only occur as type 1, e.g.

Viktor se zdá být chytrý. (=Viktor seems to be clever.)

Examples:

(<u>My</u>.ACT) máme záměr.CPHR {#Cor.ACT} vyklidit prostory. (=We have the intention to clear out the premises.)

Type 1: The Actor of the infinitive *vyklidit* (dependent on the nominal part of the predicate *mít záměr*) is controlled by the Actor of the verbal part of the complex control predicate (*my*), which is identical in reference with the non-expressed Actor of the noun *záměr*. Fig. 9.10

<u>Petr</u> má v plánu.DPHR{#Cor.ACT} vystudovat fakultu. (=Petr plans (lit. has in plan) to finish his studies at the faculty.)

Type 1: The Actor of the infinitive *vystudovat* (dependent on the verbal part of the idiom, i.e. on *mit*) is controlled by the Actor of the verbal part of the idiom, the noun *Petr*.

Pavel je ochoten {#Cor.ACT} přijít. (=Pavel is willing to come.)

Type 1: The Actor of the infinitive *přijít* (dependent on *ochoten*) is controlled by the Actor of the verbal part of the predicate, namely by the noun *Pavel*. Fig. 9.11

Je povinností <u>koalice</u>.ACT {#Cor.ACT} nalézt.ACT cestu. (=It is the coalition's duty to find a way.)

Type 1: The Actor of the infinitive *nalézt* (dependent on the verbal part of the verbonominal predicate) is represented as controlled by the Actor of the noun *povinnost* (by *koalice*).

Je {#Benef.BEN} nutné {#Cor.ACT} přejít.ACT (=It is necessary to cross the street.)

Type 1: The Actor of the infinitive *přejít* (dependent on the verbal part of the verbonominal predicate) is controlled by the non-expressed Beneficiary of the adjective *nutný*. Fig. 9.12

{#Benef.BEN} Je {#Cor.ACT} vidět.ACT Sněžku. (=It is possible to see Sněžka.)

Type 1: The Actor of the infinitive *vidět* (dependent on  $b\acute{y}t$ ) is controlled by the non-expressed Beneficiary of  $b\acute{y}t$ .

jeho.ACT odhodlání {#Cor.ACT} přijít.PAT včas (=his determination to come in time)

Type 2: The Actor of the infinitive *přijít* (dependent on *odhodlání*) is controlled by the Actor of the noun *odhodlání*, by the pronoun *jeho*. Fig. 9.13

student usilující {#Cor.ACT} dokončit fakultu (=a student trying to finish the study)

Type 2: The Actor of the infinitive *dokončit* (which is the Patient of the adjective *usilující*) is controlled by the noun governing the adjective *usilující*, by the noun *student*. Fig. 9.14

*Rodiče zakázali <u>synovi</u> {#Cor.ACT} práci ve výškách. (=The parents have forbidden their son to work high above the ground.)* 

Type 3: The Actor of the noun práce is controlled by the Addressee of zakázat, the noun synovi. Fig. 9.16

{#PersPron.ACT} *Měl zájem o* {#Cor.ACT} *studium na vysoké škole. (=He was interested in studying at a university.)* 

Type 3: The Actor of the noun *studium* (depending on the nominal part of the complex predicate *mít zájem*) is controlled by the Actor of the verbal part of the complex predicate (identical in reference with the non-expressed Actor of the noun *zájem*).

<u>Petrovo</u> úsilí o {#Cor.ACT} zajištění Pavlovy přítomnosti na semináři. (=Petr's effort to secure (lit. (of) securing) Pavel's presence in the class)

Type 4: The Actor of the noun *zajištění* is controlled by the Actor of the noun *úsilí*, by the possessive adjective *Petrovo*. Fig. 9.15

student usilující o {#Cor.ACT} dokončení fakulty (=a student trying to finish (lit. at finishing) the faculty)

Type 4: The Actor of the noun *dokončení* is controlled by the noun modified by the adjective *usilující*, i.e. by the noun *student*.

# Figure 9.10. Type 1 control (complex control predicates)

# Figure 9.11. Type 1 control (verbonominal control predicates)

Máme záměr vyklidit prostory. (=lit. (We) have intention Pavel je ochoten přijít. (=lit. Pavel is willing to_come.) to_clear_out premises.)



# Figure 9.12. Type 1 control (verbonominal control predicates)

Je nutné přejít. (=lit. Is necessary to_cross_over.)



#### Figure 9.13. Type 2 control (control nouns)

*jeho odhodlání přijít včas (=lit. his determination to_come in_time)* 



#### Figure 9.14. Type 2 control (control adjectives)

*student usilující dokončit fakultu (=lit. student trying to_finish faculty)* 

#### Figure 9.15. Type 4 control (control nouns)

Petrovo úsilí o zajištění Pavlovy přítomnosti na semináři. (=lit. Petr's effort in securing Pavel's presence in class.)



#### Figure 9.16. Type 3 control (one-word control predicates)

Rodiče zakázali synovi práci ve výškách. (=lit. Parents have forbidden son work in heights.)



# 9.3. Textual coreference

**Textual coreference** is generally taken to mean the use of various linguistic means (pronouns, synonyms, generalising nouns etc.) which function as anaphoric (occasionally cataphoric) reference devices. This type of reference is not realised by grammatical means alone, but also on the basis of the context.

Textual coreference devices are vague by nature and the identification of a coreferred constituent based purely on context is problematical, and therefore our approach is to concentrate for the time being only on the most frequent textual coreference devices, i.e pronouns. Textual coreference is marked with:

- a. personal and possessive third person pronouns; first and second person pronouns are set aside. (Personal and possessive pronouns are assigned a unified t-lemma, namely #PersPron, in the tectogrammatical trees.)
- b. the demonstrative pronouns ten, ta, to.
- c. contextual ellipsis, where a new node with the t-lemma substitute #PersPron is added to the tectogrammatical tree (textual co-reference is not marked here when the added node represents a pronoun in the first or second person).

Coreference is for the time being unrepresented with the pronominal adverbs (*tam (=there/thither*), *sem (=here (hither)*), *tady (=here)*, *tak (=thus)* etc.) and with other pronominal expressions.

**Types of textual coreference**. For a survey of types of textual coreference see Table 9.3, "**Types of textual coreference**". The t-lemma of the coreferring node in the case of textual coreference is always #PersPron or *ten*.

Туре	Definition of the coreferred element	Example
Explicit coreferred element	particular subtree or leaf in the tree for the given sentence or some of the preceding or following ones	Myslíte, že rozhodnutí <u>NATO</u> , zda se {#PersPron.ACT} rozšíří, či nikoli, bude záviset na postoji Ruska? (=Do you think that NATO's decision whether it will expand or not will depend on Russia's attitude?) Fig. 9.17
Segment	a larger segment of text	Rozprava o podobě reformy veřejných financí bude zahájena ve středu. Všechna jednání proběhnou za zavřenými dveřmi. Lidovým novinám to sdělil včera ministr financí. (=The discussion will be started on Wednes- dayThe minister of Finance told this to LN.)
Exophor	extra-textual situation	V období vrcholícího léta roku 1939 již málokdo v Evropě mohl uvěřit nadějeplným slovům britského ministerského předsedy Chamberlaina, proneseným z balkonu Buck- inghamského paláce po návratu z Mnichova: Myslím, že je t <u>o</u> mír na celou naši dobu. (= I think this would mean peace)

Table 9.3. Types of textual coreference

**Textual coreference is not represented**. Sometimes the pronouns (*ten*, *on*, *jeho*) do not corefer. In some cases, there is no coreferred element and coreference is therefore not represented with them. This holds especially for the following cases:

a. idioms, set expressions; e.g.:

Tak je <u>tomu</u> i v těch případech, kdy dosavadní domovníci užívali byty na základě dohod s bytovými podniky nebo domovními správami, podle kterých jim byl přidělen byt po dobu výkonu domovnických prací. (=This is the case (lit. it) also when...)

b. intenzifiers, pronouns assigned the ATT functor and pronouns used for emphasis; e.g.:

To ale prší! (=Boy, it's raining so much!)

c. semantically empty uses of the pronouns. These are those cases in which the pronoun is used for emphasis or just padding; therefore, no coreferred element can be found:

To máte těžké. (=You know, it's hard.)

d. the pronoun *ten* in the attributive position; e.g.:

<u>Tento</u> velký problém není možné vyřešit za hodinu. (=This problem cannot be solved in an hour.)

e. the pronoun *ten* modified by a relative clause, e.g.:

Srovnáme-li současný plán rozvoje dálniční sítě <u>s tím,</u> jaký byl přijat v roce 1991, výrazně se změnil. (=If we compare the current plan with that (=lit. that what was) accepted in 1991, the difference is big.)

f. the pronoun *ten* modified by an adjective, e.g.:

*Je to možná tragedia dell' arte o nepřátelích, kteří jsou posedlí touhou být spolu, a dokonce být <u>tím</u> <i>druhým.* (=...enemies that are obsessed by the desire to be together, even to be the other one (lit. that other).)

#### Figure 9.17. Explicit coreferred element

*Myslíte, že rozhodnutí NATO, zda se rozšíří, či nikoli, bude záviset na postoji Ruska? (=lit. Do_you_think that decision (of) NATO whether (it) REFL will_expand or not will depend on attitude (of) Russia?)* 



# **Chapter 10. Topic-focus articulation**

Topic-focus articulation (TFA) is represented by the annotation of two phenomena:

contextual boundness (see Section 10.1, "Contextual boundness"), communicative dynamism (see Section 10.2, "Communicative dynamism").

