# Remarks on bagging and boosting

# Introduction to Machine Learning - Lab Sessions

by Martin Holub Charles University in Prague, 2010-11

# Combining multiple learners

- the more **complementary** the learners are, the more useful their combining is
- the simpliest way to combine multiple learners is **voting**
- in **weighted voting** the voters (= base-learners) can have different weights

# Unstable learning

- learning algorithm is called unstable if small changes in the training set cause large differences in generated models
- typical unstable algorithm is the decision trees learning
- bagging or boosting techniques are a natural remedy for unstable algorithms

# **Bagging**

• Bagging is a voting method that uses slightly different training sets (generated by bootstrap) to make different base-learners. Generating complementary base-learners is left to chance and to unstability of the learning method.

# **Boosting**

 Boosting is one of the most important developments in classification methodology. Boosting works by sequentially applying a classification algorithm to reweighted versions of the training data and then taking a weighted majority vote of the sequence of classifiers thus produced. For many classification algorithms, this simple strategy results in dramatic improvements in performance.

[from Wikipedia]

- Like bagging, boosting is also a voting method. In contrast to bagging, boosting actively tries to generate complementary learners by training the next learner on the mistakes of the previous learners.
- AdaBoost (Adaptive Boosting)
  - originally proposed by Freund and Schapire (1996)
  - nice presentation including theoretical details and a demonstration available at  $\underline{\text{http://cmp.felk.cvut.cz/~sochmj1/adaboost~talk.pdf}}$

### Implementation in R

• packages can be found at <a href="http://cran.at.r-project.org/">http://cran.at.r-project.org/</a>

bagging() (package: adabag)ada() (package: ada)

- only binary classification

- nice visualization

adaboost.M1() (package: adabag)

simplier than ada()multiple classes

# ADABAG package in R

- implements Adaboost.M1 algorithm and Breiman's Bagging algorithm using classification trees
- Adaboost.M1 is a simple generalization of Adaboost for more than two classes
- a comprehensive reference manual available at <a href="http://cran.at.r-project.org/">http://cran.at.r-project.org/</a>
- installation
  - > install.packages("adabag")
  - > library(adabag)

## ADA package in R

- · creates a classification model as an ensemble of rpart trees
- · uses the rpart library as its engine
- can handle only two-class problems
- documentation at http://cran.at.r-project.org/ also a comprehensive paper available at http://www.stat.wvu.edu/~mculp/math/ada/ada manual.pdf
- another interesting reading/tutorial http://en.wikibooks.org/wiki/Data\_Mining\_Algorithms\_In\_R/Classification/adaboost
- installation
  - > install.packages("ada")
  - > library(ada)
- help pages for package 'ada' list of R functions

ada Fitting Stochastic Boosting Models

addtest Add a test set to ada

pairs.ada Pairwise Plots and Variable Importancs Plot for Ada

plot.ada Plots for Ada

predict.ada Predict a data set using Ada print.ada Model Information for Ada

soldat Solubility Data

summary.ada Summary of model fit for arbitrary data (test, validation, or training)

update.ada Add more trees to an ada object

#### **Practical exercise**

```
# use the "Solubility data" from package ada
> library(ada)
> data("soldat")
> N <- nrow(soldat)</pre>
> set.seed(123); ind <- sample(1:N)</pre>
> train size
                <- N %/% 2
                 <- soldat[ind[1:train size],]
> train
> test
                 <- soldat[ind[(train size + 1):N],]
# make a decision tree model, tune the parameters without using the test set, and
(only then) compute accuracy on the test set
# then use bagging() and compare the results
# finally use adaboost.M1() and compare the results
*************************************
```

#### Example solution using ada()

```
<- N %/% 2
> train size
                     <- N %/% 3
> test_size
             <- soldat[ind[1:train_size],]
> train
> test
             <- soldat[ind[(train_size + 1):(train_size + test_size)],]
> valid
             <- soldat[ind[(train size + test size + 1):N],]
\mbox{\# just to illustrate that you can work $\overline{\mbox{with}}$ more than $\overline{\mbox{one}}$ test set}
             <- rpart.control(cp = -1, maxdepth = 14, xval = 0)
> control
\# cp = -1 forces the tree to split until the depth of the tree achieves the maxdepth setting
# xval is the number of cross-validations
> m
    \leftarrow ada(y\sim., data = train, test.x = test[,-73], test.y = test[,73],
       + type = "gentle", control = control, iter = 70)
> summary(m)
> plot(m,test=T)
> varplot(m)
                    # shows the relationship between descriptors and the response
                    # the variable importance measure is based on improvement
> m1 <- addtest(m, valid[,-73], valid[,73])</pre>
> summary(m1)
> plot(m1,test=T)
> varplot(m1)
```