TFA of the Czech sentence is signalled mainly by the surface word order and intonation.

**Surface word order**. The boundary between contextually bound and contextually non-bound expressions (see Section 10.1, "Contextual boundness") is signalled by the position of the governing verb in the Czech surface word order. In unmarked cases (in the cases of the objective ordering), direct modifications of the governing verb appearing before it in the surface word order are contextually bound.

Particularly two types of constructions constitute exceptions to these general tendencies: constructions with the verb on "the second position" in the sentence and constructions with so-called subjective ordering. The governing verb sometimes does not appear on the boundary between contextually bound and contextually non-bound expressions (depending directly on the verb), but it appears immediately after the first sentence part, on "the second position" in the sentence (whether the boundary is or is not situated in that position). In this case contextually bound expressions can appear to the right from the verb. With the subjective ordering, modifications that are contextually non-bound can appear before the governing verb. For pragmatic reasons, the more dynamic part of the sentence is placed at the beginning.

**Intonation**. Information concerning TFA cannot always be obtained from the written context only. The annotation of TFA has to take into account also the spoken form of the sentence. In a particular context, every sentence has a natural pronunciation, and we suppose that as people can spontaneously produce sentences with appropriate word order and intonation, they are able to comparatively well assign the correct intonation to a written sentence. It is important to identify especially:

a. **the intonation centre**, i.e. the word (prosodic unit) that carries the "sentence" stress. It is the most important prosodic unit of the sentence, usually placed at the end. It is characterized by a falling pitch contour and increased volume, but it is constituted also by other factors (rate of speech, voice timbre and others). Every complete sentence contains an intonation centre.

We assume that the intonation centre in Czech sentences signals its focus proper (see Section 10.2.1, "Basic rules for the nodes ordering in tectogrammatical trees"). If the sentence ends with a noun phrase the intonation centre can be placed on its last member instead of the focus proper.

b. **contrastive stress**, i.e. a specific stress characterized by a rising pitch contour. In the sentence, it signals contrastive contextually bound expressions. Contrastive contextually bound expressions do not have to be signalled by a contrastive stress, contrastive stress is optional (the presence of contrastive stress is governed by other factors, primarily by the rate of speech and the carefulness in pronunciation).

We assume that an expression on which a contrastive stress can be placed in the spoken form of a sentence is contrastive contextually bound.

# 10.1. Contextual boundness

**Contextual boundness** is a property of an expression (be it expressed or absent in the surface structure of the sentence) which determines whether the speaker (author) uses the expression as given for the recipient, i.e. uniquely determined by the context.

**Context**. Context is in the annotation of contextual boundness understood very widely. Context comprises not only immediate textual context ("cotext"), but also wider contextual layers, including all shared or commonly known information, whose sharing may be conditioned by the situation, perception, culture, other texts, or other factors.

**Representing contextual boundness**. The information on the contextual boundness of individual expressions is contained in the attribute tfa (see Section A.2.21, "tfa"). Every node (relevant for the topic-focus articulation of the sentence) is assigned one of three possible values of the attribute tfa (t, f, or c).

The attribute tfa is not relevant for:

the technical root node of the tectogrammatical tree (nodetype = root), paratactic structure root nodes (nodetype=coap), nodes with the CM functor, nodes with the FPHR functor (nodetype = fphr). **Types of expressions on the basis of contextual boundness**. According to their contextual boundness or non-boundness, we distinguish three types of expressions:

- a. **non-contrastive contextually bound expressions** (tfa = t), i.e expression (both expressed and absent in the surface structure of the sentence) that represent some "known information". Such expressions are repeated from the preceding text (not necessarily verbatim), they are deducible from it (e.g. using coreferential or inferential relationships), or somehow related to a wider context.
- b. **contrastive contextually bound expressions** (tfa = c), i.e. expressions that can be identified on the basis of the following properties:
  - such an expression is usually a choice from a set of alternatives. This set need not be explicitly specified in the text. A contrastive contextually bound expression can refer to a larger text segment and does not have to be deducible from the immediately preceding textual context.
  - the occurrence of a contrastive contextually bound expression is primarily determined by the thematic progression of the text. Contrastive contextually bound expressions usually occur in enumerative passages, at the beginning of paragraphs etc.
  - in the spoken form of the utterance the contrastive contextually bound expression carries an optional contrastive stress.
- c. **contextually non-bound expressions** (tfa = f), i.e. expressions (both expressed and absent in the surface structure of the sentence) that represent in the text some unknown, new facts, or introduce known facts in new relations, i.e. they express information not deducible from the context. Contextually non-bound expressions can carry the intonation centre of the sentence.

Examples:

(Dnes nesu jen dopis.) Tu <u>knihu</u> [tfa = c] ti přinesu <u>zítra</u> [tfa = f] (=(Today I'm only bringing you a letter) As for the book, I'll bring it tomorrow.)

<u>Janu</u> [tfa = c] Marie [tfa = t] neviděla [tfa = f] (=As for Jana, Marie didn't see her.)

**Contextual boundness of expressions absent in the surface structure of the sentence**. Certain lexical units are absent in the surface structure of the sentence precisely because they are considered to be deducible from the context. Newly established nodes (representing elements not expressed in the surface structure of the sentence) are therefore in most cases assigned the tfa value t.

**Exceptions**: Newly established nodes can be assigned the tfa value f or c in the following cases:

a. contextual ellipsis of the governing noun in sentence-part paratactic constructions and in the case of contextual ellipsis of the governing noun in binary relations of the type "from-to". The first occurrence of a noun absent in the surface structure of the sentence can be contextually non-bound or contrastive contextually bound (depending on the context), while its second occurrence expressed in the surface structure is contextually bound. For example:

Pil červené { vino [tfa = f]} a bilé vino [tfa = t] (=He was drinking red and white wine) Fig. 10.1

 $P\check{r}emaloval to \underline{z}\check{c}ern\acute{e} \{ \underline{barvy} [tfa = f] \} \underline{na}\check{c}ervenou \underline{barvu} [tfa = t] (=He repainted it from black to red color.)$ 

b. ellipsis of a noun following an expressed preposition. For example:

*Neexistuje argument <pro>.* {#PersPron.PAT [tfa = f]} (=There is no pro argument.)

c. contextual ellipsis of a governing node when the copied node is different from the original node in a value of some grammateme. For example:

*Nechtěli nebo nemohli odklad platby povolit. (=They didn't want to and couldn't allow the payment postpone-ment.)* 

= Odklad platby { <u>nechtěli povolit</u> [deontmod = vol; tfa = f]} nebo <u>nemohli povolit</u> [deontmod =
poss; tfa = f]

- d. newly established node for syntactic negation, represented as a rhematizer (t_lemma = #Neg and functor = RHEM).
- e. newly established node for the root of a list structure for foreign-language expressions (t lemma = #Forn).

#### Figure 10.1. Contextually non-bound expression absent in the surface structure of the sentence

Pil červené a bílé víno. (=lit. (He) was_drinking red and white wine.)



# 10.2. Communicative dynamism

**Communicative dynamism** is a property of an expression that reflects its relative degree of importance in comparison to other expressions in the sentence attributed to it by the speaker; we consider contextually non-bound expressions to be more dynamic than expressions contextually bound (be they non-contrastive or contrastive).

In tectogrammatical trees, communicative dynamism is represented by the so called underlying word order. Information about the underlying order of the nodes is stored in the attribute deepord (see Section A.2.6, "deepord").

The degree of communicative dynamism is always determined with respect to the governing node and the sister nodes, i.e. for each level of the tectogrammatical tree. Nodes on the individual levels of the tree are ordered according to the increasing communicative dynamism (see Section 10.2.1, "Basic rules for the nodes ordering in tectogrammatical trees"). Also the relative order between individual (sister) contextually bound and contextually non-bound nodes is set. The order is naturally different in verb phrases (see Section 10.2.2, "Ordering of nodes in verb phrases") and noun phrases (see Section 10.2.3, "Ordering of nodes in noun phrases"). The same rules as for nodes in verb phrases hold also for nodes in adjective phrases.

# **10.2.1.** Basic rules for the nodes ordering in tectogrammatical trees

There are three basic rules for the ordering of nodes in a tectogrammatical tree:

1. nodes representing contextually bound expressions (nodes with the values t or c in the attribute tfa) are placed to the left from their governing node and nodes representing contextually non-bound expressions (nodes with the value f in the attribute tfa) are situated to the right from their governing node.

Exceptions. There are only a few exceptions to this rule:

a. a node representing the quasi-focus (for the definition see below) depends to the right from its governing node.

- b. a node representing a rhematizer whose scope contains its governing verb which is contextually nonbound has the tfa value f, but is placed to the left from the node representing the verb (see also Section 10.4, "Rhematizers").
- c. the effective root of a syntactically non-incorporated parenthesis (functor = PAR) has usually the tfa value f, but it stays at the same place as in the surface word order, i.e. even if it is to the left from its governing node.
- d. nodes representing predicates of certain types of dependent clauses (mostly causal) can have the tfa value f and at the same time be to the left from the governing predicate node (see also Section 10.2.1.1, "Paratactic structures and dependent clauses").
- e. in the case of ellipsis of the governing noun in sentence-part paratactic constructions (of the type "červené a bílé víno (=red and white wine)"), the second (expressed) noun has the tfa value t, but it still depends to the right from the paratactic structure root even if the tfa value of the first member of the paratactic structure is f (see Section 10.1, "Contextual boundness").
- 2. in the underlying word order, the focus proper is placed on the rightmost path from the effective root of the tectogrammatical tree, even if it is at a different position in the surface structure. If the focus proper is constituted by an expression represented as the effective root of the tectogrammatical tree (i.e. the governing predicate is the focus proper), there is no right path from the effective root.
- 3. the tectogrammatical tree is projective (see Section 10.2.4, "Projectivity of tectogrammatical trees").

Examples:

 $\check{C}ern\check{y}$  [tfa = f] kocour [tfa = t] se napil [tfa = f] ze své [tfa = t] misky [tfa = f] (=The black cat drank from his bowl.) Fig. 10.2

Taky [tfa=f] KAREL [tfa=f] se doma [tfa=t] ukázal [tfa=t] (=Also Karel has shown up at home.) Fig. 10.3

**Focus proper** is the most dynamic, communicatively significant contextually non-bound part of the sentence. In the spoken form of a sentence, the focus proper carries the intonation centre. For example:

(Mám rád červené tulipány, ale) v Holandsku jsem viděl i tulipány  $[tfa = t] \mod e[tfa = f]$  (=(I like red tulips) In Holland I have also seen blue ones.) Fig. 10.4

If a noun phrase is the focus proper, the intonation centre is usually placed at the last word of the noun phrase, even if it is not its most dynamic member. This is caused by the highly grammaticalized word order in noun phrases (see also Section 10.2.3, "Ordering of nodes in noun phrases").

**Quasi-focus** is constituted by (both contrastive and non-contrastive) contextually bound expressions, to which the focus proper is subordinated. The focus proper can immediately depend on the quasi-focus, or it can be a more deeply embedded expression. For example:

(Kterého učitele jsi potkal?) Potkal jsem <u>učitele</u> [tfa=t] chemie [tfa=f] (=(Which teacher did you meet?) I met the chemistry teacher.) Fig. 10.5

#### Figure 10.2. Nodes ordering in tectogrammatical trees (objective ordering)

Černý kocour se napil ze své misky. (=lit. (The) black tomcat REFL drank from its bowl.)



#### Figure 10.3. Nodes ordering in tectogrammatical trees (subjective ordering)

Taky Karel se doma ukázal. (=lit. Also Karel REFL home showed up.)



#### Figure 10.4. Focus proper

#### Figure 10.5. Quasi-focus

(Mám rád červené tulipány.) Ale v Holandsku jsem viděl i tulipány modré. (=lit. ((I) like - red tulips) But in Holland AUX (I) saw also tulips blue.)





#### 10.2.1.1. Paratactic structures and dependent clauses

**Placement of the shared modifier**. The effective root of a shared modifier (i.e. the whole subtree) of a paratactic structure is placed as the leftmost immediate daughter of the root of the paratactic structure if the effective root of the shared modifier is contextually bound; if the effective root of the shared modifier is contextually non-bound, it is placed as the rightmost immediate daughter of the root of the paratactic structure. For example:

Ten nůž má <u>nerezovou</u> [tfa = f] čepel [tfa = f] i rukojeť [tfa = f] (=The knife has both a stainless blade and handle.) Fig. 10.6

If there are more than one shared modifiers, the rules for the ordering of nodes in verb phrases apply (if verbs or adjectives are concerned; see Section 10.2.2, "Ordering of nodes in verb phrases"), or the rules for the ordering of nodes in noun phrases apply (if nouns are concerned; see Section 10.2.3, "Ordering of nodes in noun phrases").

**Topic-focus articulation of paratactically connected independent clauses**. Paratactically connected independent clauses are considered to have separate topic-focus articulation. Expressions in the second clause may be contextually bound with respect to the preceding clause (if they are repeated or linked to expressions in the first clause). The effective roots of paratactically connected independent clauses can have differing tfa values. For example:

Tom [tfa = c] přinesl [tfa = f] knihy [tfa = f] a pak [tfa = t] Jirka [tfa = c] odnesl [tfa = f] noviny [tfa = f] (=Tom brought some books and then Jirka took away the newspaper.) Fig. 10.7

**Topic-focus articulation of dependent verbal clauses**. The placement of a dependent clause reflects in most cases the contextual boundness of the clause: if the governing predicate of the dependent clause is contextually bound, the clause is to the left from the governing verb; if the predicate is contextually non-bound, it is to the right from the governing verb. Some complex sentences with dependent adverbial clauses (esp. causal and temporal) are more like compound sentences (paratactic connection); then it holds that:

• if the dependent adverbial clause introduces a relatively independent new event and if it precedes the governing clause (for semantic reasons, like temporal or causal relations between the events), the subtree for the dependent clause stays to the left from the governing node and its effective root has the tfa value f. For example:

Jestliže se nám podaří[tfa = f] zasadit strom, můžeme se těšit [tfa = f] na jablka. (=If we manage to plant a tree, then we can look forward to the apples.) Fig. 10.8

#### Figure 10.6. Placement of the shared modifier

Ten nůž má nerezovou čepel i rukojeť. (=lit. The knife has stainless blade as well as handle.)



Figure 10.7. Topic-focus articulation of paratactically connected independent clauses

Tom prinesl knihy a pak Jirka odnesl noviny. (=lit. Tom brought books and then Jirka carried away newspapers.)



#### Figure 10.8. Topic-focus articulation of a dependent adverbial clause

Jestliže se nám podaří zasadit strom, můžeme se těšit na jablka. (=lit. If REFL we manage to_plant (a) tree, we can look_forward to apples.)



### 10.2.2. Ordering of nodes in verb phrases

**Ordering of contextually non-bound nodes**. Nodes representing contextually non-bound expressions (nodes with the value f in the attribute tfa) are ordered according to the surface word order, so that potential deviations from systemic ordering can be easily detected and their causes studied.

**Exception**. The only exception is the node for the focus proper. If the focus proper is immediately dependent on the verb, its node is always placed rightmost in the underlying order, even though it is in a different position in the surface structure (see also Section 10.2.1, "Basic rules for the nodes ordering in tectogrammatical trees").

**Ordering of contextually bound nodes**. The communicative dynamism of (both contrastive and non-contrastive) contextually bound nodes (tfa = t or tfa = c) is given by their function in the topic-focus articulation. The individual types of contextually bound nodes are ordered (according to their increasing communicative dynamism) in the following way:

1st node	is_generated=0	tfa=t	functor = VOCAT	
2nd node	is_generated=0	tfa=t	functor = PREC	
3th node	is_generated=0	tfa=t	functor = ATT	
4th node	is_generated=0	tfa=t	functor = RHEM	if a node of type 5 follows
5th node		tfa=c		with the exception of types 8 and 9
6th node	is_generated=0	tfa=t		
7th node	is_generated=1			
8th node	is_generated=0	tfa=t	t_lemma = #PersPron	
9th node	is_generated=0		locative or temporal functor	

If there are more nodes of a single type present, the nodes are ordered as in the surface structure.

#### Example:

<u>Jirka ho totiž bohužel včera v Praze</u> {#Gen.ADDR} prodal. (=Jirka unfortunately sold it in Praha yesterday.) The contextually bound expressions will be ordered in the following way:

- 1. the node with the functor PREC representing totiž,
- 2. the node with the functor ATT representing *bohužel*,
- 3. the contrastive contextually bound node representing the expression *Jirka*,
- 4. the newly established node representing the non-expressed Addressee,
- 5. the expressed node with the t-lemma substitute #PersPron representing the pronoun *ho*,
- 6. the node representing the temporal modification *včera*,
- 7. the node representing the locative modification *v Praze*.

Fig. 10.9.

#### Figure 10.9. Ordering of contextually bound nodes in verb phrases

Jirka ho totiž bohužel včera v Praze prodal. (=lit. Jirka it in fact unfortunately yesterday in Praha sold.)



## 10.2.3. Ordering of nodes in noun phrases

The surface word order in noun phrases is governed by word-order rules of Czech (e.g. agreeing attributes stand before the noun, non-agreeing attributes after it), which are not related to communicative dynamism – the word order in noun phrases is to a large extent grammaticalized. In the underlying word order, however, we reorder the modifications of a noun according to their increasing communicative dynamism.

**Ordering of contextually non-bound nodes**. Nodes representing contextually non-bound modifications of a noun (tfa = f) are to the right from the governing node, ordered from the nodes representing tightly connected expressions to nodes representing loosely connected expressions. The types and their ordering:

1st node	functor = DPHR <b>nebo</b> functor = CPHR
2nd node	functor = ID
3th node	argument or functor = APP
4th node	agreeing adjectival modifications: functor = RSTR
5th node	effective roots of restrictive relative clauses: functor = RSTR
6th node	non-valency non-agreeing modifications
7th node	effective roots of non-restrictive relative clauses: functor = RSTR

**Ordering of contextually bound nodes**. When ordering nodes for contextually bound modifications of a noun (tfa = t or tfa = c) we proceed in the same way as with contextually non-bound nodes but the order is from 7 to 1.

If there are several nodes of the same type, we order them according to the surface word order, which is free within the individual types of modifications, and thus semantically relevant. If the position of nodes in the underlying word order is unchanged with respect to the surface word order, we leave unchanged also the relative order of nodes within the individual types of nodes. If in the underlying word order, we reorder the nodes from the position before the noun to a position after it or vice versa, the underlying word order is the mirror image of the surface word order.

Example:

Vzala jsem i <u>ty dvoje krátké zelené</u> šaty <u>po sestře</u>, které mi jsou malé. (=I also took the two short green dresses after my sister; which are too small for me.)

The only contextually bound modification of the noun *šaty* is the demonstrative pronoun *ten*. The node representing this pronoun is placed to the left from its governing node. The remaining modifications of the noun *šaty* are contextually non-bound. The nodes representing these modifications are placed (as sister nodes) to the right from their governing node in the following order from left to right:

- 1. the node representing the agreeing adjectival modification zelené,
- 2. the node representing the agreeing adjectival modification krátké,
- 3. the node representing the agreeing adjectival modification *dvoje*,
- 4. the node representing the effective root of the restrictive relative clause,
- 5. the node representing the non-valency modification po sestře.

## 10.2.4. Projectivity of tectogrammatical trees

**Projectivity** is defined as follows: if two nodes M and N are connected by an edge and M is to the left from N, then all nodes to the right from M and to the left from N are connected with the root via a path that passes through at least one of the nodes (M or N). More briefly: between a parent and its immediate daughter there can only be daughters of the parent.

We suppose that non-projectivity in the surface structure of sentences is caused by word-order movements and that such non-projective surface realizations correspond to projective tectogrammatical structures. In the tectogrammatical annotation, we therefore projectivize such constructions. By **projectivization** we mean modifying the underlying order of a node causing a non-projectivity so that no node of the resulting tectogrammatical tree violates the definition of projectivity.

When carrying out the projectivization we take into account the motivation for the particular word-order movement. Presently we distinguish three types of motivations:

a. **non-projectivity motivated by word-order rules**. Non-projectivity can be motivated by the fixed position of an expression in the surface word order. This applies mainly to noun phrases, which can be non-projective due to the position of a more deeply embedded modification, to dependent clauses introduced by adjectival relative elements that are moved away from their governing nouns, and to other set expressions that have frozen non-projective word-order. For example:

Ptal jsem se ho, <u>JAKÉHO</u> [tfa = f] si koupil psa. (=I asked him what kind of dog he bought.)

*Měl <u>plné</u>* [tfa = t] *kapsy peněz* [tfa = f] *(=His pockets were full of money.)* 

(Měl plné ruce peněz.) Ne, měl <u>plné</u> [tfa = t] KAPSY peněz [tfa = t] (=(His hands were full of money) No, his pockets were full of money.)

b. **non-projectivity motivated by prosodic causes.** In Czech, there is a set of unstressed expressions (so-called clitics) that always take "the second position" in the sentence (i.e. the position after the first prosodic unit). In case these expressions are not immediately dependent on the governing verb of the clause, they can cause non-projectivity. Clitics are usually contextually bound, they are therefore assigned the tfa value t and placed at projective positions according to the rules for the ordering of contextually bound nodes. For example:

Konečně [tfa = f] se <u>to</u> [tfa = t] podařilo [tfa = f] uskutečnit [tfa = f] (=Finally, we managed to put it into effect.)

c. **non-projectivity motivated by topic-focus articulation**. In Czech, contrastive contextually bound expressions have a strong tendency towards standing in the initial position in the sentence, that means that they move to the left although they are more deeply embedded, and so can cause non-projectivity. A node representing an

expression that is placed non-projectively to the left in the surface word-order due to its contrastive use (tfa=c) is placed according to the rules in Section 10.2.3, "Ordering of nodes in noun phrases" and Section 10.2.2, "Ordering of nodes in verb phrases" projectively leftmost possible. For example:

<u>Kjásotu</u> [tfa = c] *není* [tfa = f] *nejmenší* [tfa = f] *důvod* [tfa = f] (=There is not a slightest reason to be so cheerful) Fig. 10.10

#### Figure 10.10. Projectivization

K jásotu není nejmenší důvod. (=lit. For jubilation is not slightest reason.)



**Non-projectivity with unclear motivations (constructions with multi-word predicates)**. In addition to cases of non-projectivity with clear motivations, there are also cases where the motivation of the word-order movement is not obvious, and furthermore in some of these cases the non-projective surface word-order is the unmarked option. This applies mainly to dependent modifications of multi-word predicates: a contextually bound expression standing to the left from a multi-word expression and dependent on the dependent part of this expression causes non-projectivity (even though it is not contrastive).

Presently we know about the following types of non-projective non-contrastive contextually bound nodes:

- the node causing non-projectivity depends on the node representing the nominal part of a complex predicate (on the node with the functor CPHR; for complex predicates see Section 7.1.1.4, "Complex predicates"),
- the node causing non-projectivity depends on the node representing the infinitive of the autosemantic verb in a modal or phase predicate represented by two nodes (see Section 7.1.1.1, "Modal predicates" and Section 7.1.1.2, "Phase predicates"),
- the node causing non-projectivity depends on the node representing the non-verbal part of a quasi-modal or quasi-phase predicate (see Section 7.1.1.3, "Quasi-modal and quasi-phase predicates"),
- the node causing non-projectivity depends on the node representing the non-verbal part of a verbonominal predicate (see Section 7.1.1.5, "Verbonominal predicates (the copula být)"),
- the node causing non-projectivity depends on the node representing the dependent part of an idiom (see Section 7.1.2, "Idioms").

In order to preserve the information about the original position of the expression, the node representing this nonprojective non-contrastive contextually bound expression will stay in the position corresponding to its surface word-order position, it is not moved to the right and the resulting tectogrammatical tree therefore contains a nonprojective edge. For example:

(V galerii V. Špály ode dneška vystavuje A. Born.) Výstavu [tfa = t] je možné navštívit do dvacátého srpna. (=(In the VŠ gallery, there is an exhibition of works by AB) The exhibition is possible to see until 20th August.)

# 10.3. Topic and focus

We assume that each complete sentence divides from the point of view into two complex categories: topic and focus The complex categories of topic and focus are not annotated explicitely. Below we describe a hypothesized procedure for determining topic and focus based on the annotated textogrammatical trees.

**Topic** consists of that part of the sentence that connects it to the preceding context – its meaning provides linking to the expressions that have already appeared in the text, to the facts deducible from them or facts assumed to be known to the recipient. We assume that in a tectogrammatical tree, the topic consists of the following nodes:

- a. the effective root of the sentence (the node representing the governing verb) if its tfa value is t;
- b. nodes with the value t in the attribute tfa dependent on the effective root of the sentence which are not ancestors of the focus proper (see Section 10.2.1, "Basic rules for the nodes ordering in tectogrammatical trees"), and all their descendant nodes.
- c. nodes with the value c in the attribute tfa dependent on the effective root of the sentence and all their descendant nodes.

**Contrastive topic**. Connecting to the context using contrast is a specific type of contextual boundness. A certain part of the topic of a new sentence is put into contrast with a fact known from the preceding context. We call the contrastive contextually bound part of the topic contrastive topic in short. We assume that in a tectogrammatical tree, a contrastive topic consists of the following nodes:

a. nodes with the value c in the attribute tfa and all their descendant nodes.

**Focus** consists of that part of the sentence that introduces new information not deducible from context, which is more communicatively important than the topic and cannot be omitted from the sentence. We assume that the focus is necessarily present in each sentence. We assume that in a tectogrammatical tree, the focus consists of the following nodes:

- a. the effective root of the sentence (the node representing the governing verb) if its tfa value is f;
- b. nodes with the value f in the attribute tfa dependent on the effective root and all their descendant nodes;
- c. those more deeply embedded nodes with the value f in the attribute tfa that depend on a node with the value t, provided one of them is the focus proper.

# 10.4. Rhematizers

**Rhematizers** are expressions the function of which is to signal topic-focus articulation categories, namely the communicatively most important categories – the focus and contrastive topic (see Section 10.3, "Topic and focus"). Nodes representing rhematizers are assigned the functor RHEM.

**Expressions with the function of a rhematizer**. Rhematizers are mostly particles and adverbs. Most expressions that fulfill the function of a rhematizer are functionally homonymous (they fulfill also other functions). The role of a rhematizer is often played by particles and adverbs that primarily express adverbial modifications. Rhematizers are also functionally homonymous with some conjunction modifiers. Prototypical rhematizers include: *pouze* (=only), *jen* (=only), *jenom* (=only), *zejména* (=in_particular), *zvláště* (=especially), *především* (=primarily), *obzvlášt'* (=especially), *hlavně* (=mainly), *jedině* (=only), *například* (=for example), *toliko* (=just), *výhradně* (=exclusively) etc. Also *ne* (=no) and *ano* (=yes)) are considered rhematizers.

**Scope of a rhematizer**. The placement of rhematizers in the surface word order is quite free, however they almost always stand right before the expressions they rhematize, i.e. the expressions whose being part of the focus or contrastive topic they signal. We say that the part of the sentence that is rhematized is in the scope of the rhematizer. A rhematizer signaling the focus has in principle in its scope all contextually non-bound modifications (including their modifications) standing to the right from it in the surface structure of the sentence. A rhematizer signaling the contrastive topic has in principle in its scope the first contrastive contextually bound modification (including its modifications) standing to the right from it. There are, however, complex constructions, mainly within noun phrases, where the scope of a rhematizer over modifications of the governing expressions (contextually non-bound or contrastive contextually bound) is questionable. It seems that the "strength" of the scope of a rhematizer diminishes downwards in the structure of the sentence.

**Representing rhematizers in tectogrammatical trees**. The rules for positioning of rhematizers in tectogrammatical trees are simple:

- a. the node representing a rhematizer is placed as the closest left sister node of the first node of the expression that is in its scope.
- b. if a rhematizer has scope over the governing predicate, the rhematizer is placed as the closest left daughter of the node representing the predicate.
- c. if a rhematizer constitutes the focus proper, it is placed according to the rules for placement of the focus proper (see Section 10.2.1, "Basic rules for the nodes ordering in tectogrammatical trees") on the rightmost path from the effective root of the tectogrammatical tree.

**Contextual boundness of rhematizers**. For rhematizers, the value of the attribute tfa is determined by the function of the rhematizer, i.e. by which category of the topic-focus articulation it signals. The value of the attribute tfa is therefore closely related to the placement of the rhematizer in the tectogrammatical tree. A node representing a rhematizer can be assigned either the tfa value t or f. A rhematizer cannot be assigned the value c.

For a survey of possible situations, the corresponding tfa values and placement of the rhematizers, see Table 10.1, "Placement of rhematizers and their contextual boundness".

Situation	tfa of the nodes in the scope	tfa of RHEM	Position of RHEM	Example
Signaling the focus The predicate is not in the scope.	f	f	the closest left sister of the first node in the scope	Petra si umyla <u>také</u> .RHEM [tfa = f] boty [tfa = f] (=Petra also washed her shoes.) Fig. 10.11
Signaling the focus The predicate is in the scope.	f	f	the rightmost left daughter of the predic- ate	Petra si <u>také</u> .RHEM [tfa = f] umyla [tfa = f] boty [tfa = f] (=Petra also washed her shoes.) Fig. 10.12
Signaling the contrastive topic	С	t	the closest left sister of the first node in the scope	<u>Také</u> .RHEM [tfa = t] Petra [tfa = c]si umyla boty. (=Also Petra washed her shoes.) Fig. 10.13
Scope over the non-con- trastive contextually bound expressions The predicate is not in the scope.	t	t	the closest left sister of the first node in the scope	(Karel si umyl také boty. Spletl ses.) PETRA si umyla <u>také</u> .RHEM [tfa=t] boty [tfa=t] (=(Karel also washed his shoes. No, you're wrong) PETRA also washed her shoes.)
Scope over the non-con- trastive contextually bound negated predicate The rhematizer is the predic- ate's negation morpheme (t lemma = #Neg).	t	t	the rightmost left daughter of the predic- ate	(Petr neodešel domů.) Hanka <u>neodešla</u> do školy. (=(P. didn't go home) H. didn't go to school.)
Rhematizer in the focus proper	Ø	f	on the rightmost path from the effective root of the tectogrammatic- al tree	Petra si umyla boty <u>také</u> .RHEM [tfa = f] (=Petra washed her shoes as well.) Fig. 10.14

Table 10.1. Placement of rhematizers and their contextual bound
-----------------------------------------------------------------

**Rhematizer in a paratactic structure**. The scope of a rhematizer can consist of a whole paratactic structure (connecting either clause or sentence parts). If the rhematizer has scope over the whole paratactic structure, the node representing the rhematizer is placed as the closest left sibling of the root of the paratactic structure. For example:

Byli tam jenom.RHEM Petr a Pavel. (=Only Petr and Pavel were there.) Fig. 10.15

#### Figure 10.11. Rhematizers

Petra si umyla také boty. (=lit. Petra REFL washed also shoes.)



#### Figure 10.12. Rhematizers

Petra si také umyla boty. (=lit. Petra REFL also washed shoes.)



#### Figure 10.13. Rhematizers

Také Petra si umyla boty. (=lit. Also Petra REFL washed shoes.)



#### Figure 10.14. Rhematizers

Petra si umyla boty také. (=lit. Petra REFL washed shoes as_well.)



#### Figure 10.15. Rhematizers

Byli tam jenom Petr a Pavel. (=lit. Were there only Petr and Pavel.)



# Appendix A. Attributes of nodes in tectogrammatical trees

# A.1. Attributes of the technical root

The technical root of a tectogrammatical tree is assigned four attributes with special values - see Table A.1, "Attributes of the technical root".

Attribute	Obligatory	Value (type)	Note
atree.rf	NO	PML reference	This attribute links the tectogrammatical level to the analytical level by means of a reference to the corresponding analytical tree.
deepord	NO	0	This attribute determines the position of the node in the linear ordering in the tree. Unlike the other nodes, it carries no linguistic information.
id	YES	identifier	The unique identifier of the tree in PDT 2.0.
nodetype	NO	root	This attribute is present at the root just for the sake of the user. The technical root node is (as a type) different from all other nodes.

#### Table A.1. Attributes of the technical root

# A.2. Attributes of the other nodes

## A.2.1. a

Obligatory: NO.

This attributes binds the tectogrammatical nodes with units of lower levels. Its value is a structure with the attributes lex.rf and aux.rf. For more details see Chapter 2, *Relation between the tectogrammatical level and the lower levels*.

### A.2.1.1. a/lex.rf

Obligatory: NO.

#### Table A.2. Values of the attribute a/lex.rf

PML reference	identifier of the node at the analytical level from which the tectogrammatical	
	node got its lexical meaning (or its biggest part)	

### A.2.1.2. a/aux.rf

Obligatory: NO.

#### Table A.3. Values of the attribute a/aux.rf

a list every element of which is a	identifiers of the analytical nodes that influence in some way or other the value
PML reference	of the functor, subfunctor or grammatemes of the tectogrammatical node. These
	nodes frequently carry grammatical words (i.e. prepositions, subordinating
	conjuctions, auxiliary verbs, supporting words etc.) and together with the node
	referred to in the attribute a/lex.rf they constitute one autosemantic expres-
	sion.

## A.2.2. compl.rf

Obligatory: NO.

This attribute serves for representing the second dependency with predicative complements. It is assigned to nodes with the COMPL functor. For more details see Section 6.1.1.1, "Predicative complement".

#### Table A.4. Values of the attribute compl.rf

PML reference	identifier of the node (usually in the same tree) with which the predicative
	complement is in the second dependency relation

### A.2.3. coref_gram.rf

Obligatory: NO.

This attribute serves for representing grammatical coreference. For more details see Chapter 9, Coreference.

#### Table A.5. Values of the attribute coref_gram.rf

```
a list every element of which is a didentifiers of the nodes (usually in the same tree) with which the given node is in a grammatical coreference relation
```

## A.2.4. coref_special

Obligatory: NO.

This attribute serves for representing some special types of textual coreference when the coreferred node is not a particular node or subtree. For more details see Chapter 9, *Coreference*.

Table A.6. Values of the attribute coref_special

exoph	the coreferred element is an extra-textual situation	
segm	the coreferred element is a larger text segment	

### A.2.5. coref_text.rf

Obligatory: NO.

This attribute serves for representing textual coreference; the coreferred node is explicit (it is a particular node or subtree). For more details see Chapter 9, *Coreference*.

```
Table A.7. Values of the attribute coref_text.rf
```

```
a list every element of which is a didentifiers of the nodes with which the given node is in a textual coreference relation
```

# A.2.6. deepord

Obligatory: YES.

This attributes assigns numbers to the nodes of a tree to indicate the deep word order. The order determined by the deepord attribute is the same order as the one displayed in the graphical programmes (from left to right). For more details see Section 10.2, "Communicative dynamism".

#### Table A.8. Values of the attribute deepord

non-negative integer	order of the nodes in a tectogrammatical tree
----------------------	-----------------------------------------------

# A.2.7. functor

Obligatory: YES.

The value is the functor of the node. For more details see Chapter 8, *Functors and subfunctors*.

Table A.9.	Values	of the	attribute	functor
------------	--------	--------	-----------	---------

ACMP	adjunct expressing accompaniment (in the broad sense of the word)	tatinek <u>s maminkou</u> .ACMP (=Father with Mother)
ACT	argument - Actor	Otec.ACT pracuje. (=Father is working)
ADDR	argument - Addressee	Poslal dárek <u>příteli</u> .ADDR (=He sent a present to a friend.)
ADVS	paratactic structure root node - adversative relation	<i>Viděl, <u>ale</u>.</i> ADVS neslyšel. (=He saw me but didn't hear me.)
AIM	adjunct expressing purpose	Cvičí, <u>aby zhubla</u> .AIM (=She is doing exercises in order to lose weight.)
APP	adnominal adjunct expressing appurtenance	<u>můj</u> .APP hrad (=my castle)
APPS	appositional structure root node	substantivum, <u>neboli</u> .APPS podstatné jméno (=substantive, or noun)
ATT	atomic expression expressing the speaker's attitude	<i>Je to <u>samozřejmě</u>.</i> ATT pravda. (=Of course, this is true.)
AUTH	adnominal adjunct referring to the author (of sth)	<u>Nezvalovy</u> .AUTH verše (=Nezval's poems)
BEN	adjunct expressing that sth is happening for the benefit (or disadvantage) of sb/sth	<i>Pracuje <u>pro firmu</u>.</i> BEN (= <i>He is working for the company.</i> )
CAUS	adjunct expressing the cause (of sth)	<u>Z důvodu nemoci</u> .CAUS zavřeno. (=Closed for ill- ness.)
CNCS	adjunct expressing concession	<u>Navzdory</u> studijním <u>úspěchům</u> .CNCS se v praxi neuplatnil. (=Although he was a good student he wasn't very successful in practice.)
СМ	conjunction modifier	otec a <u>také</u> .CM syn (=Father as well as his son)
COMPL	adjunct - predicative complement	Vrátila se <u>unavená</u> .COMPL (=She returned tired.)
COND	adjunct expressing a condition (for sth else to hap- pen)	<u>Když spí</u> .COND, nezlobí. (=When he sleeps, he is good.)
CONFR	paratactic structure root node - confrontation	Pavel se zlepšuje, <u>kdežto</u> .CONFR Jan dostává čtyřky. (=P. is getting better, while J. is getting Ds.)
CONJ	paratactic structure root node - simple coordina- tion/conjunction	Pavel <u>a</u> .CONJ Jan (=Pavel and Jan)
CONTRA	paratactic structure root node - two entities are in conflict (fight etc.)	otec <u>versus</u> .CONTRA syn (=Father vs. son)
CONTRD	adjunct expressing confrontation	<u>Zatímco</u> mzdy <u>klesají</u> .CONTRD , ceny se zvyšují. (=While the wages are going down, the prices are increasing.)
CPHR	the nominal part of a complex predicate	<i>mít <u>plán</u>.</i> CPHR <i>(=have a plan)</i>
CPR	adjunct expressing comparison	víc <u>než tisíc</u> .CPR korun (=more than thousand crowns)
CRIT	adjunct expressing a criterion/measure/standard	Seřad' slova <u>podle abecedy</u> .CRIT (=Put the words in the alphabetical order.)
CSQ	paratactic structure root node - consequential rela- tion	Pracoval nezodpovědně, <u>a</u> .CSQ proto dostal vý- pověď. (=He was irresponsible, therefore he was fired.)
DENOM	effective root node of an independent nominative clause (which is not parenthetical)	Základní <u>škola</u> .DENOM (=Primary school)

DIFF	adjunct expressing a difference (between two entit- ies, states etc.)	<i>Je vyšší <u>o</u> dva <u>centimetry</u>.DIFF (=He is two centi- meters taller.)</i>
DIR1	directional adjunct - answering the question "where from"	Přijel <u>z Prahy</u> .DIR1 (=He came from Praha.)
DIR2	directional adjunct - answering the question "which way"	Jdou <u>lesem</u> .DIR2 (=They are walking through the forest.)
DIR3	directional adjunct - answering the question "where to"	Přišel <u>domů</u> .DIR3 (=He came home)
DISJ	paratactic structure root node - disjunctive relation	Pojedu já, <u>nebo</u> .DISJ ty. (=Either I will go, or you will.)
DPHR	the dependent part of an idiomatic expression	<i>křížem <u>krážem</u>.</i> DPHR (=criss cross)
EFF	argument - Effect	<i>Jmenovali ho <u>předsedou</u></i> .EFF (= <i>They appointed</i> <i>him as a chairman.</i> )
EXT	adjunct expressing extent	<i>V nádobě je <u>přesně</u>.</i> EXT <i>litr vody. (=There is exactly one litre of water in the pot.)</i>
FPHR	part of a foreign-language expression	<u>cash</u> .FPHR <u>flow</u> .FPHR
GRAD	paratactic structure root node - gradation	<i>Běžel, <u>ba</u>.</i> GRAD utíkal. (=He not only ran, he ran helter-skelter.)
HER	adjunct expressing inheritance	šátek <u>po matce</u> .HER (=scarf after my mother)
ID	nominative of identity and explicative genitive	<i>hrad <u>Karlštejn</u>.ID (=the Karlstejn castle); trest <u>smrti</u>.ID (=death penalty)</i>
INTF	atomic expression referring to the "false (expletive) subject"	<u>Ono</u> .INTF prší. (=It is raining.)
INTT	adjunct expressing intention	Šel <u>nakoupit</u> .INTT (=He went shopping.)
LOC	locative adjunct - answering the question "where"	<i>Pracuje <u>v Praze</u>.</i> LOC (=He works in Praha.)
MANN	adjunct expressing manner (of doing sth)	Mluví <u>hlasitě</u> .MANN (=He talks loudly.)
MAT	adnominal argument referring to the content of a container	<i>sklenice</i> <u>vody</u> .MAT (=a glass of water)
MEANS	adjunct expressing a means (of doing sth)	Píše <u>perem</u> .MEANS (=He is writing with a pen.)
MOD	atomic expression with a modal meaning	Pracuje <u>asi</u> .MOD na půl úvazku. (=He is probably working part-time.)
OPER	paratactic structure root node referring to a math- ematical operation or interval	pět <u>až</u> .OPER deset hodin (=five to ten hours)
ORIG	argument - Origo	<i>Vyrábí nábytek <u>ze dřeva</u>.</i> ORIG (=He makes fur- niture out of wood.)
PAR	effective root node of a parenthetic (verbal or nominative) clause	<i>Přijedu 13. prosince (<u>pátek</u>.PAR ). (=I'm coming on 13th of December (Friday).)</i>
PARTL	effective root node of an independent interjectional clause	Hurá.PARTL, vyhráli jsme! (=Hurray, we have won!)
PAT	argument - Patient	Vaří <u>oběd</u> .PAT (=He's cooking dinner.)
PREC	atomic expression referring to the preceding context	<u>A.PREC</u> pak odešel. (=And then he left.)
PRED	effective root node of an independent verbal clause (which is not parenthetical)	Pavel <u>dal</u> .PRED kytku Martině. (=Pavel gave a flower to Martina)
REAS	paratactic structure root node - causal relation	Dostal výpověď, <u>neboť</u> .REAS pracoval nezodpověd- ně. (=He was fired since he was an irresponsible worker.)
REG	adjunct expressing with regard to what sth is asserted	<u>Vzhledem k počasí</u> .REG nelze nic plánovat. (=It is impossible to plan anything due to the weather.)
RESL	adjunct expressing the result/effect of something	<i>Mluví tak potichu, <u>že</u> mu <u>nerozumíme</u>.RESL (=He speaks so sofily that we cannot understand him.)</i>
RESTR	adjunct expressing an exception (to sth)	<u>Kromě tebe</u> .RESTR tam byli všichni. (=Except for you everybody was there.)

RHEM	atomic expression - rhematizer	Jen.RHEM Karel odešel. (=Only Karel left)
RSTR	adnominal adjunct modifying its governing noun	velký.RSTR dům (=a big house)
SUBS	adjunct expressing that sb/sth substitutes for sb/sth else	Za otce.SUBS jednal strýc. (=My uncle took action in place of my father.)
TFHL	temporal adjunct - answering the question "for how long"	<i>Přijel <u>na měsíc</u>.</i> TFHL (= <i>He came for a month.</i> )
TFRWH	temporal adjunct - answering the question "from when"	<i>Přeložil jednání <u>ze soboty</u>.</i> TFRWH na dnešek. (=He shifted the negotiations from Saturday to today.)
THL	temporal adjunct - answering the questions "how long" and "after how long"	<i>Stihnul to <u>za týden</u>.</i> THL (= <i>He managed to do it in a week.</i> )
тно	temporal adjunct - answering the questions "how often" and "how many times"	<i>Pracuju na tom každý <u>den</u>.</i> THO (=I'm working on <i>it every day.</i> )
TOWH	temporal adjunct - answering the question "to when"	<i>Přeložil jednání ze soboty <u>na dnešek</u>.</i> TOWH (= <i>He shifted the negotiations from Saturday to today.</i> )
TPAR	temporal adjunct - answering the questions "in parallel/simultaneously with what" and "during what time"	<u>Během</u> naší <u>dovolené</u> .TPAR ani jednou nepršelo. (=During our holiday it didn't rain once.)
TSIN	temporal adjunct - answering the question "since when"	Budu pracovat <u>od zítra</u> .TSIN (=I'll be working from tomorrow.)
TTILL	temporal adjunct - answering the question "until when"	Udělám to <u>do pátku</u> .TTILL (=I'll do it by Friday.)
TWHEN	temporal adjunct - answering the question "when"	<i>Přijdu <u>zítra</u>.</i> TWHEN (=I'll come tomorrow.)
VOCAT	effective root node of an independent vocative clause	Hanko.VOCAT, podej mi to. (=Hanka, hand it to me.)

# A.2.8. gram

Obligatory: NO.

The value is a structure with the attributes-grammatemes: sempos, gender, number, degcmp, verbmod, deontmod, tense, aspect, resultative, dispmod, iterativeness, indeftype, person, numer-type, politeness, negation. This structure is only assigned to complex nodes, i.e. nodes whose value of the nodetype attribute is complex. For more details see Chapter 5, *Complex nodes and grammatemes*.

### A.2.8.1. gram/aspect

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the aspect grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".

Table A.10. Values of the grammateme aspect

proc	process, imperfective aspect	
cpl	complex, perfective aspect	
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded	

### A.2.8.2. gram/degcmp

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the degree grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".
pos	positive
comp	comparative
sup	superlative
acomp	elative
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded.

#### Table A.11. Values of the attribute degcmp

## A.2.8.3. gram/deontmod

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the deontic modality grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".

Table A.12	Values of	the	grammateme	deontmod
------------	-----------	-----	------------	----------

deb	the event is understood as "necessary"
hrt	the event is understood as "obligatory (an obligation)"
vol	the event is understood as "wanted/intended"
poss	the event is understood as "possible"
perm	the event is understood as "permitted"
fac	the event is understood as "an ability (to do sth)"
decl	the basic (unmarked) modality
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded

### A.2.8.4. gram/dispmod

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the dispositional modality grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".

Table A.13. Values of the grammateme dispmod

disp0	no dispositional modality
disp1	the predicate expresses dispositional modality
nil	none of the basic values of the grammateme (i.e. the grammateme as such) is relevant.
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded

## A.2.8.5. gram/gender

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the gender grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".

Table A.14.	Values	of the	grammateme	gender
-------------	--------	--------	------------	--------

anim	masculine animate
inan	masculine inanimate
fem	feminine
neut	neuter
inher	the value of the grammateme follows from the value of the corresponding grammateme of the coreferred node
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded.

## A.2.8.6. gram/indeftype

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the indefiniteness grammateme, see Section 4.2, "T-lemma of derived expressions".

relat	relative pronoun / adverb / numeral (kdo, jaký; kdy, jak; kolik)
indef1	indefinite pronoun / adverb / numeral of the type <i>někdo, nějaký / někde, nějak</i> / <i>několik</i>
indef2	indefinite pronoun / pronominal adverb of the type kdosi, jakýsi / kdesi, jaksi
indef3	indefinite pronoun / pronominal adverb of the type <i>kdokoli, jakýkoli / kdekoli, jakkoli</i>
indef4	indefinite pronoun / pronominal adverb of the type <i>ledakdo, ledajaký</i> / <i>ledakde, ledajak</i>
indef5	indefinite pronoun / pronominal adverb of the type <i>málokdo / málokde,</i> <i>kdovíkdo / kdovíkde</i>
indef6	indefinite pronoun of the type kdekdo, kdejaký;
inter	interrogative pronoun / pronominal adverb/ numeral
negat	negative pronoun / pronominal adverb (nikdo, nijaký; nikde, nijak)
total1	totalizing pronoun / pronominal adverb (referring to a whole) (všichni; všude)
total2	totalizing pronoun (referring to individuals) (každý
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded.

Table A.15. Values of the grammateme indeftype

## A.2.8.7. gram/iterativeness

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the iterativity grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".

Table A.16. Values of the grammateme iterativeness

it0	no iterative meaning present
it1	iterated, multiple event
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded

## A.2.8.8. gram/negation

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the negation grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".

Table A.17. Values of the grammateme negation

neg0	affirmative
negl	negative
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded.

## A.2.8.9. gram/number

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the number grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".

sg	singular
pl	plural
inher	the value of the grammateme follows from the value of the corresponding grammateme of the coreferred node
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded.

#### Table A.18. Values of the grammateme number

## A.2.8.10. gram/numertype

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the numeral type grammateme, see Section 4.2, "T-lemma of derived expressions".

basic	cardinal numeral ( <i>tři</i> , <i>šest, kolik</i> )
frac	fraction numeral (třetina, šestina)
kind	sort numeral ( <i>trojí</i> , <i>šesterý</i> , <i>kolikerý</i> )
ord	ordinal numeral (třetí, šestý, kolikátý)
set	set numeral ( <i>troje</i> , <i>šestery</i> , <i>kolikery</i> )
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded.

Table A.19. Values of the grammateme numertype

### A.2.8.11. gram/person

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the person grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".

Table A.20. Values of the grammateme person

1	first person (speaker)
2	second person (hearer)
3	third person (what is talked about)
inher	the value of the grammateme follows from the value of the corresponding grammateme of the coreferred node
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded.

## A.2.8.12. gram/politeness

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the politeness grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".

 Table A.21. Values of the grammateme politeness

basic	the common use
polite	the polite form
inher	the value of the grammateme follows from the value of the corresponding grammateme of the coreferred node
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded.

## A.2.8.13. gram/sempos

Obligatory: YES.

The sempos attribute contains the information regarding the membership of a complex node in a subgroup of a semantic part of speech. For more details see Section 5.1, "Semantic parts of speech".

n.denot	denominating semantic noun
n.denot.neg	denominating semantic noun with separately represented negation
n.pron.def.demon	definite pronominal semantic noun: demonstrative
n.pron.def.pers	definite pronominal semantic noun: personal
n.pron.indef	indefinite pronominal semantic noun
n.quant.def	definite quantificational semantic noun
adj.denot	denominating semantic adjective
adj.pron.def.demon	definite pronominal semantic adjective: demonstrative
adj.pron.indef	indefinite pronominal semantic adjective
adj.quant.def	definite quantificational semantic adjective
adj.quant.indef	indefinite quantificational semantic adjective
adj.quant.grad	gradable quantificational semantic adjective
adv.denot.ngrad.nneg	nongradable denominating semantic adverb, impossible to negate
adv.denot.ngrad.neg	nongradable denominating semantic adverb, possible to negate
adv.denot.grad.nneg	gradable denominating semantic adverb, impossible to negate
adv.denot.grad.neg	gradable denominating semantic adverb, possible to negate
adv.pron.def	definite pronominal semantic adverb
adv.pron.indef	indefinite pronominal semantic adverb
v	semantic verb

 Table A.22. Values of the grammateme sempos

### A.2.8.14. gram/verbmod

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the verbal modality grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".

grammateme verbmod
2

ind	indicative
imp	imperative
cdn	conditional
nil	none of the basic values of the grammateme (i.e. the grammateme as such) is relevant.
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded

## A.2.8.15. gram/resultative

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the resultativity grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".

Table A.24. Values of the grammateme resultative

res0	no resultative meaning
res1	resultative meaning (aspect)
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded

## A.2.8.16. gram/tense

Obligatory: NO.

For more on the tense grammateme, see Section 5.2, "Grammatemes".

sim	simultaneous
ant	preceding
post	subsequent
nil	none of the basic values of the grammateme (i.e. the grammateme as such) is relevant.
nr	all basic values are possible in the given case; none of them can be excluded

#### Table A.25. Values of the grammateme tense

## A.2.9. id

Obligatory: YES.

The value is the unique identifier of the tree in PDT 2.0.

# A.2.10. is_dsp_root

Obligatory: NO.

This attributes marks the root of direct speech subtrees. If no value is assigned, the assumed value is 0. For more details see Section 7.5, "Direct speech".

#### Table A.26. Values of the attribute is_dsp_root

0	the node is not the root of a subtree representing direct speech
1	the node is a the root of a subtree representing direct speech

# A.2.11. is_generated

Obligatory: NO.

This attribute marks generated (newly established) nodes. If no value is assigned, the assumed value is 0. For more details see Section 6.6, "Ellipsis".

#### Table A.27. Values of the attribute is_generated

0	the node represents an expression present in the surface structure
1	the node represents an expression absent in the surface structure

## A.2.12. is_member

Obligatory: NO.

This attribute is only assigned to immediate daughters of paratactic structure root nodes (nodetype = coap) and marks the roots of the paratactically connected elements. For other nodes, the attribute is not relevant. For more details see Section 6.4, "Parataxis".

Table A.28. Values of the attribute is_member

0	the node is not a root of a paratactically connected element
1	the node is the root of a paratactically connected element

# A.2.13. is_name_of_person

Obligatory: NO.

This attribute serves for marking proper names of people. If no value is assigned, the assumed value is 0. For more details see Section 7.3, "Identifying expressions".

#### Table A.29. Values of the attribute is_name_of_person

0	the node represents an expression that is not part of a proper name of a person
1	the node represents an expression that is part of a proper name of a person

# A.2.14. is_parenthesis

Obligatory: NO.

This attribute marks nodes representing expressions that are part of a parenthesis. If no value is assigned, the assumed value is 0. For more details see Section 6.5, "Parenthesis".

#### Table A.30. Values of the attribute is_parenthesis

0	the node represents an expression that is not part of a parenthesis
1	the node represents an expression that is part of a parenthesis

# A.2.15. is_state

Obligatory: NO.

This attribute marks nodes representing modifications with the meaning of state. If no value is assigned, the assumed value is 0. For more details see Section 8.13.2, "Attribute for the meaning of "state".

#### Table A.31. Values of the attribute is_state

0	the node represents a modification that has no state meaning
1	the node represents a modification with a state meaning that cannot be captured just by the functor and subfunctors

## A.2.16. nodetype

Obligatory: YES.

This attribute defines a nodetype. For more details see Chapter 3, Node types.

Table A.32. Values of the attribute nodetype

atom	atomic node
coap	paratactic structure root node
list	list structure root node
fphr	node representing a foreign-language expression
dphr	node representing the dependent part of an idiomatic expression
complex	complex node
qcomplex	quasi-complex node

## A.2.17. quot

Obligatory: NO.

The attribute marks nodes representing a text segment "in quotation marks". The value of the attribute is a list every item of which is a structure with the attributes type and set_id. For more details see Section 7.6, "Text segments marked by graphic symbol".

## A.2.17.1. quot/set_id

Obligatory: YES.

The attribute serves as the identifier unambiguously marking a set of nodes representing a text segment in quotation marks

Table A.33. Values of the attribute quot/set_id

arbitrary sequence	identifier unambiguously marking a set of nodes representing a text segment
	in quotation marks

## A.2.17.2. quot/type

Obligatory: YES.

The attribute indicates the type of quotation mark use.

Table A.34.	Values	of the	attribute	auot/	'tvpe
				1	-15-

citation	the node represents an expression that is part of quotation (marked by quotation marks)
dsp	the node represents an expression that is part of direct speech (marked by quo- tation marks)
meta	the node represents an expression that is part of a metalinguistic expression (marked by quotation marks)
title	the node represents an expression that is part of a proper name or title (marked by quotation marks)
other	the node represents an expression that is part of a text segment in quotation marks and it has none of the above mentioned functions

# A.2.18. sentmod

Obligatory: NO.

The attribute indicates the modality of the sentence. For more details see Section 5.3, "The sentmod attribute".

Table A.35. Values of the attribute sentmod

enunc	the indicative mood
excl	exclamation
desid	the optative (desiderative) mood
imper	the imperative mood
inter	the interrogative mood

# A.2.19. subfunctor

Obligatory: NO.

The value of the attribute is a subfunctor further specifying the meaning of the assigned functor. For more details see Section 8.13.1, "Subfunctors".

Value	Definition	Functors to which it is assigned	
above	the meaning "above"	DIR3,LOC	
abstr	the abstract meaning "in the area"	LOC	
across	the meaning "across"	DIR2	
after	the meaning "after"	TWHEN	
agst	the meaning of disadvantage	BEN	
along	the meaning "along"	DIR2, LOC	
approx	the meaning "approximately"	EXT, TWHEN	
around	the meaning "around"	DIR2,LOC	
basic	the basic meaning of the functor	all functors	
before	the meaning "before"	TWHEN	
begin	the meaning "at the beginning"	TWHEN	
behind	the meaning "behind"	DIR3,LOC	
below	the meaning "below"	DIR3, LOC	
betw	the meaning "between"	DIR2, DIR3, LOC, TWHEN	
circ	the meaning of circumstance	ACMP	
elsew	the meaning "outside"	DIR3, LOC	
end	the meaning "at the end"	TWHEN	
ext	the meaning "to what extent"	DIR3	
flow	the meaning "in the course"	TWHEN	
front	the meaning "in front of"	DIR3, LOC	
incl	inclusion	ACMP	
in	the meaning "inside"	LOC	
less	the meaning "less than"	EXT	
mid	the meaning "in the middle" LOC, TWHEN		
more	the meaning "more than" EXT		
near	the meaning "near"	DIR2, DIR3, LOC	
nr	there is no subfunctor for the given surface form	all functors	
opp	the meaning "opposite" DIR3, LOC		
target	the meaning of target	DIR3	
than	comparison on the basis of difference	CPR	
to	the meaning "to"	DIR3	
wout	the meaning of negative accompani- ment	ACMP	
wrt	the meaning "entity something is compared to"	CPR	

#### Table A.36. Values of the attribute subfunctor

# A.2.20. t_lemma

Obligatory: YES.

The value of the attribute is an arbitrary sequence. For more details see Chapter 4, *Tectogrammatical lemma (t-lemma)*.

# A.2.21. tfa

Obligatory: NO.

The attribute represents contextual boundness. For more details see Section 10.1, "Contextual boundness".

#### Table A.37. Values of the attribute tfa

c	the node represents a contrastive contextually bound expression
f	the node represents a contextually non-bound expression
t	the node represents a non-contrastive contextually bound expression

# A.2.22. val_frame.rf

Obligatory: NO.

The attribute serves as a reference into the valency lexicon. For more details see Section 6.2, "Valency".

#### Table A.38. Values of the attribute val_frame.rf

PML reference	identifier of the valency frame describing the meaning and valency of the given
	node

# Subject index

## Α

Actor, 45, 121 unspecified, 81 Addressee, 45, 121 adjective control, 143 introduced by a subordinating conjunction, 60 semantic, 24 adverb predicative, 100 semantic, 25 antecedent, 139 apposition, 70 argument, 45, 121 contextually elided, 81 controlled, 81 general, 81 quasi-controlled, 81 unspecified, 81 argument shifting, 45 aspect, 31 attribute, 1, 129 of the type reference, 2

## В

brackets, 120

## С

clause adverbial, 57 content, 57 dependent, 54, 57 dependent consecutive, 90 false dependent, 63 governing, 57 independent, 54 interjectional, 54 nominative, 53 non-verbal, 53 relative, 57 verbal, 51 vocative, 53 clauses with a reversed syntactic relation, 74 communicative dynamism, 154 competing valency modifications, 46 construction control, 146 with a dependent consecutive clause, 90 with the meaning of a restriction, 86 with the meaning of comparison of two events, 83 with the meaning of exceptional conjoining, 87 context, 152 contextual boundness, 152 control, 140, 143 controllee, 143 controller, 143 coordinating connective complex, 94 coordination, 70

coreference, 139 grammatical, 140 textual, 150

## D

dashes, 120 degree, 28 dependency, 34, 55 ambiguous, 40 dual, 34 derivation, 7 dialogue test, 44 direct speech, 55, 115 dual function of a single modification, 40

## Ε

edge, 2, 34 non-dependency, 37 Effect, 45, 121 effective root, 3 element controlled, 143 controlling, 143 coreferred, 139 coreferring, 139 dependent, 34 governing, 34 ellipsis, 76 contextual, 76 grammatical, 77 of a dependent meaning unit, 80 of a governing meaning unit, 76 of a governing meaning unit, in specific constructions, 76 of the governing clause, 76 of the governing noun, 76 of the governing predicate, 76 empty verb, 52 exophor, 150 explicative genitive, 110 explicit coreferred element, 150 expression, 3 contextually non-bound, 153 contrastive contextually bound, 153 foreign-language, 113 identifying, 109, 120 in the function of a rhematizer, 163 modifying a coordinating connective, 94, 130 non-contrastive contextually bound, 153 numeral, 106 used metalinguistically, 120

### F

focus, 163 proper, 155 focus proper, 155 foreign-language expression, 113 functors, 121 adnominal, 129 for arguments, 121 for causal relations, 125 for effective roots of independent clauses, 121 for expressing manner and its specific variants, 126 for foreign-language expressions, 128 for multi-word lexical units, 128 for relations between members of paratactic structures, 130 for rhematizers, sentence, linking and modal adverbials, 127 for the predicative complement, 130 locative and directional, 124 temporal, 122

#### G

gender, 28 grammateme, 27

#### 

identification structure, 111 items of ian dentifying expression, 112 modifier, 112 root, 111 idiom, 104 idiomatic expression, 104 non-verbal, 104 verbal, 104 immediate daughter, 2 left, 2 right, 2 infinitival construction dependent, 57 infinitival constructions frozen, 58 infinitive a valency modification of which is controlled, 143 inner participant, 44 interval, 70 intonation, 152 intonation centre, 152, 155 iterativity, 31

#### L

lemma tectogrammatical, 7 lexical unit multi-word, 94 linear order, 2 list structure, 5 item of a list, 113 modifier of a list, 113 root, 5, 113

#### Μ

mathematical operation, 70 member direct, 66 terminal, 66 modality deontic, 31 dispositional, 31, 48 sentential, 32 verbal, 32 modification adnominal, 129

free, 44 locative and directional, 124 obligatory, 44 optional, 44 temporal, 122 valency, 44, 121 modification with the meaning of accompaniment, 126 appurtenance, 129 attitude, 127 author, 129 beneficiary, 128 cause, 125 comparison, 126 concession, 125 condition, 125 confrontation, 128 container, 129 criterion, 126 difference, 126 exception, 126 extent, 126 identification, 129 inheritance, 128 instrument/means, 126 manner, 126 modality, 127 purpose, 125 regard, 126 result/effect, 126 substitution, 128 modifier of a list, 113 of a paratactic structure, 67 of an identification structure, 112 mother, 2

#### Ν

negation, 29, 92 node, 1 added (newly established), 1, 75 atomic, 5, 127 complex, 6, 20 contextually non-bound, 153 contrastive contextually bound, 153 copied, 75 dependent, 2, 34 governing, 2, 34 newly established, with a t-lemma substitute, 76 node type, 5 non-contrastive contextually bound, 153 quasi-complex, 6 node attributes, 167 nominative of identity, 55 nominative of identity, 110 non-dependency, 54, 121 noun control, 143 semantic, 21 noun phrase, 41 number, 29, 105 numeral, 105

in the role of an attribute, 105 used as labels, 105 with an adverbial meaning, 106 with the function of a container, 105

### 0

ordering objective, 152 subjective, 152 Origo, 45, 121

### Ρ

paratactic structure, 66 modifier, 67 root, 5, 66 parataxis, 66 parenthesis, 72 lexicalized, 73 proper, 72 proper, syntactically incorporated, 72 proper, syntactically non-incorporated, 73 part of speech semantic, 20 participial construction dependent, 57 non-agreeing, 58 passive, 48 path left, 2 leftmost, 2 right, 2, 155 rightmost, 2, 155 Patient, 45, 121 person, 30 politeness, 30 postcedent, 139 predicate complex, 100 modal, 95 multi-word, 95 phase, 99 quasi-modal, 99 quasi-phase, 99 verbonominal, 103 predicative complement, 34, 130 preposition frozen, derived from a transgressive, 58 projectivity, 161 projectivization, 161 proper name, 109 punctuation, 52, 66

## Q

quasi-control, 141
with complex predicates, 101
quasi-focus, 155
quotation, 120
quotation marks, 119

## R

reciprocity, 81, 141 reference

anaphoric, 139 cataphoric, 139 exophoric, 150 to a segment, 150 relation adversative, 131 causal, 131 confrontational, 131 conjoining, 131 consecutive, 131 disjunctive, 131 gradation, 131 resultativity, 32 rezultativ, 48 rhematizer, 163 root effective, 3 expression, 3 list structure, 5 of a list structure for foreign-language expressions, 113 of a paratactic structure, 66 of an identification structure, 111 paratactic structure, 5 sentence, 3 subtree, 3 technical root node of a tectogrammatical tree, 2, 5, 167

### S

scope of a rhematizer, 163 segment, 150 sentence complex, 55 compound, 66 shared modifier, 67 sister. 2 sister node left, 2 right, 2 state, 138 stress contrastive, 152 sentence, 152 structure identification, 111 list structure for foreign-language expressions, 113 paratactic, 66 paratactic, embedded, 66 subfunctor, 132 subtree, 3 supporting element, 60

### Т

t-lemma, 7 multi-word, 16 representative, 17 substitute, 18 technical root node of a tectogrammatical tree, 2, 5, 167 tectogrammatical trees, 1 tense, 32 the value of the attribute, 2 title, 109 topic, 163 contrastive, 163 topic-focus articulation, 152 transgressival construction, 57 transgressival constructions frozen, 58

### V

valency, 44 valency frame, 45 empty, 47 of a complex predicate, 49 of an idiom, 49 valency lexicon, 50 verb být, 103 control, 143, 145 empty, 52 inherently reciprocal, 16 inherently reflexive, 16 modal, 95 phase, 99 quasi-modal, 99 quasi-phase, 99 reflexive, 16 semantic, 27

### W

word form, 7 word order deep, 2, 154 surface, 152